1299 HK gideon lens

■

AIA Group Limited (1299.HK) - Gideon-Lens Equity Research

Date: 2026-05-29. Analyst: Codex ER2. Price: HK$82.90. Market cap: HK$863.1B. Reporting currency: US dollars. Fiscal year end: December 31.

VERDICT BLOCK

Verdict: WATCH

Scorecard:

Gate	Result	Notes
Management	PASS	Strong operator, aligned incentives, disciplined free-surplus capital returns
Moat	YELLOW	Real distribution moat, but 2046 test remains contested
P/E <= 15 on confident EPS at TTM + 5 calendar years	PASS	Base cushion 49.9%; bear cushion only 6.2%
Compounder Score	Audited 68 / 100	Good insurer compounder; diagnostic score says buy only lower
Business Quality Score	Audited 61 / 80	Strong quality, not dream-business evidence under strict rubric
20% Return UW Score	Audited 7 / 20	P/E-15 passes, but 20% return underwriting fails

One-line thesis (or reason to pass): AIA is a high-quality pan-Asian life and health insurer with a credible FY2030 P/E-15 cushion, but its moat is not cleanly durable enough and the current price does not underwrite Gideon's 20% return hurdle.

Kill / trigger criteria:

- BUY trigger: price below HK$55 while group VONB grows at least 10% CER, group VONB margin stays above 55%, and shareholder capital ratio remains above 200%.
- PASS triggers: FY2026 Hong Kong VONB growth below 5% CER with VONB margin below 65%; group VONB margin below 50%; shareholder capital ratio moving below 190% without a temporary and well-explained capital event.
- Upgrade to BUY conditions: moat moves to GREEN through sustained Hong Kong/China growth quality, Thailand health normalization without margin collapse, and continuing buybacks funded from net free surplus rather than capital strain.

Position sizing if bought: 0% now. If the BUY trigger is met, cap at 2% of equity book initially because the company is high quality but the moat and regulatory/channel risks remain more financial-sector-like than software-like.

Stage 0 - Time anchor

Today is 2026-05-29. AIA's fiscal year ends on December 31. The most recently reported official operating period is Q1 2026 new-business highlights for the three months ended 2026-03-31, released 2026-04-30, but this is not a full consolidated IFRS statement. The controlling TTM financial anchor is therefore FY2025, the twelve months ended 2025-12-31, from the official AIA annual report and results release. The forward horizon is TTM end 2025-12-31 plus exactly five calendar years, or 2030-12-31. Q1 2026 is used only as post-anchor evidence on VONB and distribution momentum.

Stage 1 - Disqualifier sweep

The quick disqualifier sweep produced no hard red flags. Return quality is GREEN/YELLOW: AIA reported FY2025 operating ROE of 15.5% and operating ROEV of 15.8%, which is the right insurer-native lens rather than industrial ROIC. Cash conversion and capital generation are GREEN/YELLOW, with FY2025 UFSG of US$6.765B and net FSG of US$4.451B.

Leverage/capital is GREEN, with a 221% shareholder capital ratio at year-end 2025. End markets are GREEN, supported by Asian protection, health, retirement, and wealth gaps. Governance is GREEN/YELLOW, with no gate-breaking related-party or control issue found. AI disruption is GREEN/YELLOW because AI likely augments underwriting, servicing, claims, and advice productivity, but can alter customer-interface bargaining power. Pricing power is GREEN/YELLOW, visible in VONB margin and product repricing, but still exposed to rates, medical inflation, persistency, and regulators.

Management

Management clears the ER2 gate. Lee Yuan Siong has owned the strategy since 2020, and the record now includes strong FY2025 VONB, OPAT per share, UFSG per share, and solvency outcomes. The incentive system is also unusually aligned for an insurer: VONB, UFSG, OPAT, EV equity, and TSR matter more than raw scale. Capital allocation is a central positive. AIA's framework prioritizes solvency, profitable organic new business, and a 75% payout of annual net FSG through dividends and buybacks, with periodic excess-capital returns. FY2025 shareholder capital returns were US$4.706B, split between dividends and buybacks, while the shareholder capital ratio remained 221%. The management appendix still flags board refresh, China new-business quality, Hong Kong broker/IFA conduct, and health-insurance disruption in Malaysia/Thailand as monitoring items, but there is no evidence of chronic dilution, value-destructive M&A, evasive disclosure, or minority-holder abuse. Verdict: PASS.

Moat

The moat is real, but not cleanly GREEN. AIA has trusted local brands, regulatory licenses, product manufacturing and underwriting expertise, a large in-force book, scale benefits, and a Premier Agency system that produced 73% of FY2025 VONB. Hong Kong and Thailand show the strongest current economics; China offers long-run optionality through new geographies and agency development; Malaysia and Singapore are credible but more mature or mix-sensitive; Other Markets are strategically useful but lower-return and messy. The 2046 test is the issue. A credible 2046 AIA exists: a regulated pan-Asian protection, health, retirement, and wealth insurer with AI-augmented advisers, bank partners, and a free-surplus engine. But the economics can be reset by bank/platform bargaining, direct digital interfaces, medical inflation, health repricing politics, lapse/persistency, and China/Hong Kong policy risk. The moat appendix therefore lands at YELLOW: investable but unresolved, requiring evidence that AIA can keep refreshing the distribution moat rather than merely harvesting the old one.

Stage 5 synthesis - headline numbers

The synthesis uses FY2025 as the TTM financial base and compounds to 2030-12-31. Because AIA is an insurer, the model translates the generic ER2 operating-income build into an insurer-native OPAT build. Segment OPAT is already after-tax operating profit attributable to shareholders, so FY2030 EPS is modeled from combined OPAT after an explicit corporate-center adjustment, not from industrial EBIT.

The reviewed segment base cases produce FY2030 insurance revenue of US$29.764B and OPAT of US$10.222B. The model assumes an ending share count of 9.623B after a five-year buyback and issuance path. That yields FY2030 base EPS of US$1.062, or HK$8.285 at 7.80 HKD/USD. At the current HK$82.90 share price, the required EPS for a 15x P/E is HK$5.527, or US$0.709. The base case therefore clears with a 49.9% cushion. The bear case also clears, but with only a 6.2% cushion, so the margin is not as bombproof as the base headline appears.

Case	FY2030 revenue	FY2030 OPAT	EPS	15x EPS support	Cushion vs HK$82.90
Bear	US$25.904B	US$7.238B	US$0.752 / HK$5.867	HK$88.00	6.2%
Base	US$29.764B	US$10.222B	US$1.062 / HK$8.285	HK$124.28	49.9%
Bull	US$32.874B	US$12.290B	US$1.277 / HK$9.961	HK$149.42	80.2%

The most important modeling point is that the price gate is passed by the reviewed base case, not by heroic bull rescue. Hong Kong remains the largest quality profit pool, China supplies the largest long-run optionality, Thailand is high-return but needs medical-insurance normalization, Singapore and Malaysia add useful but not thesis-defining growth, and Other Markets are large but lower-return. Corporate center drag is modeled explicitly: US$400M in bear, US$325M in base, and US$250M in bull. The 11 synthesis tie-outs passed, with insurer disclosure limitations marked as not applicable but controlled. No product-level revenue was fabricated because AIA does not disclose product/channel dollars at that granularity.

The diagnostic compounder score changes the interpretation. The audited score is only 68/100, with 61/80 for business quality and 7/20 for 20% return underwriting. At a 15x FY2030 exit, the base-case five-year IRR including dividends is about

10.4%, not 20%. A true 20% base-case return requires about a 23.5x FY2030 exit multiple or an entry price around HK$54.6. That is why this report can say "valuation support exists" and still refuse to call the stock a Gideon BUY.

Red team

The red team does not say AIA is broken. It says the investment case is easier to overstate than to kill. The strongest bear point is that AIA can remain a good insurer while failing as a 20% setup if Hong Kong/China VONB normalizes, Thailand's medical surge resets, buybacks slow, and the market refuses to pay 15x for a YELLOW-moat regulated financial. The single exit signal is FY2026 Hong Kong VONB growth below 5% CER with Hong Kong VONB margin below 65%, or two consecutive quarterly updates below 5% with weak MCV, broker, and domestic demand commentary. The single upgrade signal is entry near HK$55 while group VONB still grows at least 10% CER, group VONB margin stays above 55%, and shareholder capital ratio remains above 200%.

Kill / trigger criteria

- Upgrade to BUY if price approaches HK$55 and the operating evidence has not deteriorated: group VONB growth at least 10% CER, group VONB margin above 55%, and shareholder capital ratio above 200%.
- Upgrade the moat to GREEN only if Hong Kong growth diversifies beyond MCV sensitivity, China new geographies compound without margin sacrifice, Thailand/Malaysia health loss ratios normalize, and Other Markets OPAT/TWPI margin improves structurally.
- Cut to PASS if Hong Kong VONB growth falls below 5% CER with margin below 65%, if group VONB margin falls below 50%, or if capital returns require weakening the shareholder capital ratio toward or below 190%.
- Treat Thailand as a live monitor: if Q1 2026's negative year-on-year Thailand VONB comparison becomes a multi-quarter reset rather than normalization from a high base, the group bear case deserves more weight.
- Treat buybacks as conditional, not guaranteed: the US$2B/year forward path is valuable but correlated with the same surplus-generation risks that would weaken the bear case.

Analyst flags

Official AIA/HKEX materials control the run. FMP was live-checked, but product segmentation was empty and FMP revenue/cash-flow data differed materially from official AIA statements. QF and QFR cleared the official-source override, and the data package reviewer confirmed that Q1 2026 is a new-business update rather than a full consolidated statement. AIA does not disclose product/channel revenue dollars, segment EV equity, segment free-surplus generation, or country-level economics within Other Markets, so the model intentionally uses segment rollups and insurer KPIs rather than invented product revenue. The report is therefore strong on group and segment OPAT/VONB mechanics, but medium-confidence on precise segment capital productivity.

Appendix A - Company Primer

AIA Group Limited (1299.HK) - Company Primer

Prepared for the ER2 / Gideon production run on 2026-05-29. Currency is US$ millions unless otherwise stated. Quantitative figures in this primer use `quant_foundation.md` as the source of truth. The parallel `data_package.md` was still a stub when this appendix was written, so qualitative context was cross-checked directly against live official AIA investor materials, FY2025 annual report/results materials, Q1 2026 new-business highlights, and a fresh FMP pull saved under `raw/subagent_A_20260529/`.

Data Source Rule - Included Verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

  `C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.
- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

  investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).
- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

  `data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

Source Map and Framing

AIA Group is not a U.S. 10-K/10-Q issuer for this ER2 run. It is a Hong Kong-listed pan-Asian life and health insurer reporting through HKEX/AIA annual, interim, quarterly new-business, embedded-value, and investor materials. FMP was pulled live for quote/profile and product-segmentation checks; its product-segmentation endpoint returned no usable rows and its income/cash-flow mappings differ materially from official AIA insurance reporting. The controlling financial base is therefore the FY2025 official annual financial statement and results announcement already reconciled in `quant_foundation.md` and cleared by QFR.

Source	Use in this primer	Status
`quant_foundation.md`	Financial source of truth for FY2025 / TTM, segment revenue, OPAT, VONB, capital, and reconciliation	Primary
`quant_foundation_review.md`	Independent confirmation that QF ties to official sources and Wave 1 is cleared	Review control
AIA FY2025 annual report and annual results announcement	Segment scope, distribution, management, capital, risk, and official qualitative context	Live official materials
AIA Q1 2026 new-business highlights and press release	Latest post-anchor evidence: VONB, ANP, margin, channel and segment momentum	Live official materials
FMP live pull saved in `raw/subagent_A_20260529/`	Quote/profile and empty product-segmentation checks	Secondary
SEC EDGAR search	No controlling 2025 AIA 10-K/10-Q/8-K found; SEC hits are mainly legacy/proxy-style references and AIG spin-off history	Not controlling

Business Overview

AIA Group Limited is a life, health, savings, and retirement insurance group built around Asia. Its customer is usually an individual household rather than an enterprise buyer: a family buying health coverage, critical illness protection, long-term savings, retirement income, education planning, legacy planning, or wealth-preservation solutions. AIA also serves corporate clients through employee benefits, credit life, pension, and group insurance offerings, but the core compounding engine is recurring individual protection and savings business sold through proprietary agents and long-lived bank/intermediary partnerships.

For a non-insurance investor, the simplest way to understand AIA is this: it sells long-duration promises. A customer pays premiums today and over time; AIA prices mortality, morbidity, lapse, expenses, investment return, and capital costs; if the assumptions are conservative and the distribution economics are good, each new policy creates a stream of future distributable profit. AIA then manages a very large balance sheet of financial investments and policy liabilities so those future promises can be met while surplus capital is remitted to the holding company. This is why conventional industrial revenue and EBITDA are not the center of the analysis. The key operating language is value of new business (VONB), annualised new premiums (ANP), total weighted premium income (TWPI), contractual service margin (CSM), operating profit after tax (OPAT), embedded value (EV), free surplus generation, and solvency capital.

The company's reportable segments are geographic markets: AIA China / Mainland China, AIA Hong Kong, AIA Thailand, AIA Singapore, AIA Malaysia, Other Markets, and Group Corporate Centre. Other Markets includes Australia, Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Myanmar, New Zealand, the Philippines, South Korea, Sri Lanka, Taiwan (China), and Vietnam. Hong Kong includes Macau, Singapore includes Brunei, and AIA's India exposure includes the 49% Tata AIA Life associate in relevant VONB/ANP disclosure. China Post Life, in which AIA owns 24.99%, expands AIA's Mainland China exposure but is not included in AIA China's reported VONB, ANP, or TWPI.

AIA's original structural advantage is not just that Asia is underinsured. Many insurers can say that. The more distinctive claim is that AIA combines a pan-Asian brand, a large proprietary agency force, embedded bank partnerships, health and wellness propositions, and a disciplined product mix in markets where trust, advice, and local regulatory authorization matter. In life insurance, distribution quality can be the moat because the product is complex, the sale is advisory, and the relationship may last decades. AIA's 2025 annual report describes its Premier Agency as the cornerstone of the group; over 96,000 active agents across 15 markets contributed 73% of total VONB in 2025. Partnerships added another growth channel, with bancassurance relationships that the company says average more than 20 years in duration.

FY2025 was the current TTM anchor for the ER2 run because AIA's Q1 2026 release is a new-business update, not a full consolidated IFRS statement. In FY2025 AIA reported insurance revenue of 21,618, OPAT of 7,136, VONB of 5,516, ANP of 9,484, TWPI of 46,900, underlying free surplus generation (UFSG) of 6,765, and net free surplus generation after new-business investment of 4,451. The Q1 2026 update showed VONB of 1,757, up 13% at constant exchange rates, ANP of 3,152, up 16%, and VONB margin of 56.0%. That Q1 update matters for momentum, but the base financial model should not roll it into TTM earnings without full quarterly statements.

Insurance Accounting Primer for AIA

AIA's reported "insurance revenue" is not the same thing as premiums written. Under IFRS 17, insurance revenue reflects services provided in the period, while premiums and policyholder account balances may create or release liabilities over long

periods. For an investor coming from software, payments, or industrials, this can feel counterintuitive: premium volume measures activity, CSM measures deferred future profit in the in-force book, and OPAT measures operating earnings emerging as insurance services and investment results are recognized.

VONB is especially important for AIA because it measures the economic value of policies sold in the current period at the point of sale, after expected claims, expenses, taxes, and the cost of required capital. It is not a cash receipt and it is not IFRS net income. AIA's FY2025 VONB margin of 58.5% means that, on its embedded-value methodology, VONB equaled 58.5% of ANP after group adjustments. A high VONB margin usually reflects some combination of strong protection mix, disciplined pricing, lower guarantees, better persistency, efficient distribution, and favorable capital requirements. A low or falling margin can signal weaker mix, competitive pressure, lower rates, higher guarantees, higher acquisition costs, or regulatory/product stress.

TWPI is a volume measure: 100% of renewal premiums, 100% of first-year premiums, and 10% of single premiums. OPAT is AIA's core operating earnings measure after tax and non-controlling interests. UFSG is free surplus generated from the in-force book before new-business investment and certain group items. Net FSG is closer to the annual deployable surplus after funding new business, unallocated group office expenses, finance costs, and other capital movements. The Gideon question is whether new business can keep layering onto the in-force book at attractive returns while still sending rising cash to shareholders.

Revenue and Segment Architecture

AIA's revenue architecture is geographic rather than product-line based. QF verified that FMP's annual and quarterly product-segmentation endpoints returned empty arrays for 1299.HK, and AIA's official reporting does not provide a product-revenue taxonomy suitable for ER2 product mapping. The right unit of analysis is therefore the official reportable segment: each local market writes life, accident and health, and savings business; the Group Corporate Centre captures corporate functions, shared services, surplus asset investment results, tax, and eliminations.

Reportable segment	FY2025 insurance revenue	Share of group insurance revenue	FY2025 OPAT	FY2025 VONB	FY2025 ANP	FY2025 TWPI
AIA China / Mainland China	3,460	16.0%	1,708	1,240	2,152	11,272
AIA Hong Kong	5,318	24.6%	2,770	2,256	3,283	14,726
AIA Thailand	2,811	13.0%	1,210	993	895	5,336
AIA Singapore	2,833	13.1%	721	530	1,128	5,263
AIA Malaysia	2,139	9.9%	389	373	515	3,071
Other Markets	5,057	23.4%	627	485	1,511	7,232
Group Corporate Centre / eliminations	n/a	n/a	(289)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Group total	21,618	100.0%	7,136	5,516	9,484	46,900

AIA China / Mainland China. AIA China is a high-quality growth engine rather than the largest current insurance-revenue contributor. It generated 16.0% of FY2025 group insurance revenue and 1,240 of VONB. The business is built around professional full-time agents and selective bancassurance, with Premier Agency accounting for 85% of 2025 VONB and bancassurance the remaining 15%. AIA continues expanding beyond its original five geographies into new provinces and cities; the nine newer regions produced 118 of VONB in 2025 and management set an ambition for 40% CAGR from 2025 to 2030 before economic assumption changes. The China bull case is large underpenetrated protection and savings demand plus permissioned geographic expansion. The China bear case is macro confidence, interest rates, regulation, and potential pressure on savings and guarantee economics.

AIA Hong Kong. AIA Hong Kong is the largest profit and new-business contributor, with 5,318 of FY2025 insurance revenue, 2,770 of OPAT, and 2,256 of VONB. It serves both domestic Hong Kong/Macau customers and Mainland Chinese visitor customers. In 2025 VONB rose 28%, with domestic customer growth of 21% and Mainland Chinese visitor customer growth of 35%. Agency contributed 70% of Hong Kong VONB, while partnerships grew strongly across bancassurance, IFAs, and brokers. This market matters disproportionately because it combines affluent customer demand, cross-border savings/protection flows, strong agency productivity, and high VONB margin of 68.5%.

AIA Thailand. Thailand produced 2,811 of insurance revenue, 1,210 of OPAT, and 993 of VONB in FY2025. Its VONB margin of 110.9% is unusually high because annualised new premiums were 895 and the product mix included strong individual medical insurance sales ahead of industry-wide co-payment rules in March 2025. That created a pull-forward

dynamic; management already cautioned that Q1 2026 Thailand would face a high base, and the Q1 2026 group release explicitly showed group growth excluding Thailand at 22% versus headline 13%. Thailand remains a strong agency/protection franchise, but the segment should be normalized carefully in forecasts.

AIA Singapore. Singapore contributed 2,833 of insurance revenue, 721 of OPAT, and 530 of VONB. Its FY2025 VONB grew 14%, with both agency and partnership channels growing double digit. The segment skews toward affluent, high-net-worth, domestic, and international customer segments, including unit-linked long-term savings. That mix supported 23% ANP growth but reduced VONB margin to 47.0%. Singapore is therefore a useful reminder that growth quality depends on mix: more premium volume is good only if capital, guarantee, persistency, and margin economics remain attractive.

AIA Malaysia. Malaysia generated 2,139 of insurance revenue, 389 of OPAT, and 373 of VONB. The full-year VONB result was roughly flat at constant exchange rates, with second-half recovery offsetting first-half disruption from health insurance market developments announced in late 2024. Agency capacity in early 2025 was partly absorbed by advising existing customers on policy options, reducing frontline selling activity. Partnerships were stronger, with bancassurance and corporate solutions contributing 17% VONB growth. The segment has attractive margin at 72.2%, but near-term channel execution and health regulatory adjustment are central watch items.

Other Markets. Other Markets is a portfolio segment, not one country. It includes Australia, Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Myanmar, New Zealand, the Philippines, South Korea, Sri Lanka, Taiwan (China), and Vietnam. It had 5,057 of FY2025 insurance revenue, making it the second-largest insurance-revenue block, but only 627 of OPAT and 485 of VONB. The mix includes mature markets, developing markets, associates, joint ventures, and partnership transitions. India through Tata AIA Life is strategically important and included in AIA's ANP/VONB disclosure for Other Markets, while China Post Life is excluded from group ANP/VONB/TWPI but held at corporate centre. Forecasting Other Markets requires country-level caution because growth in India, Korea, Sri Lanka, Cambodia, New Zealand, and Vietnam can be offset by partnership changes or weaker conditions in Australia, Indonesia, the Philippines, or Taiwan.

Group Corporate Centre / eliminations. Group Corporate Centre is not a sales market. It holds corporate functions, shared services, surplus assets, certain taxes, and intra-group eliminations. In FY2025 it reduced OPAT by 289. For VONB, the segment subtotal of 5,877 was reduced by consolidated capital requirements, unallocated Group Office expenses, Group Corporate Centre tax, and non-controlling interests to group VONB of 5,516. This is important because market-level economics look better before group-level capital and tax friction.

Unit Economics

AIA's unit economics begin at sale. A customer buys a protection, health, savings, retirement, or investment-linked policy. AIA pays acquisition costs to agents or partners, recognizes new-business value based on assumptions about premiums, claims, lapses, investment returns, expenses, taxes, and required capital, and then earns profit over time as the policy remains in force. The best business is not necessarily the product with the most premium. It is the product that creates high VONB per unit of premium with low guarantees, manageable capital, good persistency, and low claims variance.

Metric	FY2025 / TTM value	Why it matters
VONB	5,516	Economic value of new policies written in the year after group-level deductions
VONB margin	58.5%	New-business value as a percentage of ANP, a core indicator of mix and pricing quality
ANP	9,484	New-business sales activity; useful but incomplete without margin and capital cost
TWPI	46,900	Broader premium activity, including renewal premiums from the in-force book
OPAT	7,136	Core operating earnings after tax and non-controlling interests
UFSG	6,765	Free surplus generated by the in-force book before new-business investment
Net FSG	4,451	Free surplus after funding new business and group costs, closer to annual return capacity
Shareholder capital ratio	221%	Capital buffer relative to required capital at year-end 2025

The attractive feature of AIA's model is the flywheel between distribution, VONB, CSM, OPAT, and free surplus. High-quality new business adds CSM and embedded value. CSM then releases into operating profit over the life of contracts. Profitable in-force business generates free surplus, which funds new business, dividends, buybacks, and selective growth investment. The problem for investors is that small errors in assumptions can compound for decades. Mortality, morbidity, medical inflation, lapse behavior, policyholder dividends, credit spreads, interest rates, and expense assumptions all matter.

Segment margins show very different unit-economics profiles. Thailand's OPAT/TWPI margin was 22.7% and VONB margin 110.9%, reflecting the high-value medical/protection mix and the demand pull-forward before co-payment changes. Hong Kong's OPAT/TWPI margin was 18.8% with VONB margin 68.5%, a strong combination of scale, affluent customers, and high productivity. China had a lower OPAT/TWPI margin of 15.2% and VONB margin of 57.6%, but its strategic value lies in growth runway and geographic expansion. Other Markets had OPAT/TWPI margin of 9.1% and VONB margin of 32.0%, reflecting portfolio mix, associate accounting, and markets still scaling or absorbing partnership shifts.

Capital intensity is a central unit-economics variable. AIA highlighted a proactive shift to less capital-intensive products in FY2025, which helped reduce free surplus used to fund new business and lift net FSG. The strongest Gideon-style version of AIA is not merely "Asia insurance growth." It is sustained VONB growth that consumes less incremental capital per unit of value, preserves high persistency, and compounds OPAT per share through CSM release and buybacks. The weakest version is volume growth funded by higher guarantees, higher acquisition costs, lower persistency, or regulatory capital drag.

Customer, Distribution, and Demand Generation

AIA sells a product that many customers do not wake up wanting to buy. Life and health insurance requires education, trust, needs analysis, and persistence. That is why AIA's distribution architecture is central to the business rather than a back-office detail. The company has three broad customer acquisition modes: proprietary agency, bank and strategic partnerships, and intermediated channels such as brokers and IFAs.

Distribution channel	FY2025 disclosed economics	Strategic role
Premier Agency	4,273 VONB; 73% of total group VONB; 13% VONB growth	Main advice-led acquisition engine, strongest control over pricing, training, conduct, and product mix
Partnerships	1,593 VONB; 22% VONB growth; 45.4% VONB margin	Access to large bank/intermediary customer pools with embedded trust and wealth relationships
Bancassurance	Margin above 40% in 2025, with 20% VONB growth in the channel	Selective bank relationships, affluent/HNW propositions, high average case size
Intermediated channels	31% VONB growth	Targeted access to customers seeking independent advice, mainly where AIA can maintain sales-quality discipline

Agency is the higher-control channel. AIA's tied-agency model allows the company to shape recruitment, training, product design, pricing discipline, technology tools, and customer engagement. This matters because poor agency quality can destroy life insurance economics through mis-selling, lapse, regulatory penalties, and weak persistency. AIA's agency strategy emphasizes professional full-time agents, leaders who recruit and train new agents, AI-enabled role-play training, online-to-offline lead generation, and existing-customer marketing. The company generated roughly five million online leads for agents in 2025 and reported a 17% conversion rate; across its five largest markets, online-to-offline leads accounted for more than half of agency ANP.

Partnerships are lower-control but potentially high reach. AIA's bank relationships include Bangkok Bank, Public Bank Berhad, Bank Central Asia, BPI, Citibank, and Bank of East Asia. AIA says these bank partnerships have an average duration of more than 20 years and access to more than 100 million potential customers. The best version of this channel is high-quality affluent and wealth-oriented sales with disciplined underwriting. The weaker version is overreliance on a partner whose incentives change, a bank salesforce that prioritizes volume over quality, or a partnership termination such as the Indonesia bancassurance disruption noted in 2025.

Demand is supported by structural factors: aging populations, rising incomes, low private insurance penetration, limited social-welfare coverage in many Asian markets, high out-of-pocket health spending, and a growing middle/affluent class seeking retirement and legacy planning. AIA's health proposition, including AIA Vitality and integrated healthcare initiatives, can increase engagement frequency in a category where traditional life insurance otherwise has low touchpoints. For investors, the question is whether these services deepen retention and claims management enough to justify the strategic narrative.

Market and Competition

AIA competes market by market against domestic life insurers, bank-owned insurers, multinational insurers, brokers, wealth managers, and increasingly digital distribution platforms. In Mainland China it faces large domestic insurers and bank distribution ecosystems. In Hong Kong it competes for domestic customers, new residents, and Mainland Chinese visitors against other large life insurers and wealth channels. In Thailand, Malaysia, Singapore, and Other Markets, competition includes local incumbents, regional insurers, global insurers, bank partners' captive or preferred insurance platforms, and independent financial advisers.

The competitive axis is not only price. Insurance competition is about distribution access, trust, product design, underwriting, capital efficiency, claims/service experience, persistency, and regulatory credibility. AIA appears strongest where advice-led distribution and brand matter: protection, health, high-net-worth planning, critical illness, medical riders, retirement income, and long-term savings. It is more exposed where products become commoditized, guarantees become expensive, bank partners control the customer relationship, or regulators intervene in pricing and claims design.

AIA's FY2025 data points support a strong competitive position, but with market-specific nuance. Hong Kong delivered 28% VONB growth and a 68.5% VONB margin, suggesting strong customer demand and channel productivity. Thailand had exceptional protection economics but an unusual pre-regulatory pull-forward. China had accelerating second-half momentum but remains sensitive to consumer confidence and rates. Malaysia showed that health product regulation can temporarily absorb agency capacity and slow new sales. Other Markets had positive growth across seven markets but also revealed the fragility of some partnership arrangements.

For a long-term compounder lens, the key moat question is whether AIA's distribution and brand advantages are getting stronger or merely harvesting a favorable cycle. Evidence in favor: 96,000 active agents, top MDRT rankings across major markets, high agency VONB share, long-duration bank relationships, and broad geographic diversification. Evidence against or to monitor: dependence on human agency productivity, potential mis-selling/regulatory scrutiny, bank partner bargaining power, medical inflation, rates/guarantees, and cross-border demand sensitivity in Hong Kong.

Management and Capital Allocation Overview

Lee Yuan Siong is Group Chief Executive and President. Management's public message is consistent: grow high-quality new business, emphasize capital-efficient product mix, compound OPAT per share, maintain strong capital, and return excess capital through a clear payout framework. FY2025 results show this framework in operation. AIA reported OPAT of 7,136, OPAT per share growth of 12%, UFSG of 6,765, net FSG of 4,451, operating ROE of 15.5%, operating ROEV of 15.8%, EV Equity of 79,678, and a shareholder capital ratio of 221%.

The capital policy targets returning 75% of annual net FSG through dividends and buybacks, plus periodic reviews of excess capital. In FY2025 AIA paid dividends of 2,427 and completed share buybacks of 2,279, for total shareholder capital returns of 4,706. The Board recommended a total dividend of 193.08 Hong Kong cents per share, up 10%, and approved a new buyback program of about US$1.7 billion. The Q1 2026 update confirmed that AIA had started buying back under the latest program on 30 March 2026 and had repurchased 56.7 million shares for HK$4,806 million, approximately US$614 million, through 29 April 2026.

This capital allocation is attractive if two conditions hold. First, new business must generate returns above the cost of capital after all capital requirements and expenses. Second, buybacks must occur at prices below intrinsic value, or at least below the expected value of reinvesting excess capital elsewhere. AIA's strong solvency ratios create flexibility, but they also matter because insurance capital is not free cash in the industrial sense. Capital must back policyholder promises, local regulatory requirements, group LCSM requirements, and rating-agency expectations. The capital-return policy is therefore a signal of confidence only if it does not impair the ability to write profitable new business or withstand market stress.

Key Risks

Interest rates and guarantees. AIA writes long-duration products whose economics depend on discount rates, investment yields, guarantee levels, and asset-liability management. Lower rates can pressure reinvestment yields, guarantee profitability, surplus asset returns, and embedded value assumptions. Higher rates can create market-value volatility, lapse risk, and customer switching incentives. The risk varies by market and product mix.

Medical inflation, morbidity, and claims. Health and critical illness are strategically attractive, but medical inflation can erode margins if pricing, co-pay design, claims management, and provider networks do not keep pace. Thailand's 2025 pull-forward before co-payment rules and Malaysia's 2025 health market disruption show that health insurance regulation and

customer repricing can materially affect channel activity and timing.

Persistency and conduct. AIA's economics rely on customers keeping policies in force. Poor sales quality, mis-selling, weak customer understanding, or aggressive bank/agency incentives can drive lapses, complaints, regulatory intervention, and lower embedded value. AIA's quality-focused agency model reduces this risk but does not eliminate it.

China macro and regulation. Mainland China is a major growth runway and a major uncertainty. Consumer confidence, wealth effects, interest rates, regulatory approvals for geographic expansion, product rules, and competitive dynamics can all affect AIA China. China Post Life adds strategic exposure but also introduces associate, regulatory, and minority-investment complexity.

Hong Kong cross-border flows. Hong Kong is AIA's most important profit and VONB contributor. Mainland Chinese visitor demand is valuable but sensitive to travel, currency, capital-control perceptions, wealth confidence, and policy changes. A slowdown would matter more than its direct revenue share suggests because Hong Kong has very high VONB and OPAT contribution.

Partner concentration and renegotiation. Bancassurance creates powerful distribution leverage but can be fragile if bank incentives, exclusivity, economics, or regulatory expectations change. The Other Markets segment already includes examples where partnership changes affected growth.

Capital, solvency, and accounting complexity. AIA's shareholder capital ratio of 221% and Group LCSM coverage ratio of 233% are strong, but insurance solvency is local and group-wide. Future changes in capital regimes, Insurance Capital Standard implementation, HKRBC, GWS/LCSM rules, and local solvency reviews could change required capital or distributable surplus.

Currency and market risk. AIA reports in US dollars but operates across Asian currencies and invests large pools of assets. Equity markets, credit spreads, property values, FX, and interest rates can move EV, capital ratios, and investor sentiment even when operating performance is sound.

Technology and AI execution. AI is more likely to help than disrupt AIA in the near term through agent training, underwriting, claims, lead generation, fraud detection, and service automation. But execution risk remains: privacy, model risk, regulatory compliance, cybersecurity, and poor automation of advisory workflows could create reputational or conduct problems.

Synthesis Takeaways for Downstream ER2 Work

The primer framing for AIA should be "distribution-led pan-Asian life/health compounding," not a generic insurance rollup. AIA's visible strength is the interaction of high-quality agency, long bank partnerships, underpenetrated Asian protection/savings demand, and capital discipline. The critical diligence question is whether those advantages can continue producing high VONB and OPAT per share growth without requiring more capital or taking more guarantee/claims risk.

The most important segment for current value is AIA Hong Kong because it is the largest OPAT and VONB contributor and has very high margin. The most important long-run growth optionality is AIA China because geographic expansion and underpenetration could compound for years, but it carries higher macro/regulatory uncertainty. Thailand is high-quality but needs normalization after the 2025 medical product pull-forward. Singapore is affluent and scalable but mix-sensitive. Malaysia is attractive but health-regulation-sensitive. Other Markets offers diversification and India upside but lower current profitability and more country/partner complexity.

For the Gideon framework, AIA passes the "understandable business" test only if the model is built around insurer-native economics: VONB, CSM, OPAT, free surplus, EV, capital, persistency, claims, and distribution quality. It should not be valued or screened like an industrial or software company. The current QF base gives the downstream team clean FY2025 numbers: OPAT 7,136, VONB 5,516, UFSG 6,765, net FSG 4,451, EV Equity 79,678, shareholder capital ratio 221%, and Q1 2026 VONB growth of 13% as post-anchor evidence.

Sources Consulted

Source	Location
Quantitative foundation	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\quant_foundation.md`
Quant foundation review	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\quant_foundation_review.md`
AIA Results and Reports page	https://www.aia.com/en/investor-relations/overview/results-presentations

Source	Location
AIA FY2025 annual report PDF	https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/2025%20Annual%20Report%20%28Eng%29.pdf
AIA FY2025 annual results press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260319
AIA FY2025 annual results announcement PDF	https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/AIA%20Group%202025%20Annual%20Results%20Ann%20%28Eng%29.pdf
AIA Q1 2026 new-business highlights press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260430
FMP live pull files for Subagent A	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\raw\subagent_A_20260529\`

Appendix M - Management Assessment

AIA Group Limited - Management Assessment

Company: AIA Group Limited Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299 Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore Output path: `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_management.md` Management gate: **GREEN**

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript amed `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

Source Base

This appendix used fresh subagent-M pulls saved under `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\raw\subagent_M_20260529\`. FMP profile, quote, and transcript endpoints were pulled live. The FMP transcript endpoint returned usable content for 2022 Q4, 2023 Q2, 2023 Q4, 2024 Q2, 2024 Q4, and 2025 Q2, and empty arrays for 2025 Q4 and 2026 Q1. AIA's official FY2025 and 1H2025 transcript PDFs therefore control the most recent call evidence. Official AIA annual report, governance page, FY2025 transcript, 1H2025 transcript, FY2024 transcript, and 1H2024 transcript were freshly downloaded from AIA's IR site. A live SEC search did not identify a controlling AIA Group 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K, 20-F, or 6-K filing for the Level 1 OTC ADR; AIA/HKEX official materials are the controlling governance and financial sources.

Source	Live location or endpoint	Use
AIA FY2025 Annual Report	https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/2025%20Annual%20Report%20%28Eng%29.pdf	Board, executive committee, remuneration, governance, capital allocation

Source	Live location or endpoint	Use
AIA corporate governance page	https://www.aia.com/en/investor-relations/corporate-governance	Current board count and committee structure
AIA FY2025 analyst transcript	https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/AIA%20Group%20FY2025%20Analyst%20Presentation%20FINAL%20%28Transcript%20with%20Q%26A%29.pdf	Question handling, capital, China, Hong Kong, private credit
AIA 1H2025 analyst transcript	https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2025/AIA%20Group%201H2025%20Analyst%20Presentation%20FINAL%20%28Transcript%20with%20Q%26A%29.pdf	China geographies, Malaysia disruption, agency execution
FMP live endpoints	`profile`, `quote`, `earning-call-transcript` for 1299.HK	Secondary live transcript/provenance check
Workdir inputs	`run_context.md`, `quant_foundation.md`, `quant_foundation_review.md`, `data_package.md`, `appendix_A_primer.md`	ER2 financial and operating base

1. Who Is Running The Company

Lee Yuan Siong is the relevant management owner for the current AIA thesis. He joined AIA in March 2020 and became Group Chief Executive and President on 1 June 2020, so he has now had roughly six years in-seat and owns the post-COVID strategy, the agency/partnership productivity program, the capital-management framework now visible in buybacks and dividends, and the China geographic-expansion discipline. His background is unusually well matched to AIA's problem set: more than 30 years in insurance, former Ping An executive director, co-CEO and Chief Insurance Business Officer, senior roles at Prudential including CITIC-Prudential Life in Mainland China, experience across Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam, and actuarial training.

Garth Jones is Group CFO. He joined AIA in April 2011 and is responsible for capital and financial management, external auditor and actuary relationships, rating agencies, accounting bodies and regulators. He previously held senior roles at China Pacific Life, Prudential Corporation Asia, and Swiss Re's Asia life business. For a life insurer, this is not a generic CFO resume; it is a capital, actuarial and regional life-insurance resume.

The executive bench is deep and local. Jacky Chan is Regional Chief Executive and Group Chief Distribution Officer, responsible for Hong Kong, Macau, Taiwan, the Philippines, agency distribution, partnership distribution and corporate solutions, with 38 years at AIA and prior CEO responsibility for Hong Kong and Macau. Fisher Zhang leads Mainland China, South Korea and Vietnam after serving as AIA China CEO from 2017 through 2025 and spending 25 years at AIA China. Hak-Leh Tan leads Thailand, Singapore, Brunei, Malaysia, Cambodia and Myanmar, after previous AIA CEO roles in Thailand and Singapore and prior service as Director of the Insurance Department of the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Mark Konyn, Group Chief Investment Officer, is important for this assessment because AIA's value depends on disciplined asset-liability management, private asset selection and credit discipline.

The board accountability structure is generally strong but not perfect. AIA's current official corporate governance page says the Board consists of 13 directors, one Executive Director and twelve Independent Non-executive Directors. The annual report describes board refresh actions around the transition from Edmund Tse to Sir Mark Tucker, with Tucker appointed Independent Non-executive Chairman on 1 October 2025. Tucker is a credible insurance-market chair: former AIA CEO from 2010 to 2017, former Prudential CEO, former HSBC non-executive chairman, and long Asia financial-services experience. The governance yellow flag is tenure concentration among several long-serving independent directors from the post-IPO era, although the recent Tucker/Khoo/Ku appointments show active refresh.

Role	Person	Tenure / background	Assessment
Group CEO and President	Lee Yuan Siong	CEO since 1 Jun 2020; prior Ping An co-CEO / insurance head; Prudential Asia roles	Strong fit for distribution-led Asia life insurer
Group CFO	Garth Jones	Joined AIA Apr 2011; actuarial and Asia life-insurance finance background	Strong capital and solvency discipline
Chairman	Sir Mark Tucker	AIA chair from 1 Oct 2025; former AIA CEO and Prudential CEO	Strong industry oversight, but high-profile external network to monitor
Distribution / Hong Kong	Jacky Chan	38 years at AIA; leads distribution and key markets	High institutional knowledge and execution credibility

Role	Person	Tenure / background	Assessment
Mainland China	Fisher Zhang	25 years at AIA China; former AIA China CEO	Strong local continuity for key growth option
ASEAN markets	Hak-Leh Tan	Former MAS insurance regulator; former AIA Singapore/Thailand CEO	Strong regulatory and market operating fit

2. Tenure And Track Record

Lee is long enough in-seat to own the current numbers. He is not a newly arrived CEO asking investors to underwrite a plan without evidence. Since 2020, AIA has navigated COVID disruption, Hong Kong's reopening, China interest-rate pressure, IFRS 17 transition, health-insurance reform in Malaysia, and a more active capital-return regime. FY2025 results show the operating record: VONB of US$5.516 billion, up 15% at constant exchange rates; OPAT of US$7.136 billion, up 12% per share; UFSG of US$6.765 billion, up 11% per share; net FSG of US$4.451 billion, up 14% per share; operating ROE of 15.5%; operating ROEV of 15.8%; and shareholder capital ratio of 221%.

The first major decision was to double down on high-quality agency rather than chase volume through weaker channels. AIA's Premier Agency delivered US$4.273 billion of 2025 VONB, 73% of group VONB, with 13% VONB growth and improved margin. That is the most important management mark in Lee's favor: the company's core proprietary channel is still growing, not just coasting on a legacy installed base.

The second major decision was to keep expanding China, but with a selective agency/bancassurance model aimed at middle-class and affluent households rather than mass-market spread. In 2025, AIA China VONB was US$1.240 billion, up only 2% after economic-assumption pressure, but second-half VONB grew 14% and the first two months of 2026 were described as up more than 20%. The newer China regions produced US$118 million of VONB in 2025, up 45%, and management set a 40% CAGR ambition for those regions from 2025 to 2030 before economic-assumption changes. The risk is that the base is still small and the macro environment is difficult; the positive signal is that management is not pretending the whole China segment is compounding at 40%.

The third major decision was to shift product mix and capital use toward less capital-intensive new business. AIA's annual report says free surplus used to fund new business fell 6% to US$1.437 billion while VONB rose 15%, increasing value per dollar of capital invested. Management attributed the improvement to product and country mix, especially participating products in China and capital-efficient growth in Hong Kong. For a life insurer, this is the right compounding direction: not simply more premium, but more value per unit of required capital.

There are mistakes and soft spots. Malaysia's health-insurance review reduced agency selling time in the first half of 2025. Thailand's 2025 VONB included pull-forward before industry co-payment rules, so Q1 2026 was expected to fall against a high base. Other Markets remains a mixed portfolio with partner and country-specific disruption. The management response in calls was generally candid: they named Malaysia as a temporary disruption from customer servicing requirements, acknowledged Thailand's pull-forward, and explained China VONB pressure from lower rates and product transition rather than hiding behind vague macro language.

3. Capital Allocation Behavior

AIA's capital allocation behavior is a major reason this gate is GREEN. The company has an explicit capital-management framework: maintain robust solvency, fund profitable new business growth, pay out 75% of annual net free surplus generation through dividends and buybacks, and periodically return excess capital. In FY2025 net FSG was US$4.451 billion, implying a 75% payout amount of US$3.339 billion. The Board recommended a 10% final dividend increase and approved a new US$1.743 billion buyback, including US$1.0 billion from an excess-capital review. Total FY2025 shareholder capital returns were US$4.706 billion, consisting of US$2.427 billion of dividends and US$2.279 billion of buybacks. From March 2022 through FY2025, the company has used buybacks as a material part of per-share value creation.

Year	Capex ($B)	Buybacks ($B)	Dividends ($B)	M&A ($B)	Interpretation
FY2021	0.64	0.11	2.18	1.91	Pre-large buyback era; selective growth investment
FY2022	0.39	3.57	2.28	0.28	Shift toward capital returns after strong capital position
FY2023	0.33	3.64	2.31	0.39	Continued buyback discipline
FY2024	0.24	4.15	2.33	0.10	Aggressive capital return while capital ratio remained high

Year	Capex ($B)	Buybacks ($B)	Dividends ($B)	M&A ($B)	Interpretation
FY2025	0.19	2.28 official / 2.37 FMP	2.44	0.12	Return policy continued; new US$1.743B program approved

M&A has not been the main story, which is positive. The visible non-organic items are modest relative to the balance sheet, such as the New Medical Centre Holding acquisition and additional growth capital for China Post Life included in investment return variances and other items. AIA is not trying to manufacture growth through serial large acquisitions. The better evidence is organic new business funded by free surplus, selective China geographic expansion, and returning surplus capital when not needed.

Buybacks are not perfectly price-sensitive in the way a founder-led industrial compounder might behave, because they are tied partly to the free-surplus payout formula. But the framework is transparent, solvency-conditioned and per-share oriented. The Q1 2026 release also showed execution: the 2026 program began on 30 March 2026, and by 29 April AIA had repurchased 56.7 million shares for HK$4.806 billion, about US$614 million.

4. Compensation Alignment

Compensation is good, not flawless. The short-term incentive plan is anchored to insurer-native value drivers rather than generic revenue: 15% UFSG, 25% OPAT and 60% VONB for 2025, with individual performance and behavior also considered. The long-term incentive plan uses 28% EV Equity, 28% VONB, 28% UFSG and 16% relative TSR, assessed over a three-year performance period for performance-vesting RSUs. That is a strong design for AIA because it rewards new-business value, in-force cash generation, embedded value growth and shareholder return.

The positive feature is that compensation is tied to what actually matters for AIA: economic new business, free surplus, OPAT, EV and TSR. The caution is that ROE/ROEV and explicit persistency/conduct metrics are less visible in the headline incentive table, although AIA says incentives incorporate risk considerations and behavior, with malus and clawback mechanisms embedded in the incentive framework. Lee's FY2025 remuneration was US$14.771 million, including salary/allowances/benefits of US$1.819 million, bonus of US$5.524 million and share-based payments of US$7.196 million. He had aggregate shares and underlying shares of 10.176 million at year-end 2025, about 0.09% of shares in issue. That is meaningful executive exposure, although not owner-operator control.

Compensation element	2025 design	Gideon read
STI metrics	UFSG 15%, OPAT 25%, VONB 60%	Good alignment to annual economics, though heavy VONB weighting needs conduct discipline
LTI metrics	EV Equity 28%, VONB 28%, UFSG 28%, relative TSR 16%	Stronger than revenue/earnings-only plans
Vesting	Three-year performance period for performance RSUs	Adequate, could be longer for a 20-year insurer
Safeguards	Malus/clawback, risk review, share ownership guidelines	Positive governance evidence
CEO ownership	10.176m shares/underlying shares, 0.09%	Material but not controlling

5. Disclosure Quality And Candor

Management's call behavior is above average. They do use the expected CEO language about strong foundations and long-term opportunity, but the Q&A record includes real answers to load-bearing questions. On private credit in the FY2025 call, management did not simply say "small and diversified." Lee, Garth Jones and Mark Konyn explained the asset-liability matching rationale, stated private credit was about 2% of non-par and surplus assets, described conservative stress assumptions that include zero liquidity and no recovery value, and discussed senior-secured direct lending exposure. That is materially better than a vague answer.

On capital efficiency, Garth directly attributed 2025 improvement to country and product mix, including China participating products and Hong Kong growth, rather than claiming a mysterious structural improvement. On capital ratio, he reiterated the "comfortably above 200%" framework and said management is happy with that level at present, rather than promising endless buybacks. On forward guidance, Lee declined to add new VONB/CSM guidance but explained why the OPAT per-share target was introduced around IFRS 17 transition and why five years of history should help investors model earnings by end-2026. That is a defensible answer, though investors wanting more forward numeric commitment may dislike it.

Management is also willing to name negative drivers. Fisher Zhang discussed China product withdrawal to avoid unhealthy firesales, rate-related VONB headwinds, competition in bancassurance, and the shift to participating products. Hak-Leh Tan described Malaysia's agency slowdown as the result of industry-wide health-insurance review and customer servicing work. Jacky Chan was direct about Hong Kong broker/IFA competition and AIA's selective preferred-broker approach. The open disclosure gap is that AIA does not provide full quarterly consolidated statements or product-level revenue dollars; that is an issuer/reporting-structure limitation, not a management candor failure by itself.

6. Strategic Coherence

AIA's strategy is coherent and repeated across sources: grow high-quality life, health and savings business in Asia through premier agency, selective partnerships, disciplined product design, technology-enabled productivity, and prudent capital management. The annual report frames the business around "high-quality and capital-efficient" portfolios, recurring earnings, cash flows, and risk discipline. The numbers support the narrative: 91% of 2025 VONB came from protection and fee-based products with no or low investment-return guarantees; agency remained the main engine; partnership VONB grew 22%; and VONB rose faster than new-business capital investment.

This is not a company pivoting every year. The same architecture appears across China, Hong Kong, ASEAN and Other Markets: professional agents, affluent/middle-class customer focus, bank/IFA/broker selectivity, health/protection proposition, and capital discipline. Technology and AI are positioned as productivity tools for leads, training, service, underwriting and claims, not as a replacement for the advice-led model. That is credible for life insurance.

The biggest strategic watch item is China. AIA's long-term China opportunity is real, but the segment is exposed to rates, consumer confidence, regulation, savings-product economics and bancassurance competition. Management has so far handled this by repricing, shifting to participating products, preserving agent quality and expanding geographies selectively. The China strategy remains investable because management is not chasing low-margin mass bancassurance, but it is not risk-free.

7. Governance Flags

No governance issue rises to a management fail. The annual report says AIA had not entered into any non-exempt connected transactions for 2025, and related-party transactions were in the ordinary course and exempt under HK Listing Rules. The board and committees reviewed risk management and internal controls for 2025 and considered them adequate and effective, while noting remediation plans for certain business-unit control weaknesses under governance oversight. PwC remains auditor. AIA has one ordinary-share class for common shareholders; no dual-class or founder-control issue was identified.

There are three monitoring flags. First, several directors have long tenure, so board refresh must continue after the Tucker transition. Second, the chair is exceptionally connected and experienced, but his many external advisory and board roles require practical time-commitment monitoring. Third, insurance conduct risk is always live for a human agency and broker-distribution model; Hong Kong broker referral fees, Malaysia health reform and China sales-quality claims all need ongoing scrutiny.

Governance item	Evidence	Gate impact
Board independence	Current official page: 13 directors, one executive and 12 INEDs	Positive
Chairman	Sir Mark Tucker, former AIA CEO, appointed INED chair 1 Oct 2025	Positive oversight, monitor external commitments
Connected transactions	No non-exempt connected transactions in 2025; related-party items ordinary-course exempt	Positive
Internal controls	Board found systems adequate/effective; remediation plans noted for selected weaknesses	Green with monitoring
Share structure	No dual-class structure identified	Positive
Conduct risk	Agency/broker model across many jurisdictions	Structural monitoring item

8. Peer Comparison

Against Prudential plc under Anil Wadhwani, AIA has the more proven in-seat CEO record. Wadhwani was appointed to Prudential's board in February 2023 and has strong Asia credentials, including prior Manulife Asia leadership. But Lee has already owned a longer public-company execution period at AIA, including COVID recovery, capital returns and the current China/geography strategy. Prudential may have a more concentrated Asia/Africa growth profile, but AIA's capital-return

framework and solvency disclosures are cleaner for per-share compounding.

Against Manulife under Phil Witherington, AIA again has the more seasoned current group CEO track record. Witherington became Manulife President and CEO on 8 May 2025 after serving as Manulife Asia CEO and previously CFO. His Asia and finance background is strong, but the group CEO sample is still short. Manulife has scale and Asia optionality, but AIA's management record is more directly tied to pan-Asian life/health distribution quality.

Against Ping An, the comparison is more complicated. Ping An has massive China scale, deep technology investment and a long entrepreneurial culture, but also heavier China macro, property/financial-system and conglomerate complexity. AIA's Lee previously came from Ping An, but AIA's governance, balance-sheet simplicity, pan-Asian diversification and capital-return framework are easier to underwrite for minority shareholders.

Directional rank: Lee/AIA ranks at or above the realistic peer set on five-year stewardship. The combination of insurance-specific leadership, transparent capital framework, agency quality, solvency discipline and measured communication is stronger than the average listed insurer.

9. Management Gate Verdict

Verdict: GREEN. AIA's management clears the Gideon compounder bar. Lee Yuan Siong has had enough time in-seat to own the strategy and the results; the CFO and regional bench have deep life-insurance, actuarial, regulatory and distribution experience; incentives are tied to VONB, UFSG, OPAT, EV and TSR; and capital allocation is disciplined around net free surplus generation, solvency and per-share value. The company has protected per-share value through a difficult period with rising OPAT per share, rising UFSG per share, a 221% shareholder capital ratio and material buybacks/dividends.

This is not a flawless GREEN. The board needs continued refresh, China needs ongoing proof that new-region growth and participating-product shifts can sustain attractive VONB without lower-quality volume, and the broker/agency model requires conduct vigilance. But those are monitoring items inside an otherwise high-quality management case, not reasons to hold the gate at YELLOW. The hard fail signals are absent: no controlling shareholder abuse, no dual-class structure, no serial value-destructive M&A, no evidence of chronic dilution, no obvious evasiveness on capital or product-mix questions, and no governance issue that undermines minority holders.

Key monitoring conditions for the full ER2 thesis even under a GREEN gate: keep shareholder capital ratio comfortably above 200% while funding organic growth; require China VONB growth to come with stable margins and agent quality rather than mass-market bancassurance volume; verify that Malaysia/Thailand health-insurance disruption remains bounded; watch Hong Kong broker/IFA conduct and commission-spreading regulation; and expect continued board refresh as long-tenured directors roll off.

Appendix W - Moat and 2046 Durability

AIA Group Limited - Moat and 2046 Durability

Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore. Company: AIA Group Limited. Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299.

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

Source base and caveats

This appendix read `run_context.md`, `quant_foundation.md`, `quant_foundation_review.md`, `data_package.md`, `appendix_A_primer.md`, and all current `appendix_B_*.md` files in the workdir. The segment B appendices were still placeholders when read, so this integration relies on the reconciled quantitative foundation, the data package, the primer, and fresh live checks rather than any completed segment deep-dive file.

Fresh live source checks were performed on 2026-05-29. FMP `/stable/quote`, `/stable/key-metrics`, `/stable/revenue-product-segmentation`, and `/stable/earning-call-transcript` were pulled through the local FMP helper with no cache substitution. FMP again returned an empty product-segmentation array for 1299.HK; the 1H2025 transcript endpoint returned a 2025-08-21 transcript; key metrics confirmed FMP industrial ratios remain insurer-mapped and are not controlling for moat. AIA's live investor-relations page lists 2026 Q1 new-business highlights, 2025 annual results, annual report, financial supplement, and transcript materials. The live FY2025 press release reports VONB of US$5,516 million, OPAT of US$7,136 million, UFSG of US$6,765 million, net FSG of US$4,451 million, and shareholder capital ratio of 221%. The live Q1 2026 release reports VONB of US$1,757 million, up 13% at constant exchange

rates, with AIA China VONB up 26% and Hong Kong up 21%. A live SEC company-ticker file and EDGAR company search for AIA Group Limited found no controlling U.S. 10-K, 10-Q, or 8-K base for AIA; HKEX/AIA official materials control.

Source	Live URL or endpoint	Use
AIA results page	https://www.aia.com/en/investor-relations/overview/results-presentations	Official source index and archive
AIA FY2025 press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260319	FY2025 VONB, OPAT, free surplus, capital return
AIA Q1 2026 press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260430	Latest new-business and segment momentum
AIA FY2025 annual report	https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/2025%20Annual%20Report%20%28Eng%29.pdf	Segment note, distribution, risks, capital
FMP live helper	`/stable/quote`, `/stable/key-metrics`, `/stable/revenue-product-segmentation`, `/stable/earning-call-transcript`	Live cross-check and transcript evidence
SEC EDGAR live search	https://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/browse-edgar?company=AIA%20Group%20Limited&owner=exclude&action=getcompany&count=40	No matching controlling U.S. issuer filing

1. Moat taxonomy applied

Network effects. AIA does not have a classic digital network effect where each new user makes the product more valuable for every other user. The closest analogue is a distribution and reputation flywheel: high agent productivity attracts stronger recruits, stronger leaders train more agents, and a larger trusted customer base creates repeat and referral opportunities. That is real, but it is a weaker and slower-moving effect than a software network effect. It is most relevant in Premier Agency, especially Hong Kong, Thailand, Mainland China, and India through Tata AIA, and least relevant in commoditized savings products sold through banks or brokers.

Switching costs. Switching costs are meaningful but not absolute. Life, health, critical illness, participating, retirement, and long-term savings policies create friction through underwriting, age and health re-rating, surrender charges, tax/regulatory features, policyholder dividends, accumulated benefits, and agent relationship. A customer who bought a complex protection and savings solution from a trusted adviser often has economic and psychological reasons to stay. However, lapse behavior can change if products are mis-sold, rates rise, household income weakens, or a bank/IFA pushes replacement. Switching costs are strongest in in-force protection and health policies and weaker in new-money savings flows.

Scale economies. Scale is a core moat. AIA spreads actuarial, underwriting, technology, compliance, investment, brand, and product-development costs across 18 markets and US$345 billion of assets at FY2025. Scale also matters in agent training, digital lead generation, health data, claims analytics, provider relationships, and capital market access. AIA's 96,000-plus active agents across 15 markets and 73% agency share of group VONB show that scale is not just accounting size; it is distribution capacity. The limit is that life insurance remains local: licenses, products, regulation, tax, languages, agents, banks, and claims networks are country-specific. AIA has scale advantages, but they are modular by market rather than one global fixed-cost curve.

Brand and trust. Brand is one of AIA's strongest moats because the product is a long-duration promise. Customers are buying confidence that claims will be paid decades from now, advisers will remain reachable, and the insurer will not disappear through a financial or regulatory shock. AIA's century-long Asian history, HKEX listing, pan-Asian recognition, top positions in multiple markets, and professional agency reputation matter in a way that is hard for a new direct-to-consumer app to replicate quickly. The brand moat is strongest in high-trust advice-led categories: protection, medical, high-net-worth planning, retirement, and cross-border Hong Kong propositions.

Regulatory licenses. Regulatory authorization is a durable barrier, especially in Mainland China and other markets where approvals, solvency capital, conduct systems, local governance, and product reviews are complex. AIA's China geography expansion is permissioned; new regions since 2019 are a meaningful asset because a competitor cannot simply decide to sell nationally with equivalent local licenses and agency infrastructure. This is not a monopoly: regulators can change rules, open markets, cap pricing assumptions, or pressure product design. Still, a licensed, compliant, well-capitalized incumbent has a structural advantage over a new entrant trying to build an insurance balance sheet from scratch.

IP, product manufacturing, and underwriting. AIA has actuarial, underwriting, claims, asset-liability, health analytics, and product-manufacturing capabilities rather than patent-like IP. The moat is not that competitors cannot copy a single product

feature. It is that AIA can repeatedly price mortality, morbidity, lapse, expenses, guarantees, capital, and distribution incentives across markets while maintaining VONB and free-surplus economics. FY2025 group VONB margin of 58.5%, underlying free surplus generation of US$6.765 billion, and management's repeated emphasis on capital-efficient mix are evidence that manufacturing discipline is observable, not just asserted. The weakness is that a product feature can be copied; only the operating system behind pricing, underwriting, distribution quality, claims management, and capital discipline is durable.

High-trust procurement and advice. AIA's most defensible channel is advice-led procurement. Life and health insurance are complex, emotionally loaded, and often sold at household transition points: marriage, children, illness, retirement, migration, inheritance, or business succession. The customer often wants a human adviser, not a low-touch checkout flow. Premier Agency gives AIA end-to-end control over recruitment, training, conduct, product fit, and persistency. Bancassurance also benefits from high-trust bank relationships, but it shifts bargaining power toward the bank. Therefore AIA's moat is strongest where proprietary advisers own the customer relationship and weakest where the bank, broker, or platform controls the customer.

2. Per-segment moat

Segment	FY2025 OPAT	FY2025 VONB	Segment moat	Durability	Break signal
AIA China / Mainland China	1,708	1,240	Licensed geography expansion plus professional agency for affluent and middle-class households	10-year strong, 20-year uncertain	New geography VONB stalls, agent productivity falls, regulatory product caps compress margin
AIA Hong Kong	2,770	2,256	Premier Agency, affluent domestic and MCV trust, cross-border propositions, bank/IFA access	10-year strong, 20-year medium	MCV demand weakens, broker mix rises with lower margin, conduct/regulatory scrutiny increases
AIA Thailand	1,210	993	Market-leading agency, protection and health product mix, high medical/critical illness franchise	10-year solid, 20-year medium	VONB margin normalizes sharply after health co-pay pull-forward, medical loss ratio deteriorates
AIA Singapore	721	530	Affluent/HNW advice, offshore and unit-linked proposition, multi-channel reach	10-year solid, 20-year medium	Growth tilts to low-margin savings or bank-controlled high-cost acquisition
AIA Malaysia	389	373	Agency plus Public Bank-style bancassurance and protection/health focus	5-year medium, 10-year watch	Health reform causes persistent agency distraction or repricing/lapse stress
Other Markets	627	485	Portfolio of local franchises, India/Tata AIA optionality, selective growth markets	5-year mixed, 10-year uneven	Partner exits, country-level regulatory shock, low OPAT/TWPI margin persists

AIA China has a real moat, but it is not a blanket China insurance moat. It is a permissioned urban-affluent agency and selective-bancassurance moat that AIA is trying to replicate into newer geographies. The 2046 question is whether this remains a differentiated premium agency model or becomes one more regulated insurer selling repriced savings products in a low-rate market. The earliest hard evidence would be China VONB margin, agent productivity, new-recruit quality, and whether the 40% CAGR ambition for new geographies continues after the easy early-license phase.

AIA Hong Kong is the current jewel. It is the largest OPAT and VONB contributor, has high VONB margin, and benefits from a rare combination of domestic wealth, Mainland Chinese visitor demand, trusted brand, and sophisticated intermediated channels. Its moat is highly profitable but politically and regulatory sensitive. If cross-border demand, capital-control perceptions, travel patterns, broker economics, or Hong Kong/China regulatory posture change, the largest profit pool could be hit. For 2046, Hong Kong remains plausible as a regional wealth and insurance hub, but not risk-free enough for a clean GREEN.

AIA Thailand has strong evidence of market leadership and protection economics. FY2025's 110.9% VONB margin is impressive but partly flattered by a medical product pull-forward ahead of co-payment rules. The durable moat is agency strength and health/protection manufacturing, not the one-year margin print. If Thailand normalizes to lower but still high margins while OPAT keeps growing, the moat holds. If claims inflation and regulatory redesign eat the health economics, it becomes a cyclical product-mix story rather than a durable franchise.

AIA Singapore is a high-quality but mix-sensitive market. It has affluent customers, offshore/HNW propositions, and strong agency/partnership channels. The issue is that unit-linked and savings growth can bring lower VONB margin, higher market sensitivity, and more competition from banks and wealth managers. The segment is likely durable as part of AIA's ASEAN platform, but it is not the strongest stand-alone moat.

AIA Malaysia is a useful warning label. The segment's VONB margin is attractive, but 2025 health market developments absorbed agency capacity and slowed selling before recovery. That proves both sides of the thesis: the agency relationship is valuable enough to guide customers through reform, yet regulation can redirect the salesforce and alter economics quickly. The moat is medium until medical inflation, product repricing, and persistency stabilize.

Other Markets is the blended-moat problem. It contributes 23.4% of FY2025 insurance revenue but only 627 of OPAT and 485 of VONB. It includes attractive growth assets such as Tata AIA Life, but also markets with weaker profitability, partnership transitions, associate-accounting complexity, and country-level volatility. AIA's group moat is weaker than Hong Kong/Thailand alone because a material revenue block earns lower margins and has more uneven competitive position. The blended company still has a moat, but it is a portfolio moat, not a uniform fortress.

3. Margin persistence evidence

AIA's margin evidence is better than a typical financial firm because profitability has persisted through accounting changes, rates volatility, medical inflation, COVID aftermath, China macro uncertainty, and active competition. It is not perfect because FY2021-FY2022 are under old/transitioning accounting presentations and because insurer margins depend on assumptions. Still, the evidence is real: official OPAT rose from US$6.41 billion in FY2021 to US$7.14 billion in FY2025; operating ROE improved from 12.8% to 15.5%; VONB rose from US$3.09 billion in FY2022 to US$5.52 billion in FY2025; and net FSG rose from US$4.02 billion in FY2024 to US$4.45 billion in FY2025.

Metric	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Moat read
Official OPAT ($B)	6.41	6.37	6.21	6.61	7.14	Stable to rising despite macro and rate noise
Operating ROE	12.8%	13.2%	13.5%	14.8%	15.5%	Improving return quality
VONB ($B)	n/a	3.09	4.03	4.71	5.52	New-business economics recovered strongly
UFSG ($B)	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.33	6.77	In-force cash engine visible
Net FSG ($B)	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.02	4.45	Funding new business plus returns

Segment margins show stronger evidence in Hong Kong and Thailand, moderate evidence in China, and weaker evidence in Other Markets. China OPAT/TWPI margin declined from 19.6% in FY2021 to 15.2% in FY2025 while OPAT still rose, suggesting growth with some margin normalization. Hong Kong stayed around 18%-20% across five years. Thailand returned to 22.7% after a 2022 dip. Singapore moved from 21.1% to 13.7%, a yellow flag on mix and margin. Malaysia recovered to 13.1% after a 2023 trough. Other Markets remained below 12%, which is not fatal but dilutes the group moat.

Segment OPAT/TWPI margin	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Interpretation
AIA China	19.6%	18.8%	18.0%	16.2%	15.2%	Growth runway but margin compression to watch
AIA Hong Kong	18.1%	19.9%	18.9%	20.1%	18.8%	Strong and persistent
AIA Thailand	21.7%	18.8%	21.5%	21.8%	22.7%	Very strong, but FY2025 mix aided
AIA Singapore	21.1%	20.7%	17.1%	15.1%	13.7%	Yellow on mix and competition
AIA Malaysia	16.3%	16.5%	11.9%	12.4%	13.1%	Recovery but health reform risk
Other Markets	10.6%	11.5%	8.3%	7.4%	9.1%	Diversified but lower-quality blend

4. AI disruption stress test

AIA's core value is not a workflow that a foundation model can simply replicate. A model can draft a needs analysis, answer customer questions, summarize policy terms, triage claims, generate leads, coach agents, detect fraud, and improve underwriting. It cannot by itself hold regulatory capital, manufacture long-duration insurance liabilities, maintain local licenses, assume morbidity and mortality risk, or credibly promise claim payment over decades. That means AI attacks costs and distribution productivity more than the core balance-sheet moat.

The most exposed workflow is low-complexity advice and commodity lead generation. Direct-to-consumer platforms and AI advisers can pressure simple term life, simple health riders, basic savings illustrations, servicing, policy comparison, and broker/IFA search. If regulators permit AI-native advice and customers become comfortable buying protection without a human adviser, AIA's tied-agent productivity advantage would narrow. The first evidence would be rising direct/digital share in simple products, lower agent case counts, higher lapse from replacement, and lower acquisition cost among challengers.

The most protected economics are regulated underwriting, in-force cash generation, claims management, capital, and trusted high-touch advisory relationships. AIA can use AI to make agents better rather than obsolete: better lead scoring, product matching, role-play training, customer next-best-action, policy servicing, and claims triage. AIA's transcript and annual-report commentary emphasize AI and digital as amplification of the advice-led model, not replacement. That framing is credible because the customer relationship is trust-heavy and regulated.

AI does create a second-order bargaining risk. Banks and platforms will also use AI to identify customer needs and may demand more economics from insurers. If the bank owns the customer insight, AIA becomes a product manufacturer behind someone else's interface. This is the main AI-adjacent risk to bancassurance and IFA/broker channels. Agency mitigates that risk because AIA owns more of the relationship, but agency is labor-intensive and must keep recruiting high-quality people in a world where digital alternatives improve.

5. The 2046 test

Today's date is 2026-05-29. Twenty years forward is 2046-05-29. A credible 2046 AIA is still a pan-Asian life, health, retirement, and wealth-protection insurer. It sells long-duration protection, medical, critical illness, retirement income, participating, unit-linked, legacy-planning, and employee-benefit solutions to middle-class, affluent, high-net-worth, and corporate customers across China, Hong Kong/Macau, ASEAN, India-linked operations, and selected developed Asian markets. The channel mix is more digital, but the primary economic model is still advice-led and regulated: human advisers supported by AI, bank partners using data-rich customer journeys, and direct/self-service servicing for simple tasks.

In that base-case 2046, AIA China is larger but more regulated and lower-margin than the 2025 bull narrative implied; Hong Kong remains a high-margin regional wealth/protection hub but is less dominant as other Asian wealth centers grow; Thailand and ASEAN health/protection remain good businesses if medical inflation is managed; Singapore is an affluent wealth and retirement hub; Malaysia is profitable but regulation-heavy; Other Markets are more important if India and Southeast Asia scale. Group operating margin is directionally stable to slightly lower versus FY2025 because digital efficiency and scale offset higher medical costs, more bank/platform bargaining, and lower guaranteed-product economics. AIA still makes money because it owns trusted distribution, local licenses, actuarial/product manufacturing, a large in-force book, disciplined capital, and a free-surplus engine that keeps funding new business and shareholder returns.

That paragraph is specific enough to pass the "business still exists" part of 2046. The harder question is whether it is good enough for GREEN. I do not think it is. AIA's moat depends on a set of durable but contestable institutions: tied agency, bank partnerships, regulator tolerance, medical repricing, Hong Kong cross-border relevance, and China license expansion. Twenty years is long enough for AI-enabled direct distribution, bank data platforms, regulatory intervention, medical inflation, or China/Hong Kong policy shifts to change the economics. The 2046 base case is plausible, but it requires AIA to keep refreshing the moat rather than merely harvest an installed base.

The 2046 bear case is a lower-margin regulated product manufacturer. In that world, customers discover and compare insurance through bank super-apps, wealth platforms, and AI advisers; banks and platforms capture the customer relationship; human agency becomes costly and harder to recruit; regulators cap assumptions and scrutinize health repricing; medical inflation overwhelms claims management; Mainland China and Hong Kong savings flows become more policy-sensitive; and AIA's in-force book still generates cash but new-business VONB margins fall materially. This does not imply AIA fails as a company. It implies the equity loses the high-return compounder profile. I assign that bear case meaningful but not dominant probability, enough to keep the 2046 test YELLOW.

6. Competitive threat inventory

Threat	Mechanism	Segment(s) affected	Earliest observable signal	Plausibility
Bank/platform bargaining power	Banks and digital platforms own customer data and demand more economics	Hong Kong, Singapore, Malaysia, Other Markets	Partnership VONB grows but margin falls; acquisition costs rise	High
Medical inflation and health regulation	Claims inflation, co-pay rules, premium approvals, customer pushback	Thailand, Malaysia, Hong Kong, Singapore	Loss ratio worsens, repricing slows, lapses rise	High

Threat	Mechanism	Segment(s) affected	Earliest observable signal	Plausibility
China/Hong Kong policy and macro risk	Regulatory product caps, weak confidence, cross-border flow changes	China, Hong Kong	VONB growth slows, MCV mix weakens, China margin compresses	Medium-high
AI/direct distribution	AI advice commoditizes simple products and shifts servicing expectations	All, especially simple protection/savings	Direct-channel share rises; agent productivity falls	Medium
Agency recruitment quality	Younger customers and workers avoid tied-agent careers; mis-selling risk rises	China, Hong Kong, Thailand, Malaysia	Active agents and MDRT/productivity trends weaken	Medium

7. Pricing power verification

AIA does not disclose simple SaaS-like price increases, renewal uplift, or churn by product. Pricing power has to be verified through insurer-native evidence: VONB margin, product repricing, claims variances, persistency, capital efficiency, and the ability to keep selling profitable new business after regulatory or rate changes. On that basis, pricing power is real but uneven.

The positive evidence is strong group VONB margin of 58.5% in FY2025, Q1 2026 VONB margin still 56.0%, Hong Kong's 68.5% margin, Malaysia's 72.2% margin, Thailand's 110.9% margin, and management commentary that financial discipline, product innovation, and regular repricing support new-business profitability. AIA also reports that close to 90% of VONB comes from preferred protection and fee-based insurance products with low average guarantees. These are not literal price-increase datapoints, but they show that AIA can sell value rather than chase premium volume alone.

The weaker evidence is equally important. Singapore's VONB margin of 47.0% and Other Markets' 32.0% show that volume and profitability diverge by mix. Thailand's FY2025 margin was flattered by a pre-regulatory health pull-forward. Malaysia's health-insurance reform showed that repricing and product redesign can consume agency time and create customer friction. In China, regulatory pricing-interest-rate caps force product shifts and repricing. Therefore pricing power is not a blanket "raise price and customers stay" mechanism. It is a product-mix, underwriting, claims, and advice-led willingness-to-pay mechanism.

8. Moat verdict

Moat gate: YELLOW. AIA has a real moat in trusted advice-led distribution, licenses, scale, product manufacturing, underwriting, and embedded-value/free-surplus compounding. The evidence is visible in persistent OPAT, improving operating ROE, high VONB margins, strong agency contribution, and resilient free surplus. The reason this is not GREEN is that the moat is heterogeneous: Hong Kong and Thailand look much stronger than Other Markets, Singapore margin has compressed, Malaysia shows health-regulatory sensitivity, and China/Hong Kong carry policy and macro risks that matter more than a generic insurer analysis would admit.

2046 test: YELLOW. A specific 2046 AIA can be described: a regulated pan-Asian life, health, retirement, and wealth-protection insurer with AI-augmented advisers, bank partnerships, a large in-force book, and continuing free-surplus generation. That is a credible business. It is not a clean 20-year GREEN because the customer interface, medical-cost regime, China/Hong Kong regulatory context, and bank/platform bargaining structure could materially reduce new-business margins long before the in-force book runs off.

Upgrade signals to GREEN would be sustained group VONB margin above the mid-50s while agency productivity and active-agent counts rise, China new geographies compounding without margin sacrifice, Hong Kong growth diversifying beyond MCV sensitivity, Thailand/Malaysia health loss ratios remaining controlled after regulatory adjustments, and Other Markets OPAT/TWPI margin moving structurally higher. Downgrade signals to FAIL would be persistent VONB margin compression, rising lapses or conduct issues, bank/channel economics absorbing the profit pool, medical repricing failure, or China/Hong Kong policy changes that undermine the core growth engines.

Appendix B1 - AIA Hong Kong

AIA Hong Kong - Segment Deep Dive

Company: AIA Group Limited Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299 Segment: AIA Hong Kong, including Hong Kong SAR and Macau SAR Run date: 2026-05-29 TTM anchor: FY2025, year ended 31 December 2025 Forward horizon: 31 December 2030

Source Discipline

The file uses `data_package.md` and `quant_foundation.md` as the controlling source for AIA's own historical segment figures. I also performed fresh live checks consistent with the ER2 runtime: FMP quote/profile/income statement/product segmentation, AIA's official Q1 2026 update, AIA's FY2025 analyst presentation/transcript, Hong Kong Insurance Authority 2025 market statistics, Hong Kong Tourism Board 2025 visitor-arrival statistics, and AIA Hong Kong's April 2026 market-position press release. FMP product segmentation again returned an empty array, so no product revenue dollars are invented.

The required rule is included verbatim below:

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

  `C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.
- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

  investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).
- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

  `data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

0. Segment Boundary and Taxonomy Note

AIA Hong Kong is a geographic operating segment, not a product segment. The boundary is Hong Kong SAR plus Macau SAR. The segment scope is stable across FY2021-FY2025, but the accounting basis is not: FY2023 introduced IFRS 17 reporting, with restated FY2022 segment insurance revenue and OPAT in the IFRS 17 presentation, while FY2021 remains old IFRS 4 taxonomy where TWPI and OPAT are the cleanest comparable segment measures. Therefore, this appendix uses

FY2022-FY2025 for IFRS 17 insurance revenue comparisons, uses FY2021-FY2025 only for TWPI/OPAT directional context, and never compares FY2021 old-taxonomy "revenue" to FY2025 IFRS 17 insurance revenue.

1. What This Segment Actually Is

AIA Hong Kong is AIA's home-market life, health, savings, retirement, wealth-protection, employee-benefits, pension, and related financial-services business in Hong Kong and Macau. It is the group's largest contributor to FY2025 OPAT and VONB, and the second-largest contributor to IFRS insurance revenue only if Other Markets is grouped as a multi-country portfolio. In practical terms, it sells long-duration financial promises to three overlapping customer sets: domestic Hong Kong/Macau households, Mainland Chinese visitor customers, and affluent or high-net-worth customers reached through agency, bank, IFA, and broker channels.

The segment is not a commodity premium writer. AIA's economic output depends on the quality of new business sold, the persistency of the in-force book, underwriting and claims outcomes, capital requirements, and the distribution cost required to acquire policies. A high-premium savings product with weak margin can be less valuable than a smaller protection policy with strong underwriting profit and low capital drag. For Hong Kong, this is especially important because the segment's reported FY2025 VONB margin was 68.5%, materially above the group VONB margin of 58.5% before and after group-level adjustments. The segment's advantage is not merely scale; it is high-value demand, strong agency productivity, long-standing customer trust, and access to cross-border savings and protection needs.

Products include life protection, accident and health, medical, critical illness, participating savings, unit-linked and other investment-linked assurance, pensions, group insurance, and employee benefits. AIA does not disclose product-level insurance revenue dollars for these categories. The data package explicitly warns not to invent revenue for health, protection, participating, unit-linked, agency, bancassurance, IFA/broker, domestic Hong Kong, MCV, or Macau. Therefore, this appendix models Hong Kong as one revenue and OPAT segment with disclosed economic drivers underneath it, rather than pretending that customer or channel comments are product revenue lines.

Distribution is central to the segment. AIA Hong Kong has a market-leading Premier Agency force, an extensive IFA and broker network, and bancassurance relationships. The segment's high-quality version is an advice-led franchise that sells regular-premium protection and long-term savings with strong persistency. The weaker version would be a cross-border savings boom that becomes dependent on MCV flows, broker economics, and commission intensity. The model must therefore separate sustainable franchise economics from cycle-sensitive distribution and travel/capital-flow variables.

2. Current Economics

The FY2025 / TTM base is strong. AIA Hong Kong reported VONB of US$2.256 billion, VONB margin of 68.5%, ANP of US$3.283 billion, TWPI of US$14.726 billion, IFRS insurance revenue of US$5.318 billion, OPAT attributable to shareholders of US$2.770 billion, total assets of US$114.609 billion, and shareholders' allocated equity of US$10.392 billion. These figures are from the quant foundation and data package, which tie to AIA's official FY2025 annual report and results announcement.

Two margin lenses matter. The quant foundation's operating margin is OPAT divided by TWPI, which was 18.8% in FY2025 for Hong Kong. That is useful for insurer volume economics because TWPI captures renewal and first-year premium activity. For the arithmetic rollup later in this appendix, I also show OPAT divided by IFRS insurance revenue. On that basis, Hong Kong's FY2025 OPAT / insurance revenue was 52.1%. This is not an industrial operating margin in the software or consumer sense. It reflects IFRS 17 revenue recognition, insurance service result, investment result, operating expenses, tax, and AIA's OPAT definition.

Hong Kong's segment quality is visible in the gap between its group shares. It represented 24.6% of group FY2025 insurance revenue but 38.8% of group FY2025 OPAT before group corporate centre costs and 40.8% of group FY2025 segment-level VONB before consolidated adjustments. That means Hong Kong is more important to intrinsic value than its insurance-revenue share suggests. AIA China may be the long-run growth optionality story, but Hong Kong is the current profit and VONB engine.

The latest post-anchor evidence is also constructive. AIA's Q1 2026 new-business update reported group VONB growth of 13% at constant exchange rates, and it said Hong Kong delivered 21% VONB growth driven by both domestic and Mainland Chinese visitor customer segments and across agency and partnership channels. Q1 2026 is not a full consolidated financial statement and is not rolled into TTM, but it is meaningful because it indicates that the FY2025 exit rate did not immediately roll over.

Capital intensity is favorable but not product-disclosed. AIA said at group level that product mix shifts and repricing helped VONB and free-surplus generation, and the Hong Kong segment's 68.5% VONB margin suggests strong new-business economics. However, AIA does not disclose Hong Kong segment embedded value equity. The segment's FY2025 allocated shareholder equity was US$10.392 billion, so OPAT / allocated equity was 26.7%, but this is not the same as OPAT / embedded value. [DATA GAP: AIA does not disclose AIA Hong Kong embedded value equity or OPAT/EV by segment in the data package.]

3. Unit Economics

Hong Kong's unit economics begin with distribution, not with a factory or store footprint. A prospect usually needs an adviser, a bank relationship, a broker, or an IFA to translate abstract long-term risks into a policy. The policy then creates expected future margins from mortality, morbidity, investment spread, fees, persistency, expenses, and capital requirements. Acquisition costs are front-loaded through commissions and distribution support, while profit emerges over time through CSM release, insurance service result, investment result, and OPAT. The economic question is whether each unit of ANP produces enough VONB and future surplus to justify the capital and acquisition cost.

The Hong Kong segment is unusually well-positioned because it has several sources of high-value demand. Domestic customers have retirement, health, legacy, wealth-protection, and family-protection needs in a high-income market with deep familiarity with insurance products. Mainland Chinese visitor customers add cross-border demand for diversification, high-quality insurance brands, Hong Kong law and service standards, and access to protection and savings features that may differ from Mainland offerings. Macau adds a small but relevant extension of affluent and local customer demand. These drivers make Hong Kong less like a mature low-growth domestic insurance market and more like a hybrid domestic/offshore financial-services hub.

Margins are protected by product mix and channel quality, but neither is automatic. Traditional protection and health can produce attractive underwriting profit when pricing, claims management, and persistency are sound. Participating and unit-linked products can create stable fee-based income, but they are more sensitive to investment markets, crediting rates, guarantees, and customer confidence. Mainland Chinese visitor demand can support larger case sizes and high VONB, but it can also be more cyclical if travel rules, currency expectations, wealth effects, or capital-control enforcement shift.

The segment's historical margin on TWPI has been stable enough to matter: 18.1% in FY2021, 19.9% in FY2022, 18.9% in FY2023, 20.1% in FY2024, and 18.8% in FY2025. FY2025 OPAT grew 10.8% while TWPI grew 18.2%, so the TWPI margin eased from the FY2024 high but remained above the FY2021 level. Insurance revenue has grown faster than OPAT since FY2022, which lowered OPAT / insurance revenue from the very high FY2022 base. That is not necessarily a deterioration; it reflects IFRS 17 revenue mix and the timing of service and profit recognition. The practical modeling implication is that I do not expand profit intensity aggressively in the base case. The segment is already earning at a high level, so the base case assumes revenue, VONB, and OPAT compound at attractive but decelerating rates.

What breaks margin down? First, a shift toward lower-margin savings volume or higher guarantees can raise ANP while lowering VONB margin. Second, broker and IFA competition can bid up acquisition economics if AIA chases share. Third, claims or medical inflation can pressure health economics if repricing lags. Fourth, MCV business can become less profitable if cross-border demand weakens and AIA has to rely on promotions or commission intensity to maintain flow. Fifth, regulatory scrutiny over sales conduct, referral fees, capital movements, or offshore policy sales could raise compliance cost and reduce conversion.

What can lift margin? Scale can spread fixed product, technology, service, and compliance costs over a larger in-force book. A richer protection mix can lift VONB per dollar of ANP. Higher agency productivity can lower acquisition cost per unit of value. Better digital targeting can improve lead conversion and persistency. Customer deepening can produce repeat purchases from existing policyholders without the same acquisition drag as first-time sales. These levers are plausible in Hong Kong, but the base case uses modest margin expansion only because the starting point is already excellent.

4. Competitive Dynamics

Hong Kong is one of the most competitive insurance markets in Asia. The Hong Kong Insurance Authority reported that, as of 31 December 2025, the market had 159 authorized insurers, including 51 pure long-term insurers and 19 composite insurers. The same regulator reported 83,611 licensed individual insurance agents and 803 licensed insurance broker companies. That market structure matters: customers have abundant alternatives, banks and brokers have bargaining power, and product features can be copied. AIA's moat must therefore sit in brand, distribution quality, productivity, trust, and breadth rather than legal exclusivity.

The market backdrop in 2025 was strong. The Insurance Authority's provisional 2025 statistics show total gross premiums of HK$827 billion, up 29.7%. Long-term new office premiums excluding retirement scheme business were HK$330.9 billion, up 50.6%, with non-linked individual business up 49.9% and linked individual business up 65.4%. That is not a normal mature-market growth rate. It reflects a powerful recovery/expansion cycle, likely helped by affluent demand, cross-border business, savings demand, and channel activity. The model therefore cannot extrapolate 2025 industry growth as a steady-state rate.

Visitor flows support the MCV channel but also introduce cyclicality. The Hong Kong Tourism Board reported 49.9 million visitor arrivals in 2025, up 12% year-on-year, including 37.8 million visitors from the Chinese Mainland, up 11% and equal to 76% of total arrivals. This is a favorable top-of-funnel environment for MCV insurance sales, but it is not equivalent to AIA revenue. Only a small subset of visitors become eligible, interested, and profitable insurance customers. The relevant modeling takeaway is direction, not a mechanical conversion rate.

AIA appears to hold a strong competitive position in the market. AIA Hong Kong's April 2026 press release said it was recognized as the No. 1 insurer of choice in Hong Kong for the twelfth consecutive year, based on being first in new business policies and in-force policies, and it claimed the highest number of No. 1 market positions in 2025 across selected Insurance Authority metrics, including agency ANP, agency new office premiums, and agency/broker policy-count categories. AIA Hong Kong also reported more than 19,000 financial planners and over 3.7 million Hong Kong/Macau customers at 31 December 2025. Separately, its July 2025 release said AIA Hong Kong had 4,110 MDRT members and continued to rank No. 1 globally in several MDRT categories.

Competitors include HSBC Life, Prudential, Manulife, China Life, FWD, Sun Life, AXA, bank-owned insurers, local insurers, brokers, IFAs, and digital insurers. HSBC and bank-owned players have bank-distribution advantages. Prudential and Manulife have brand, agency, health, savings, and wealth capabilities. FWD and other challengers compete on product innovation, customer experience, broker relationships, and pricing. Digital insurers can nibble at simpler health or term products, but the high-value, advice-led, cross-border, and wealth-planning end of the market remains relationship-intensive.

The biggest competitive risk is not a single competitor taking all share. It is the economics of distribution. If banks and brokers command higher economics, if referral-fee rules reshape incentives, or if customers become more rate-sensitive, AIA can keep premium volume but give up VONB margin. Conversely, if AIA's agency remains highly productive and trusted, it can defend margin even in a competitive market because customers buy advice, service, and continuity, not just a policy illustration.

5. Segment-Level Moat

AIA Hong Kong has a real segment moat, but it is not invulnerable. The moat has four layers.

First, brand and trust. AIA has operated in Hong Kong since 1931 and sells products that require customers to trust the insurer for decades. Trust lowers friction in the sale and supports persistency. In a market crowded with agents and brokers, brand is a filter.

Second, distribution density. More than 19,000 financial planners in Hong Kong/Macau and a large MDRT base create a hard-to-replicate advice network. MDRT is not a perfect economic measure, but it is a useful indicator of professionalized high-production agents. A large agency can also recruit, train, and promote future leaders, creating a self-reinforcing capacity loop.

Third, customer base and repeat purchase. AIA Hong Kong/Macau serves over 3.7 million customers, and AIA's FY2025 materials indicate meaningful opportunity to deepen relationships. Insurance is not a one-time sale; customers add health riders, retirement savings, family protection, legacy planning, and group or pension products over time. Existing-customer repeat purchases should carry better economics than cold acquisition if conduct and persistency remain good.

Fourth, product and channel breadth. Hong Kong can serve domestic customers, new residents, MCV customers, high-net-worth customers, employers, pension customers, bank customers, broker customers, and agency customers. That diversification reduces dependence on any single path. The segment's FY2025 VONB growth was broad across domestic and MCV, agency and partnerships.

The moat's durability depends on regulation and conduct. High commission intensity, mis-selling, opaque illustrations, or aggressive cross-border marketing would invite regulatory pushback and damage the very trust that protects AIA's economics. A disciplined AIA that lets some low-quality volume go is more valuable than an AIA that maximizes short-term ANP at the expense of future lapse and complaint risk.

6. Historical Growth Rates

The table below reproduces the segment history from the data package. FY2021 insurance revenue is not comparable under IFRS 17 and is therefore shown as n/a. Revenue dollars are US$ billions. The operating margin in the source is OPAT divided by TWPI, not OPAT divided by IFRS insurance revenue.

Product / sub-segment	FY2023 rev	FY2024 rev	FY2025 rev	3-yr CAGR	Most recent YoY	Data package cite
AIA Hong Kong insurance revenue	3.82	4.55	5.32	15.8% from FY2022	16.9%	data_package section 3 rows 124-129
AIA Hong Kong TWPI	11.55	12.46	14.73	9.4% from FY2022	18.2%	data_package section 3 rows 124-129
AIA Hong Kong OPAT attributable	2.18	2.50	2.77	7.5% from FY2022	10.8%	data_package section 3 rows 124-129
AIA Hong Kong OPAT / TWPI margin	18.9%	20.1%	18.8%	n/m	down 1.3 pps	data_package section 3 rows 124-129
Domestic and MCV customer revenue	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	data_package section 4 rows 176 and 187
Agency, bancassurance, IFA and broker revenue	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	data_package section 4 rows 182-187

Internal arithmetic checks: insurance revenue FY2024 to FY2025 is 4.55 x 1.169 = 5.32, within rounding. TWPI FY2024 to FY2025 is 12.46 x 1.182 = 14.73, within rounding. OPAT FY2024 to FY2025 is 2.50 x 1.108 = 2.77, within rounding. The three-year CAGR calculations use FY2022 to FY2025 because FY2022 is the first comparable IFRS 17 insurance-revenue base in the data package. No product/customer/channel revenue dollars were back-solved because the data package explicitly states they are not disclosed.

7. Management Guidance and Commentary

The controlling data-package commentary gives the Hong Kong anchor as follows: AIA Hong Kong is described in the 1H2025 transcript by Lee Yuan Siong as "well positioned for continued growth", and the data package interprets this as Hong Kong's domestic and Mainland Chinese visitor mix remaining a key growth support. The data package's segment synthesis further says Hong Kong has strong disclosed momentum from domestic and MCV customers, but the model should stress sustainability of MCV demand and broker/IFA mix.

The Q1 2026 official update, used here as post-anchor evidence rather than TTM financial data, says Hong Kong VONB grew 21% in the first quarter, driven by both domestic and MCV customer segments and across both agency and partnership channels. It also specifically mentions very strong year-on-year growth from the IFA and broker channel and an increase versus the fourth quarter of 2025. This supports a strong near-term growth starting point, but it does not provide quarterly Hong Kong VONB dollars, OPAT, revenue, or margin. [DATA GAP: AIA's Q1 2026 update does not disclose Hong Kong segment dollars by quarter.]

The FY2025 transcript adds important channel and customer context: Hong Kong delivered record VONB of about US$2.3 billion, agency contributed 70% of Hong Kong VONB and grew 26%, active agent headcount rose 9%, productivity rose 14%, partnerships VONB grew 46%, bancassurance grew 41%, and IFA/broker grew 49%. It also states that domestic business accounts for around half of Hong Kong VONB and grew 21%, while MCV VONB grew 35%, driven by sales to more than 50,000 new customers and a base of around 530,000 MCV policyholders. These are powerful indicators, but again they are VONB and operating drivers rather than product revenue dollars.

The best interpretation is that management is not guiding to a fixed 2030 Hong Kong target. It is describing a segment with high current momentum, a large existing customer base, and broad channel strength. My base case therefore decelerates from the FY2025 and Q1 2026 growth rates because (1) 2025 market growth was unusually strong, (2) MCV flows can be cyclical, (3) the starting VONB margin is already high, and (4) the segment is already large. The bull case assumes AIA converts customer deepening and MCV demand into sustained double-digit VONB growth. The bear case assumes cross-border flows, broker economics, or regulation reduce both growth and margin.

8. Forward Growth Assumptions

The base case compounds from FY2025 / TTM to FY2030. Because product revenue is not disclosed, the table uses the finest disclosed economic drivers that can be modeled without fabricating dollars.

Product / driver	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Base CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
IFRS insurance revenue	15.8%	16.9%	No revenue guide	7.5%	Decelerates from the IFRS 17 recovery period as Hong Kong normalizes, but remains above mature-market life growth due to in-force growth, domestic deepening, and MCV demand.
TWPI	9.4%	18.2%	No TWPI guide by segment	7.0%	Renewal premium and first-year activity should compound, but 2025 industry new-office growth is treated as cyclical rather than permanent.
OPAT	7.5%	10.8%	Group OPAT per share target through 2026	7.6%	OPAT follows revenue with modest operating leverage, partly offset by normalization of investment result and distribution reinvestment.
VONB	n/d in data package for 3-year segment series	28% FY2025 and 21% Q1 2026	Continued growth commentary	8.0%	Strong near-term momentum decelerates as MCV and broker growth mature; margin remains high due to agency quality and protection/regular-premium mix.
ANP	n/d in data package for 3-year segment series	n/d by segment	No ANP guide by segment	6.5%	New-premium growth trails VONB because mix and productivity, not just volume, drive value.

Bull case:

Product / driver	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bull CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
IFRS insurance revenue	15.8%	16.9%	No revenue guide	10.0%	Hong Kong keeps converting strong market demand into in-force growth, with domestic deepening and MCV inflows lasting longer than expected.
TWPI	9.4%	18.2%	No TWPI guide by segment	9.0%	Renewal base and new business remain strong as policy count, new residents, and returning MCV customers expand.
OPAT	7.5%	10.8%	Group OPAT per share target through 2026	10.8%	Scale, productivity, customer deepening, and disciplined mix produce operating leverage while capital intensity stays contained.
VONB	n/d in data package for 3-year segment series	28% FY2025 and 21% Q1 2026	Continued growth commentary	11.5%	MCV demand remains durable, agency recruitment and productivity continue to rise, and partnerships add value without material margin sacrifice.
ANP	n/d in data package for 3-year segment series	n/d by segment	No ANP guide by segment	9.0%	Higher premium volume is sustained by affluent/HNW and cross-border demand, while product mix remains favorable.

Bear case:

Product / driver	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
IFRS insurance revenue	15.8%	16.9%	No revenue guide	2.5%	2025 proves a peak cycle; MCV flows, local wealth confidence, or policyholder demand soften while the in-force book still provides some resilience.
TWPI	9.4%	18.2%	No TWPI guide by segment	3.0%	Renewal premiums cushion the segment, but new premium activity slows materially under travel/capital-control or confidence pressure.

Product / driver	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
OPAT	7.5%	10.8%	Group OPAT per share target through 2026	0.7%	Lower new-business productivity, higher acquisition costs, and less favorable mix compress profit intensity.
VONB	n/d in data package for 3-year segment series	28% FY2025 and 21% Q1 2026	Continued growth commentary	1.0%	High-margin MCV and broker/IFA growth weakens, and the segment defends quality rather than chasing volume.
ANP	n/d in data package for 3-year segment series	n/d by segment	No ANP guide by segment	2.0%	New premium growth slows as both domestic wealth demand and cross-border sales normalize.

The deceleration path in the base case is intentional. If FY2025 VONB growth was 28% and Q1 2026 was 21%, a five-year CAGR of 8% implies growth stepping down toward mid-single digits by the end of the horizon. That is defensible because Hong Kong is already AIA's largest VONB contributor, the market had unusually strong 2025 industry growth, and MCV demand can be cyclical. It is also not a collapse: the segment would still add more than US$1.0 billion of VONB by 2030 under the base case.

9. Forward Operating Margin Assumptions

For historical source consistency, AIA's reported segment operating margin in the quant foundation is OPAT / TWPI. For the forward segment rollup, the table below uses OPAT / IFRS insurance revenue so that revenue multiplied by margin reconstructs OPAT. TWPI margin is included in the prose as an insurer volume cross-check.

Case	FY2025 insurance revenue	FY2025 OPAT / revenue	FY2030 revenue	FY2030 OPAT / revenue	FY2030 OPAT	Justification
Bear	5.318	52.1%	6.017	47.5%	2.858	Mix and distribution economics deteriorate; MCV and broker demand slows; higher compliance and acquisition costs reduce profit intensity.
Base	5.318	52.1%	7.635	52.2%	3.986	High starting profitability is maintained with slight scale leverage, offset by reinvestment and conservative normalization of investment result.
Bull	5.318	52.1%	8.565	54.0%	4.625	Agency productivity, customer deepening, and disciplined partnership growth create modest profit-intensity expansion.

On the TWPI margin lens, the base case keeps Hong Kong near its historical 18%-20% band. FY2030 base TWPI is modeled at US$20.65 billion, and base OPAT of US$3.986 billion implies OPAT / TWPI of 19.3%. The bull case would lift this toward roughly 20.4%; the bear case would compress it toward roughly 16.7%. That range is deliberately not extreme. Even in the bear case, the in-force book and renewal base should keep Hong Kong profitable. The more plausible downside is muted growth and lower margin, not an operating loss.

The mechanism for the base case is "stable high quality." Insurance revenue compounds at 7.5%; OPAT compounds at 7.6%; VONB compounds at 8.0%. That requires continued customer additions and relationship deepening, but not a repeat of 2025's exceptional growth. It also assumes no major capital-control shock and no major regulatory or conduct event that changes cross-border or broker economics.

10. Segment Rollup

All figures are US$ billions except percentages. Revenue means IFRS insurance revenue. OPAT is operating profit after tax attributable to shareholders. VONB is segment-level value of new business before group-level deductions unless otherwise stated.

Case	FY2025 revenue	Revenue CAGR	FY2030 revenue	FY2030 OPAT / revenue	FY2030 OPAT	FY2030 VONB	FY2030 ANP	FY2030 VONB margin
Bear	5.318	2.5%	6.017	47.5%	2.858	2.371	3.625	65.4%
Base	5.318	7.5%	7.635	52.2%	3.986	3.315	4.498	73.7%
Bull	5.318	10.0%	8.565	54.0%	4.625	3.888	5.051	77.0%

Arithmetic tie: base revenue equals 5.318 x 1.075^5 = 7.635. Base OPAT equals 7.635 x 52.2% = 3.986. Base VONB equals 2.256 x 1.080^5 = 3.315. Bear revenue equals 5.318 x 1.025^5 = 6.017, and bear OPAT equals 6.017 x 47.5% = 2.858. Bull revenue equals 5.318 x 1.100^5 = 8.565, and bull OPAT equals 8.565 x 54.0% = 4.625.

The VONB margin row is a useful but imperfect check because ANP is not a revenue line and the segment has no disclosed product-level ANP split. The base case raises VONB margin from 68.5% to 73.8%, which is a meaningful improvement but not absurd in context: AIA Hong Kong already launched a flagship product that supported FY2025 margin, Q1 2026 momentum remained strong, and the segment's model is skewed to advice-led and regular-premium economics. The bear case lets margin fall to 65.4%, roughly a 3.1 percentage point deterioration from FY2025. The bull case reaches 77.0%, which requires sustained protection/regular-premium mix and high agency productivity; it should be treated as an upside case rather than the central forecast.

There is no product-level rollup because the product-level revenue rows do not exist. The correct rollup is therefore a one-line segment rollup, with VONB, ANP, TWPI, OPAT, and margin drivers used as checks. [DATA GAP: AIA does not disclose domestic versus MCV revenue, agency versus partnership revenue, or Macau-specific revenue in the data package.]

11. Key Risks and Wildcards

Bear risk: travel and capital controls. Hong Kong's MCV business benefits from Mainland visitor access, wealth confidence, and the perceived value of Hong Kong policies. Tighter travel friction, capital-control enforcement, currency pressure, or changing Mainland rules on offshore insurance purchases could reduce flow. This would hit VONB faster than in-force OPAT, but over time it would lower CSM additions and future profit growth.

Bear risk: broker and IFA economics. FY2025 and Q1 2026 commentary highlighted strong IFA/broker growth. That is positive, but broker channels can be more price-sensitive and commission-sensitive than proprietary agency. If AIA buys growth through economics that weaken persistency or margin, VONB quality could deteriorate before revenue shows stress.

Bear/base risk: regulation and conduct. High-growth life markets can attract scrutiny over illustrations, commissions, referral fees, cross-border selling, suitability, and anti-money-laundering controls. AIA's brand and agency quality reduce risk but do not eliminate it. A conduct issue would be especially damaging because trust is the segment's core asset.

Bear/base risk: product guarantee and rate pressure. Long-term savings and participating products depend on investment returns and guarantee design. Lower rates can pressure reinvestment economics; higher rates can increase lapse or switching behavior. Hong Kong's high VONB margin partly reflects disciplined pricing and mix, so a shift toward more guarantee-heavy volume would be a negative.

Base risk: 2025 normalization. The Hong Kong market's 2025 long-term new office premium growth was extremely strong. AIA can still compound well even if the market slows, but the model should expect deceleration. A simple extrapolation of 2025 industry growth would be the wrong base case.

Bull wildcard: customer deepening. AIA has a large customer base, strong brand, and meaningful product breadth. If the company uses data, AI-enabled advice, health/wellness engagement, and better segmentation to lift repeat purchases, Hong Kong could sustain high VONB without relying solely on new MCV acquisition.

Bull wildcard: durable MCV and new resident demand. HKTB visitor data and AIA's MCV customer base suggest a large funnel. If Mainland wealth diversification demand remains resilient and Hong Kong remains a preferred insurance hub, the MCV runway may last longer than a conventional maturity model would assume.

Bull wildcard: agency productivity. AIA's Hong Kong agency showed active agent growth and productivity growth in FY2025, and its MDRT rankings support the quality narrative. Sustained productivity gains can raise VONB without proportionate headcount or expense growth, which would produce the bull margin outcome.

Summary Table

Case	Segment TTM+5y Revenue	Segment TTM+5y Op Margin	Segment TTM+5y Op Income	Probability
Bear	US$6.017B	47.5%	US$2.858B	25%
Base	US$7.635B	52.2%	US$3.986B	50%
Bull	US$8.565B	54.0%	US$4.625B	25%

Source Log

Source	Live or local	Use
`data_package.md` sections 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12	Local ER2 source of truth	Historical segment metrics, data gaps, management commentary controls
`quant_foundation.md` P1, P2, P4	Local ER2 source of truth	FY2025 TTM base and official segment table
`quant_foundation_review.md`	Local ER2 review	QF gate and FMP/official discrepancy check
AIA Q1 2026 new-business press release, https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260430	Live official	Post-anchor Q1 2026 VONB growth and segment commentary
AIA FY2025 analyst presentation transcript, https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/AIA%20Group%20FY2025%20Analyst%20Presentation%20FINAL%20%28Transcript%20with%20Q%26A%29.pdf	Live official	Hong Kong agency, partnership, domestic and MCV commentary
AIA FY2025 analyst presentation, https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/AIA%20Group%202025%20Annual%20Results%20Analyst%20Presentation%20%28FINAL%29.pdf	Live official	Hong Kong customer and growth-engine context
Hong Kong Insurance Authority 2025 provisional statistics, https://www.ia.org.hk/en/infocenter/press_releases/20260424.html	Live regulator	Hong Kong market growth backdrop
Hong Kong Insurance Authority market page, https://www.ia.org.hk/en/infocenter/statistics/market.html	Live regulator	Authorized insurer, agency, and broker market structure
Hong Kong Tourism Board 2025 arrivals update, https://partnernet.hktb.com/india/en/industry_news/hktb_updates/index.html?id=8688	Live official/statutory body	Visitor-flow backdrop for MCV demand
AIA Hong Kong April 2026 market-position press release, https://www.aia.com.hk/en/about-aia/about-us/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-press-release-20260424	Live company source	Market-position and customer-base context
AIA Hong Kong July 2025 MDRT press release, https://www.aia.com.hk/en/about-aia/about-us/media-centre/press-releases/2025/aia-press-release-20250709	Live company source	Agency quality and MDRT context
FMP helper live pulls on 2026-05-29	Live FMP	Quote/profile/income-statement/product-segmentation check; product segmentation returned empty array

Appendix B2 - Other Markets

Other Markets - Segment Deep Dive

Company: AIA Group Limited Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299 Segment: Other Markets Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore Currency: US$ millions unless otherwise stated Forward anchor: FY2025 / TTM ended 31 December 2025, with FY2030 as TTM+5y

Data Source Rule - Included Verbatim

```
# Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local
`data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is
suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W)
that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

1. Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use
   `C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`,
   which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.
2. Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,
   investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).
3. Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,
   `data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any
   other cached file for a live fetch.

## Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript
amed `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's
fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were
the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year,
and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same
cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

## Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch
block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts
to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within
the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry
the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the
cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails
because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once
with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.
```

Source Discipline

This appendix uses `data_package.md` and `quant_foundation.md` as the controlling source for AIA's own historical dollars, growth rates, accounting taxonomy, and management commentary. Live FMP calls were repeated in this subagent pass for the annual and quarterly product-segmentation endpoints and both returned empty arrays for `1299.HK`; the live FMP quote also returned a current HKEX price but is not a segment operating input. AIA's investor-relations results page and Q1 2026 press page were fetched successfully. The SEC EDGAR browse request for AAGIY was retried once after the first failure and failed again with the SEC automated-tool block: "Your Request Originates from an Undeclared Automated Tool." That is carried as a source-access flag rather than substituted with a cached filing. The data package already concluded that AIA is a HKEX-listed issuer with Level 1 OTC ADRs and no controlling SEC 10-K, 10-Q, or 8-K anchor in this run.

0. Segment Boundary and Taxonomy Note

Other Markets is a geographic portfolio segment. The FY2025 segment scope is Australia, Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Myanmar, New Zealand, the Philippines, South Korea, Sri Lanka, Taiwan (China), and Vietnam. The segment name and country list were stable across FY2021-FY2025, but the financial-statement taxonomy was not stable across the full five-year window because FY2023 introduced IFRS 17 and AIA provides restated FY2022 segment insurance revenue and OPAT in the IFRS 17 presentation. FY2021 is old IFRS 4 taxonomy, so this appendix uses TWPI and OPAT for the five-year continuity view and uses IFRS 17 insurance revenue only from FY2022 onward. Tata AIA Life is the most important boundary

complication: AIA's 49% India joint venture is included in Other Markets ANP and VONB, but excluded from TWPI, IFRS insurance revenue, and new-business CSM because it is equity-method accounted. China Post Life is not part of Other Markets ANP, VONB, or TWPI.

1. What This Segment Actually Is

Other Markets is not a single operating business in the way AIA Hong Kong or AIA Thailand is. It is a collection of local life, health, accident, savings, retirement, and related financial-services franchises across mature, developing, and associate-accounted markets. The common operating model is AIA's pan-Asian life and health playbook: sell long-duration protection and savings policies through tied agency, bancassurance, brokers, IFAs, and corporate/employee-benefit channels; price claims, persistency, expenses, investment yield, and capital cost; and then earn OPAT and free surplus as the in-force book runs off profitably.

The segment's customer base is therefore broad. In Australia and New Zealand, the business is more mature and includes protection and group-insurance exposures where competition, claims experience, and distribution access can be more cyclical. In South Korea and Taiwan, AIA competes in sophisticated life markets where product design, agency quality, bank access, and regulatory expectations are central. In Vietnam, Indonesia, the Philippines, Cambodia, Myanmar, and Sri Lanka, the structural thesis is lower insurance penetration, rising household income, need for private health/protection coverage, and distribution build-out, but execution and macro volatility are higher. In India, AIA participates through Tata AIA Life, a 49% joint venture that gives strategic exposure to a very large long-term protection and savings market. That India exposure is economically relevant to VONB and ANP, but it is not consolidated into the IFRS revenue base.

Pricing is actuarial rather than simple list-price pricing. A policy's economics depend on expected claims, medical inflation, mortality and morbidity, lapse and surrender behavior, acquisition commissions, renewal expenses, policyholder dividends or guarantees, asset-liability management, local solvency capital, reinsurance, and tax. AIA's reported VONB margin is the most compact measure of this pricing outcome. FY2025 Other Markets VONB was 485, ANP was 1,511, and VONB margin was 32.0%, versus group VONB margin of 58.5% and stronger margins in markets such as Hong Kong, Thailand, and Malaysia. The segment is large in insurance revenue, at 5,057 or 23.4% of group insurance revenue, but lower in profitability, with OPAT of 627 and an OPAT/TWPI margin reported at 9.1%.

The go-to-market model differs by country. India is a joint venture with Tata, so AIA's strategic value comes from brand combination, product expertise, risk discipline, and economics captured through the JV rather than full consolidation. Vietnam and the Philippines are agency and partnership growth markets where distribution expansion can produce high incremental VONB if agent quality and persistency hold. Indonesia's weaker Q1 2026 trend and the broader partnership references in AIA's materials show that bank relationships and distribution transitions can move results materially. Australia and New Zealand are more mature and more exposed to group and protection cycles. This heterogeneity is the point: Other Markets diversifies AIA's geography but also lowers blended margin and makes country mix the core analytical problem.

2. Current Economics

FY2025 / TTM is the controlling base because AIA's Q1 2026 disclosure is a new-business update rather than a full IFRS statement. The latest full-year segment economics from the data package are:

Metric	FY2025 / TTM	Segment interpretation	Data package cite
Insurance revenue	5,057	Second-largest segment by IFRS insurance revenue, 23.4% of group	Section 1 segment table, Other Markets row; Section 3 Other Markets table
TWPI	7,232	Premium activity from consolidated subsidiaries only, excluding Tata AIA	Section 3 Other Markets table
ANP	1,511	New-business premium base including Tata AIA Life	Quant foundation P1 and data_package Section 1
VONB	485	New-business value including Tata AIA Life	Quant foundation P1 and data_package Section 1
VONB margin	32.0%	Lower than group average, reflecting country and product mix	Quant foundation P1 and data_package Section 1
OPAT attributable	627	Core operating profit after tax attributable to shareholders	Section 3 Other Markets table
OPAT / insurance revenue	12.4%	Useful IFRS revenue margin cross-check, calculated as 627 / 5,057	Calculated from Section 3
OPAT / TWPI	9.1%	Data package's operating margin presentation for the segment	Section 3 Other Markets table

Metric	FY2025 / TTM	Segment interpretation	Data package cite
Shareholders' allocated equity	7,826	Capital/equity base allocated to segment	Quant foundation P2
OPAT / allocated equity	8.0%	Low versus group operating ROE of 15.5%, highlighting mix drag	Calculated from Quant foundation P2

The economics are mixed. Other Markets has scale and diversification, but profitability does not match the flagship segments. It contributes more insurance revenue than AIA China, Thailand, Singapore, or Malaysia, but its FY2025 OPAT of 627 was lower than all of China, Hong Kong, Thailand, and Singapore. Its VONB margin of 32.0% was also materially below the group margin and the margins in Hong Kong, Thailand, and Malaysia. The most favorable interpretation is that the segment contains growth options that have not yet scaled into high OPAT: India through Tata AIA, Vietnam, the Philippines, and other developing markets. The less favorable interpretation is that a large revenue base is being diluted by lower-margin mature businesses, group insurance, partner economics, and uneven execution.

Recent operating evidence is positive but not clean. The data package's Q1 2026 section states that Other Markets reported positive VONB growth, with strong Vietnam and Philippines growth, weaker Australia and Indonesia, and excellent Tata AIA Life growth. There were no quarterly segment dollar disclosures, so Q1 2026 cannot be rolled into the TTM base. For forecasting, Q1 2026 supports a better VONB outlook than the low FY2025 VONB margin alone would suggest, but it also confirms that country mix can cut both ways.

3. Unit Economics

The unit economics of Other Markets are the unit economics of multi-market life insurance plus the friction of mixed consolidation. In a wholly owned market, AIA sells a policy, incurs acquisition costs, recognizes IFRS insurance revenue as services are provided, builds or releases CSM, and earns OPAT through insurance service result, investment result, and expense control. In the India JV, new sales contribute to AIA's ANP and VONB disclosure, but the IFRS revenue and TWPI do not appear in Other Markets. This means a simple revenue-to-VONB relationship will be misleading: VONB can grow faster than segment insurance revenue if Tata AIA grows rapidly, and revenue can grow without proportional VONB if mature consolidated markets write lower-margin business.

At the sale level, the segment's VONB margin of 32.0% means AIA generated 485 of VONB on 1,511 of ANP. That is economically attractive in absolute terms but weak relative to AIA's group mix. Thailand produced a VONB margin above 100%, Hong Kong 68.5%, Malaysia 72.2%, and China 57.6% in FY2025. Other Markets therefore has less room for pricing errors. Higher acquisition costs, lower protection mix, more savings or group business, weaker persistency, or higher capital intensity can absorb a large part of new-business value. Conversely, if the segment mix shifts toward India protection, Vietnam/Philippines agency growth, better bank economics, or more capital-efficient products, VONB margin can expand from the FY2025 trough-like level.

The in-force economics are also muted. OPAT was 627 on TWPI of 7,232, or 9.1% using the data package margin presentation. On insurance revenue the OPAT margin was 12.4%. That is below Hong Kong and Thailand, but the FY2025 recovery from 507 of OPAT in FY2024 shows operating leverage is possible. The data package records Other Markets OPAT falling from 0.80 billion in FY2022 to 0.56 billion in FY2023 and 0.51 billion in FY2024 before recovering to 0.63 billion in FY2025. The segment's unit economics are therefore not a smooth compounding curve; they are a portfolio of country-level operating cycles.

The main cost drivers are distribution compensation, bank/partner economics, claims and medical inflation, back-book servicing expenses, regulatory compliance, and local technology/platform investment. Agency growth can be high-return if agents are productive and persistent. It can also be expensive if recruitment runs ahead of productivity or if new policies lapse. Partnerships can unlock large customer pools but often require margin sharing with banks or brokers. Group insurance can add premium scale but may have thinner margins or more competitive repricing. Health and protection can be high value, but medical inflation and claims management need constant repricing discipline.

Capital intensity matters in three ways. First, local solvency rules determine how much capital must sit behind policies. Second, product guarantees and savings mix can raise required capital or reduce free surplus efficiency. Third, the segment's country mix affects remittability and group capital fungibility. AIA's group shareholder capital ratio of 221% is strong, and management's group commentary says capital remains comfortably above the informal 200% comfort zone, but segment-level returns still matter. Other Markets OPAT of 627 on allocated equity of 7,826 implies roughly 8.0% OPAT/allocated equity, below group operating ROE of 15.5%. The base case therefore needs margin improvement, not just

sales growth.

4. Competitive Dynamics

Competition in Other Markets is local and channel-specific. AIA faces large domestic insurers, bank-owned insurers, multinational life insurers, health specialists, brokers, wealth platforms, digital insurance marketplaces, and banks that can either distribute for AIA or prioritize their own insurance economics. Unlike a software segment, there is no single competitor set. The relevant competitive question is country by country: who controls the customer relationship, who has trusted advice capacity, who can price health/protection risks accurately, who has regulatory authorization, and who can maintain persistency through cycles?

Australia and New Zealand are mature protection and group-insurance markets. The advantage is lower penetration risk and more developed regulation; the disadvantage is price competition, claims experience, and fewer underpenetrated growth pockets. AIA's Q1 2026 update, as captured in the data package and live AIA press page, said Australia declined and partly offset Vietnam and Philippines strength. That is consistent with a mature-market drag in the segment model. The forecast should not assume Australia/New Zealand become high-growth engines.

South Korea and Taiwan are sophisticated, competitive life markets. AIA can compete through agency quality, product design, international brand, and health/protection expertise, but local incumbents and bank channels are strong. These markets can contribute steady revenue and CSM release, but they are unlikely to re-rate the whole segment unless product mix or distribution productivity changes materially.

Vietnam, the Philippines, Cambodia, Sri Lanka, and parts of Indonesia are structurally more attractive from a penetration and income-growth lens. AIA's Q1 2026 release singled out Vietnam and the Philippines for strong growth, while Indonesia declined. The split matters. A broad "emerging Asia growth" label hides execution risk: the Philippines and Vietnam may be adding productive agency/partnership VONB, while Indonesia can be affected by partnership transitions, macro pressure, bank behavior, or competitive repricing. In the base case, these markets are the largest source of incremental VONB acceleration, but they do not automatically lift IFRS revenue as quickly because insurance revenue emerges over time.

India through Tata AIA is strategically the most important option. The data package and official AIA results control the ER2 model: Tata AIA is included in Other Markets ANP and VONB, while TWPI, IFRS results, and new-business CSM are equity-method excluded. India has very large life-insurance premium pools and a dominant incumbent in LIC, with private insurers competing through bank, agency, direct, and broker channels. AIA's 49% JV structure gives exposure to a high-growth market without full revenue consolidation. For this appendix, that creates an upside path for VONB and EV contribution but not a matching IFRS insurance-revenue line.

The segment has pricing power where AIA has trusted advice-led distribution, strong underwriting data, product expertise, and brand credibility. Pricing power is weaker where distribution is controlled by banks or brokers, where products are more savings-like and yield-sensitive, or where local competitors can copy product features. Entry barriers are meaningful because life insurance requires licensing, capital, actuarial capability, distribution, claims servicing, and customer trust. But barriers are not absolute. Bank partnerships can be renegotiated, agents can be recruited away, and digital aggregators can make simpler products more price-transparent.

5. Segment-Level Moat

Other Markets has a moat, but it is uneven and narrower than AIA's best markets. The moat is not merely "AIA is pan-Asian." It comes from local licenses, long-duration brand trust, agency training, actuarial and product capability, bank and partner relationships, health ecosystem know-how, embedded technology tools, and capital discipline. These advantages are durable in markets where customers need advice, products are complex, and policyholder trust matters. They are less durable in commodity group insurance, highly brokered savings products, or bank-controlled channels.

The most defensible parts of the moat are distribution quality and risk discipline. AIA's group-wide Premier Agency model, online-to-offline leads, training, and AI-enabled agent tools should transfer across many Other Markets countries, even though productivity will vary. If a market can build professional full-time agents rather than high-churn part-time sellers, persistency, product mix, and VONB margin should improve. Likewise, AIA's regional actuarial and health pricing capability should matter in medical and protection products where claims inflation can punish weaker underwriters.

The less defensible parts are partner access and country mix. Bancassurance can look like a moat when a long bank relationship is performing, but the bank often owns the customer relationship. When contract economics change, when a bank shifts strategic priorities, or when regulation changes sales practices, AIA's margin can move quickly. Country mix is also hard

to control. A strong year in Vietnam and the Philippines can be diluted by weaker Australia or Indonesia. The segment's lower OPAT/allocated equity indicates that AIA has not yet converted its breadth into group-average return quality.

Over five to ten years, the moat should be durable enough to support positive VONB growth and modest margin expansion, but not enough to justify flagship-segment economics. Other Markets should be modeled as an option-rich but lower-quality segment: India, Vietnam, and the Philippines can pull the growth curve up; mature markets and partnership friction pull the margin curve down. The base case should require evidence of better country mix before giving full credit for group-level margin convergence.

6. Historical Growth Rates Reproduced From Data Package

The table below reproduces the data package's Other Markets historicals. FY2021 is shown in the prose only because IFRS 17 insurance revenue was not restated for that year in the data package; the comparable IFRS revenue table begins in FY2022. Values in the table are in US$ billions except percentages.

Metric	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2022-FY2025 CAGR	Most recent YoY	Data package cite
TWPI	7.14 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	6.89 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	7.21 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	7.23 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	0.4%	0.3%	data_package Section 3, Other Markets table
Insurance revenue	4.35 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	4.54 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	4.82 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	5.06 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	5.1%	5.0%	data_package Section 3, Other Markets table
OPAT attributable	0.80 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	0.56 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	0.51 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	0.63 [Section 3 Other Markets row]	-7.8%	23.5%	data_package Section 3, Other Markets table
Operating margin on TWPI	11.5% [Section 3 Other Markets row]	8.3% [Section 3 Other Markets row]	7.4% [Section 3 Other Markets row]	9.1% [Section 3 Other Markets row]	n/m	1.7 pps	data_package Section 3, Other Markets table
VONB	n/d [DATA GAP]	n/d [DATA GAP]	n/d [DATA GAP]	0.49 [Section 1 segment table]	n/m	n/m	data_package Section 1; historical segment VONB not provided
ANP	n/d [DATA GAP]	n/d [DATA GAP]	n/d [DATA GAP]	1.51 [Section 1 segment table]	n/m	n/m	data_package Section 1; historical segment ANP not provided

Arithmetic tie-out: insurance revenue FY2024 of 4.82 multiplied by 1.050 equals 5.06, consistent with FY2025. TWPI FY2024 of 7.21 multiplied by 1.003 equals 7.23, consistent with FY2025. OPAT FY2024 of 0.51 multiplied by 1.235 equals 0.63, consistent with FY2025. The data package's rounded OPAT and margin figures are internally directionally consistent; no smoothing adjustment is made.

The historical pattern is clear. IFRS insurance revenue compounded at about 5% from FY2022 to FY2025, but TWPI barely grew and OPAT declined over the same three-year period before recovering in FY2025. This is not the profile of a high-return growth segment yet. It is a large in-force base with accounting growth, uneven profitability, and a new-business option set that may be more attractive than the current OPAT line suggests.

7. Management Guidance and Commentary From Data Package

The data package does not provide a full Other Markets transcript section with country-by-country management quotes. It does provide several relevant group-level and segment-synthesis items that govern this forecast.

Topic	Data package row	Verbatim excerpt	Interpretation for Other Markets
Asia opportunity	Section 6, Group Asia opportunity	"most attractive region in the world"	Supports long-run demand growth in India, Vietnam, Philippines, Indonesia, Cambodia, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, and Korea, but it is not country-specific guidance.
Technology and AI	Section 6, Technology / AI	"amplifying our long-term advice-led model"	Supports modest productivity and expense leverage in agency-heavy markets, but not a sudden margin step-change.

Topic	Data package row	Verbatim excerpt	Interpretation for Other Markets
Capital management	Section 6, Capital management	"comfortably above 200%"	Group solvency gives AIA room to fund profitable new business and returns, but segment capital intensity still constrains returns.
Other Markets synthesis	Section 6, forward commentary synthesis	[DATA GAP: no short verbatim quote]	Data package states Other Markets includes India through Tata AIA in ANP/VONB and excludes equity-method effects from IFRS revenue/TWPI.
Q1 2026 trend	Section 5, latest quarter segment table	[DATA GAP: trend summary, not transcript quote]	Other Markets VONB growth was positive; Vietnam and Philippines were strong; Australia and Indonesia were weaker; Tata AIA continued excellent VONB growth.

The management anchor is therefore weaker than for China, Hong Kong, Thailand, or Malaysia. There is no explicit FY2030 Other Markets target in the data package, and no disclosed historical country-level VONB table. The forecast must be anchored in the actual FY2025 segment economics and then adjusted for the post-anchor evidence: positive Q1 2026 Other Markets VONB growth, excellent Tata AIA growth, strong Vietnam and Philippines, and weaker Australia and Indonesia.

8. Forward Growth Assumptions

The forward horizon is exactly FY2025 / TTM to FY2030, five calendar years from the TTM end date. The base-case model separates three concepts that should not be merged: consolidated subsidiary insurance revenue, premium/new-business volume including Tata AIA, and VONB. Country-level dollar allocations are not disclosed and are not invented. The country discussion below is used to justify the aggregate CAGR, not to create false country revenue lines.

Base Case Growth

Economic line	Historical anchor	Latest evidence	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Base CAGR TTM to FY2030	Justification
Consolidated Other Markets insurance revenue	FY2022-FY2025 CAGR 5.1%	Q1 has no revenue dollars	No segment revenue guide	4.5%	Slightly below recent IFRS revenue CAGR because Australia, Indonesia, and mature markets dilute Vietnam, Philippines, and Taiwan/Korea growth.
TWPI from consolidated subsidiaries	FY2022-FY2025 CAGR 0.4%	Q1 group TWPI +13%, no segment dollars	No segment TWPI guide	3.0%	Reaccelerates from flat history as emerging-market premiums improve, but excludes Tata AIA and remains constrained by mature-market mix.
ANP including Tata AIA	FY2025 base only, no history	Q1 Other Markets positive; Tata AIA excellent	No segment ANP guide	6.5%	New-business sales should outgrow IFRS revenue because India, Vietnam, and the Philippines are higher-growth than the in-force revenue base.
VONB including Tata AIA	FY2025 base only, margin 32.0%	Q1 Other Markets positive VONB growth	No segment VONB guide	8.0%	VONB grows faster than ANP as country mix shifts toward India, Vietnam, and the Philippines and as FY2025 low margin partly normalizes.
VONB margin	FY2025 32.0%	Q1 group margin 56.0%, no segment margin	No segment margin guide	34.5% in FY2030	Modest improvement, not convergence to group, because mature markets and partnership economics still cap the segment.

Bull Case Growth

Economic line	Historical anchor	Latest evidence	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bull CAGR TTM to FY2030	Justification
Consolidated Other Markets insurance revenue	FY2022-FY2025 CAGR 5.1%	Q1 strong Vietnam and Philippines	No segment revenue guide	6.2%	Vietnam, Philippines, Taiwan, Korea, and improving Indonesia offset Australia and New Zealand, lifting consolidated revenue above recent trend.

Economic line	Historical anchor	Latest evidence	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bull CAGR TTM to FY2030	Justification
TWPI from consolidated subsidiaries	FY2022-FY2025 CAGR 0.4%	Q1 group TWPI +13%, no segment dollars	No segment TWPI guide	4.0%	Renewal premium activity improves as agency and bank channels recover in developing markets.
ANP including Tata AIA	FY2025 base only, no history	Tata AIA excellent VONB growth	No segment ANP guide	8.1%	India JV and ASEAN agency growth compound strongly without needing invented country dollars.
VONB including Tata AIA	FY2025 base only, margin 32.0%	Q1 Other Markets positive VONB growth	No segment VONB guide	11.0%	Mix shifts decisively to India, Vietnam, and Philippines, while Australia/Indonesia stop dragging.
VONB margin	FY2025 32.0%	No segment Q1 margin	No segment margin guide	36.6% in FY2030	Margin expands on better product/country mix but still remains well below group FY2025 margin.

Bear Case Growth

Economic line	Historical anchor	Latest evidence	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to FY2030	Justification
Consolidated Other Markets insurance revenue	FY2022-FY2025 CAGR 5.1%	Australia and Indonesia weaker in Q1	No segment revenue guide	1.5%	Mature-market drag, partner disruption, weak Indonesia, and lower savings demand overwhelm Vietnam/Philippines growth.
TWPI from consolidated subsidiaries	FY2022-FY2025 CAGR 0.4%	No segment TWPI dollars	No segment TWPI guide	0.5%	Premium activity stays almost flat, resembling FY2022-FY2025 history rather than the Q1 group trend.
ANP including Tata AIA	FY2025 base only, no history	Tata AIA still good in Q1	No segment ANP guide	3.5%	India provides some growth, but weaker local currencies, competition, and bank economics limit broader new-business volume.
VONB including Tata AIA	FY2025 base only, margin 32.0%	Positive Q1, but mixed country quality	No segment VONB guide	2.0%	VONB grows slower than ANP as margin mix worsens and acquisition costs rise.
VONB margin	FY2025 32.0%	No segment Q1 margin	No segment margin guide	29.8% in FY2030	Competition, medical inflation, and partner economics more than offset India/Vietnam growth.

Country handling inside the aggregate forecast is as follows. Australia is modeled as a mature-market drag in all cases because Q1 2026 was weaker. New Zealand is grouped with Australia for maturity and scale, not because AIA discloses a separate dollar line. South Korea and Taiwan are steady contributors with moderate growth and competitive life-market economics. Vietnam and the Philippines are explicit positive contributors because AIA singled them out as strong in Q1 2026. Indonesia is a bear/base drag because it was weaker in Q1 and can be affected by partnership economics. Cambodia, Myanmar, and Sri Lanka are small optionality markets, useful to long-term distribution breadth but not modeled as dollar drivers without disclosure. India/Tata AIA is the largest VONB/ANP upside path but is kept out of consolidated revenue and TWPI.

9. Forward Operating Margin Assumptions

For this insurer segment, margin is shown two ways: OPAT divided by IFRS insurance revenue and the data package's official TWPI-based operating-margin presentation. OPAT/insurance revenue is an additional cross-check because the expected output asks for segment revenue and OPAT. FY2025 OPAT/insurance revenue was 12.4%; the data package's FY2025 operating-margin row was 9.1%, while a simple 627 / 7,232 cross-check is 8.7%.

Case	FY2025 OPAT / insurance revenue	FY2030 OPAT / insurance revenue	FY2030 OPAT / TWPI	Mechanism
Bear	12.4%	9.0%	6.6%	Claims inflation, lower VONB margin, weaker Australia/Indonesia, and partner economics push returns back below the FY2025 recovery.

Case	FY2025 OPAT / insurance revenue	FY2030 OPAT / insurance revenue	FY2030 OPAT / TWPI	Mechanism
Base	12.4%	13.5%	10.1%	Scale, better India/Vietnam/Philippines mix, AI-enabled distribution productivity, and less capital-intensive product focus offset mature-market drag.
Bull	12.4%	15.0%	11.6%	Stronger VONB mix and expense leverage make Other Markets less dilutive, though still below Hong Kong/Thailand quality.

The base case margin expansion is deliberately modest. AIA's group-level AI and technology commentary supports productivity improvement, but the segment has too much country and partner complexity to assume rapid convergence to flagship margins. The bear case returns the segment close to its FY2023-FY2024 weakness, not because revenue collapses, but because OPAT is highly sensitive to product mix, claims, medical inflation, and partner economics. The bull case assumes the segment becomes less of a return drag, but even 15.0% OPAT/insurance revenue and 11.6% OPAT/TWPI are below AIA's best businesses.

10. Segment Rollup

The segment rollup is aggregate rather than country-dollar based because AIA does not disclose country-level revenue, OPAT, ANP, or VONB for Other Markets in the data package. The model uses FY2025 TTM as the base and compounds for exactly five years to FY2030. Segment revenue means IFRS insurance revenue from consolidated operations. VONB and ANP include Tata AIA Life, consistent with AIA's disclosure. TWPI excludes Tata AIA Life.

Case	Revenue CAGR	FY2030 insurance revenue	TWPI CAGR	FY2030 TWPI	ANP CAGR	FY2030 ANP	FY2030 VONB margin	FY2030 VONB	FY2030 OPAT margin on revenue	FY2030 OPAT
Bear	1.5%	5,448	0.5%	7,415	3.5%	1,795	29.8%	535	9.0%	490
Base	4.5%	6,302	3.0%	8,384	6.5%	2,070	34.5%	714	13.5%	851
Bull	6.2%	6,831	4.0%	8,799	8.1%	2,230	36.6%	816	15.0%	1,025

Arithmetic checks: base-case insurance revenue equals 5,057 multiplied by 1.045 to the fifth power, or 6,302. Base-case OPAT equals 6,302 multiplied by 13.5%, or 851. Base-case ANP equals 1,511 multiplied by 1.065 to the fifth power, or 2,070; VONB equals 2,070 multiplied by a 34.5% VONB margin, or 714. The same structure is used for bear and bull. The segment totals reconcile to the stated assumptions within rounding.

This rollup makes Other Markets a useful but not central earnings engine. In the base case, revenue rises by 1,245 over five years and OPAT rises by 224. VONB rises by 229, which is strategically more important than the OPAT change because it indicates better future CSM/EV formation. In the bull case, VONB reaches 816 and OPAT crosses 1,000, making Other Markets a credible contributor to group profit compounding. In the bear case, the segment remains large but low-return: revenue grows modestly, VONB barely compounds, and OPAT falls below the FY2025 level.

11. Key Risks and Wildcards

Bear risk - country mix whiplash. The segment can report positive aggregate VONB while hiding material offsets. Q1 2026 already had strong Vietnam and Philippines plus weaker Australia and Indonesia. If the weak countries are larger than the strong ones in OPAT or capital, reported growth quality deteriorates.

Bear risk - Tata AIA accounting opacity. India may be the largest upside option, but Tata AIA is not consolidated into IFRS revenue or TWPI. Investors can over-credit revenue growth if they treat JV VONB like consolidated sales. The correct treatment is to give India strategic VONB/EV value while keeping it out of segment revenue.

Bear risk - partner economics. Bancassurance and broker channels can scale quickly, but banks and intermediaries can extract economics or change priorities. A partnership disruption can hit ANP immediately and margin later.

Bear risk - medical inflation and claims. Health and protection products are attractive only if repricing, underwriting, and claims management keep pace. Medical inflation in developing markets can erode margins faster than revenue growth reveals.

Base risk - regulatory and conduct friction. Multiple countries mean multiple solvency regimes, product rules, distribution standards, tax treatments, and consumer-protection expectations. AIA's regional compliance capability helps, but complexity is itself a cost.

Base/bull wildcard - AI and agency productivity. AIA's technology commentary frames AI as amplifying the advice-led model. If agent training, lead conversion, underwriting, and servicing improve sustainably, Other Markets could gain margin without needing aggressive pricing.

Bull wildcard - India/Vietnam/Philippines compounding. If Tata AIA continues excellent VONB growth and Vietnam/Philippines remain strong, Other Markets could become a higher-growth VONB contributor even while consolidated revenue grows only mid-single digit.

Bull wildcard - mature-market stabilization. If Australia, New Zealand, Korea, and Taiwan stabilize and Indonesia recovers, the segment can compound from a broader base rather than relying on a few growth countries.

Summary Table

Case	Segment TTM+5y Revenue	Segment TTM+5y Op Margin	Segment TTM+5y Op Income	Segment TTM+5y VONB	Probability
Bear	5,448	9.0%	490	535	25%
Base	6,302	13.5%	851	714	50%
Bull	6,831	15.0%	1,025	816	25%

Bottom line: Other Markets is AIA's messiest major segment. It is large by revenue, strategically valuable because of India and developing Asia, but currently lower-return than the core franchises. The base case assumes the segment improves from a 2025 low-margin mix but does not become a group-average business by FY2030. The upside case is driven by India/Tata AIA, Vietnam, and the Philippines; the downside case is driven by Australia/Indonesia weakness, partner economics, medical inflation, and the accounting gap between VONB growth and consolidated revenue.

Data Gaps and Source Flags

- [DATA GAP: historical Other Markets VONB and ANP by year were not provided in the data package; only FY2025 segment VONB, ANP, and VONB margin were available.]
- [DATA GAP: country-level revenue, OPAT, VONB, ANP, TWPI, and VONB margin for Australia, Cambodia, India/Tata AIA, Indonesia, Myanmar, New Zealand, Philippines, South Korea, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, and Vietnam are not disclosed in the data package.]
- [DATA GAP: product-level revenue dollars for protection, health, savings, group insurance, unit-linked, employee benefits, agency, bancassurance, brokers, or IFAs are not disclosed; no product-level dollars are invented.]
- [SOURCE FLAG: SEC EDGAR web request for AAGIY failed twice with the SEC automated-tool block "Your Request Originates from an Undeclared Automated Tool"; no cached SEC substitute was used.]

Appendix B3 - AIA China / Mainland China

AIA China / Mainland China - Segment Deep Dive

PATH_RECEIVED: C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_B_aia_china.md

Company: AIA Group Limited Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299 Segment: AIA China / Mainland China Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore Currency: US$ millions unless otherwise stated

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

0. Segment boundary and taxonomy note

AIA China / Mainland China is one of AIA's geographic reportable segments. It includes life insurance, accident and health insurance, savings plans, and related investment or financial services sold in Mainland China. The segment boundary is stable across FY2021-FY2025, but the accounting taxonomy is not fully stable: FY2023 introduced IFRS 17, AIA provides restated FY2022 segment insurance revenue and OPAT, and FY2021 is old IFRS 4 taxonomy. Therefore this appendix uses FY2022-FY2025 for IFRS 17 insurance revenue comparisons, uses TWPI and OPAT for the five-year segment trend, and avoids silently comparing old-taxonomy FY2021 revenue with IFRS 17 insurance revenue. The TTM anchor is FY2025, year ended December 31, 2025; the horizon year is December 31, 2030.

This appendix follows the insurer-specific adaptation requested for AIA. It uses insurance revenue, TWPI, OPAT, VONB, ANP, VONB margin, free surplus, EV, and capital where disclosed. AIA does not disclose product-level revenue inside AIA China, so no product-level dollars are invented. The live FMP product-segmentation annual and quarterly calls returned empty

arrays again during this subagent pass, consistent with `data_package.md`; official AIA disclosures remain the controlling base.

1. What this segment actually is

AIA China is a regulated Mainland China life and health insurance franchise. The customer is typically an individual or household buying long-duration financial protection, health cover, critical illness protection, savings, retirement, or wealth-planning products. The economic product is not simply an annual premium receipt. AIA sells promises that run for many years, prices expected claims and lapses, pays acquisition costs to distribution channels, holds capital against the policy, invests assets, and releases profit over the contract life as insurance service is provided and financial assumptions play out.

The segment's go-to-market is led by Premier Agency, AIA's professional tied-agent model. In FY2025 Premier Agency accounted for 85% of AIA China VONB, while selective bancassurance accounted for the remaining 15% [data_package Â§0 and Â§4, AIA FY2025 annual results notes]. Agency is not a commodity salesforce for AIA China. It is the control point for product mix, compliance, customer education, and persistency. The model works when agents sell suitable long-duration products to middle-class and affluent households with financial needs that are broad enough to support multiple policies over time. It weakens when recruiting quality falls, sales become product-push rather than advice-led, customer confidence falls, or regulation constrains product economics.

The channel strategy has two layers. The first is the mature AIA China footprint, where the company already has larger agent bases, stronger brand recognition, and deeper local operating history. The second is newer geographies entered since 2019. AIA disclosed that the nine new regions were Tianjin, Hebei, Sichuan, Hubei, Henan, Anhui, Shandong, Chongqing, and Zhejiang; these regions generated US$118 million of VONB in FY2025, up 45%, and represented over 9% of AIA China VONB [data_package Â§4, AIA China new geographies row; AIA FY2025 annual results lines on Mainland China]. Management's 2030 ambition is often the tempting headline, but it must be kept narrow: the 40% CAGR ambition applies to VONB from those new geographies, before economic assumption changes. It is not a 40% CAGR guide for all of AIA China.

AIA also owns 24.99% of China Post Life. This is strategically relevant because it expands exposure to additional distribution and customer segments in Mainland China, but it is not part of AIA China's reported segment results. The data package explicitly warns that AIA China's reported results do not include China Post Life, and the segment model below excludes it. China Post Life can be discussed as optionality for group exposure, but it cannot be rolled into AIA China insurance revenue, TWPI, OPAT, ANP, or VONB without an explicit consolidation change.

2. Current economics

FY2025 is the TTM base. AIA China generated US$3,460 million of insurance revenue, US$11,272 million of TWPI, US$1,708 million of OPAT attributable to shareholders, US$1,240 million of VONB, US$2,152 million of ANP, and a 57.6% VONB margin [data_package Â§1 and Â§3, AIA China rows; quant_foundation.md P4 TTM segment base]. The segment represented 16.0% of group insurance revenue and 23.9% of group OPAT before Group Corporate Centre costs. It is not the largest revenue segment, but it is one of the most important strategic growth engines because the Mainland China life, health, savings, and retirement market is large, still under-protected in many product categories, and not yet fully accessible to AIA on a nationwide basis.

The current revenue base should be interpreted carefully. Insurance revenue under IFRS 17 is the service revenue recognized in the period, not gross premiums received. TWPI is the better activity-volume measure because it includes renewal premiums and a standardized treatment of single premiums. OPAT is the best segment earnings measure because it reflects the insurance service result, net investment result, operating expenses, tax and other segment-level items after attribution to shareholders. VONB is the most important new-business quality measure because it indicates the present value of profit from current-year sales after expected costs and capital charges.

The FY2025 mix points show a segment that is more attractive in new-business economics than the headline insurance revenue growth suggests. Insurance revenue grew from US$3.248 billion in FY2024 to US$3.460 billion in FY2025, about 6.5%. TWPI grew from US$9.874 billion to US$11.272 billion, about 14.2%. OPAT grew from US$1.597 billion to US$1.708 billion, about 7.0%. VONB grew 2% for the full year to US$1.240 billion after economic assumption changes, but management highlighted accelerated momentum in the second half, with 14% year-on-year growth, and Q1 2026 China VONB growth of 26% as post-anchor operating evidence [data_package Â§5, AIA China latest quarter row; AIA Q1 2026 official press release].

Capital intensity is partly visible but not fully segment-disclosed. The FY2025 segment note gives AIA China shareholders' allocated equity of US$8,049 million and total assets of US$70,927 million [quant_foundation.md P2 FY2025 segment operating table]. OPAT divided by allocated equity was about 21.2%, which is strong for a regulated insurer. However, AIA does not disclose AIA China free surplus generation, segment EV equity, or segment OPAT to EV equity in the data package. That is a [DATA GAP: AIA China segment-level EV equity, free surplus generation, and OPAT to EV are not separately disclosed in the data package]. The group-level picture remains strong: FY2025 EV Equity was US$79,678 million, OPAT was US$7,136 million, UFSG was US$6,765 million, net FSG was US$4,451 million, and the shareholder capital ratio was 221% [quant_foundation.md P4 and P6].

3. Unit economics

AIA China turns new sales into economic value through underwriting, persistency, investment spread, expense discipline, and capital efficiency. The high-level pathway is simple: an agent or bank partner sells a policy; AIA incurs acquisition and underwriting costs upfront; the policy adds VONB if expected lifetime premiums, claims, expenses, investment returns, tax, and required capital produce positive value; the in-force book then releases earnings and surplus over time. The difficult part is that every assumption is long-duration. Mortality, morbidity, medical inflation, lapse behavior, guarantee cost, policyholder dividends, credit spreads, and local regulation can move realized profit away from priced profit.

The segment's FY2025 VONB margin of 57.6% means that VONB was 57.6% of ANP [quant_foundation.md P1 official FY2025 new business table]. That is not a revenue margin. It is a new-business value metric. It says AIA China is still writing profitable new business at attractive embedded-value economics, but it does not mean cash is received immediately. The segment's OPAT to TWPI margin was 15.2% in FY2025, down from 19.6% in FY2021 and 18.8% in FY2022 [data_package Â§3, AIA China table]. This margin compression is not automatically bearish, because mix, reinvestment, growth into new geographies, IFRS 17 transition effects, and investment conditions can all move the ratio. But it does mean the forward model should not assume operating leverage mechanically offsets every growth investment.

Agency economics are central. AIA China's Premier Agency model creates pricing power only if agents remain productive and trusted. AIA's Q1 2026 update says new recruits were up more than 20%, and productivity increased for both new and existing agents compared with Q1 2025. That is evidence that the recruitment engine is still functioning after a mixed 2025. The unit-economics risk is that rapid recruitment can dilute quality if training, supervision, and customer targeting do not keep pace. The bull case requires more productive agents selling a balanced mix of protection and savings. The bear case is a larger agent base with lower activation, higher acquisition cost per good policy, and more pressure to sell lower-margin savings products.

The bancassurance contribution is smaller but relevant. AIA China uses selective bank partnerships rather than a volume-at-any-price bank channel. In FY2025 bancassurance contributed 15% of AIA China VONB and benefited from larger case sizes [AIA FY2025 annual results, cited in data_package Â§6 synthesis]. This can be attractive because banks can reach affluent customers, but it also has less distribution control than proprietary agency. Bank partners own customer traffic and can bargain for economics. If deposit rates, wealth management products, or competing insurers offer more appealing economics, bank-sourced insurance sales can shift quickly.

Product mix is the largest swing factor for VONB margin. Long-term savings solutions can drive ANP and TWPI, particularly when households seek yield and retirement planning, but savings-heavy products can be more capital-intensive or rate-sensitive than pure protection depending on guarantees and investment features. Protection products are strategically valuable because they address mortality, morbidity, and medical needs, often with higher value density, but they require good underwriting and claims management. AIA's Q1 2026 China update is encouraging because protection-product VONB grew 17% even while long-term savings demand was strong. That suggests growth was not solely a savings-yield story.

4. Competitive dynamics

Mainland China life insurance is a large, competitive, and regulated market dominated by domestic groups with deep national distribution. The direct competitive set includes China Life, Ping An Life, CPIC Life, New China Life, PICC-related insurance businesses, bank-owned or bank-affiliated insurers, and other foreign insurers with local licenses or joint ventures. AIA's competitive position is unusual: it is foreign-controlled and not yet universally present across every Mainland geography, but it has a differentiated brand, an advice-led agency model, and permissioned expansion into new geographies.

The market backdrop is neither a simple growth story nor a saturation story. Swiss Re's 2025 mortality protection gap work says China remains a large under-protected market, with a US$76 billion mortality protection gap in 2024, but it also expects China's life-risk premium growth to be below the prior decade's pace because of maturing market conditions, demographics,

and macro pressure. This matters for AIA China: under-penetration supports the long runway, while macro softness and demographics argue against applying the new-geography 40% ambition to the full segment. CPIC's 2025 interim report, citing NFRA data, said China's insurance market generated RMB3.74 trillion of primary premium income in 1H2025, up 5.3%, with life and health insurers at RMB2.77 trillion, up 5.4%. That is a healthy but not explosive industry growth rate.

Domestic competitors have scale advantages. China Life and Ping An have enormous agency networks, bank relationships, brand recognition, data, and local operating depth. S&P Global Market Intelligence reported that Ping An's insurance service result rose in 2024 among the large listed life insurers, while China Life and New China Life also remain major profit and distribution competitors. These players can compete aggressively on product design, agent compensation, and customer access. They also have the political and regulatory familiarity that comes with domestic scale.

AIA's advantage is not being the lowest-cost manufacturer of insurance. It is the ability to sell advice-led, higher-quality policies through a professional agency force and to keep expanding geographically without abandoning underwriting and product discipline. The moat is strongest in segments where customers value advice, brand trust, service quality, health/protection expertise, and long-term financial planning. It is weaker in commoditized savings products, highly rate-sensitive products, and bank-controlled distribution where customers compare headline yield and surrender flexibility.

Regulation cuts both ways. Mainland insurance regulators can reduce guarantee rates, reshape product approvals, enforce conduct standards, constrain distribution practices, and influence solvency capital. This can pressure near-term sales or margins, but it can also favor disciplined insurers if weaker competitors are forced away from unsustainable guarantees or aggressive agency behavior. AIA's long-run competitive case improves if regulation pushes the market toward quality, persistency, and capital discipline. It weakens if licensing expansion slows, foreign insurers face subtle distribution friction, or domestic incumbents use scale and bank ecosystems to lock up attractive customer segments.

5. Segment-level moat

AIA China's moat is real but conditional. It rests on three assets: a trusted brand aimed at middle-class and affluent households, a professional Premier Agency model, and a still-expanding geographic footprint. These are valuable in life and health insurance because the product is complex, the consequence of a bad sale is high, and the relationship lasts for years. A trusted agent who can explain protection, health, retirement, and savings needs is more valuable than a transactional lead source. If AIA can keep recruiting and training high-quality agents, its distribution becomes a compounding asset.

The geographic expansion option is the second moat layer. AIA has only entered certain new regions since 2019, and those regions produced over 9% of China VONB in FY2025 while growing 45%. If AIA can replicate its mature-city agency productivity in new regions, it can grow faster than the national market without assuming the whole China life industry accelerates. The 40% CAGR ambition from 2025 to 2030 is therefore a meaningful option, but only for that US$118 million VONB base. It becomes dangerous if treated as a segment-wide growth rate.

The moat is not absolute. Domestic insurers have national distribution, government familiarity, and massive customer bases. Bank channels can shift bargaining power away from insurers. Savings products can become yield competition. Health products can face medical inflation and regulatory repricing. Macro confidence can suppress discretionary long-duration financial commitments. AIA's moat durability over five to ten years depends on whether the company can keep the business mix tilted toward high-quality protection, health, and advice-led savings while scaling new geographies at disciplined acquisition cost.

6. Historical growth rates

The data package is the source of truth for AIA China's historicals. Product-level revenue is not disclosed, so the finest reliable breakdown is the official AIA China segment total. IFRS 17 insurance revenue is available from FY2022 onward; FY2021 is not comparable for that line. All values below are reproduced from `data_package.md` Section 3 unless specifically marked as a calculation from that same row.

Product / sub-segment	FY-3 rev	FY-2 rev	FY-1 rev	3-yr CAGR	Most recent YoY	Data package cite
AIA China TWPI	7.59 [Â§3 AIA China TWPI row]	8.59 [Â§3 AIA China TWPI row]	11.27 [Â§3 AIA China TWPI row]	14.1% [calc from Â§3 AIA China TWPI row]	14.2% [calc from Â§3 AIA China TWPI row]	data_package Â§3, AIA China / Mainland China TWPI row
AIA China insurance revenue	3.09 [Â§3 AIA China insurance revenue row]	3.12 [Â§3 AIA China insurance revenue row]	3.46 [Â§3 AIA China insurance revenue row]	3.9% [calc from Â§3 AIA China insurance revenue row]	6.5% [calc from Â§3 AIA China insurance revenue row]	data_package Â§3, AIA China / Mainland China insurance revenue row
AIA China OPAT attributable	1.43 [Â§3 AIA China OPAT row]	1.55 [Â§3 AIA China OPAT row]	1.71 [Â§3 AIA China OPAT row]	6.2% [calc from Â§3 AIA China OPAT row]	7.0% [calc from Â§3 AIA China OPAT row]	data_package Â§3, AIA China / Mainland China OPAT row

Product / sub-segment	FY-3 rev	FY-2 rev	FY-1 rev	3-yr CAGR	Most recent YoY	Data package cite
AIA China new geographies VONB	n/d [Â§4 AIA China new geographies row]	n/d [Â§4 AIA China new geographies row]	0.118 [Â§4 AIA China new geographies row]	n/m [Â§4 provides FY2025 and YoY only]	45.0% [Â§4 AIA China new geographies row]	data_package Â§4, AIA China new geographies row

Arithmetic check: TWPI FY2024 to FY2025 ties as 9.87 x 1.142 = 11.27, within rounding. Insurance revenue FY2024 to FY2025 ties as 3.25 x 1.065 = 3.46, within rounding. OPAT FY2024 to FY2025 ties as 1.60 x 1.070 = 1.71, within rounding. New geographies VONB prior-year dollars can be back-solved from the disclosed 45% FY2025 growth: 0.118 / 1.45 = 0.081. Row tie-out: 0.081 x 1.45 = 0.118.

The historical pattern is mixed but investable. TWPI compounded at a mid-teens rate from FY2022 to FY2025, insurance revenue compounded only low single digit from the IFRS 17 base, OPAT grew mid-single digit, and OPAT to TWPI margin compressed. That combination says the in-force and premium base is growing faster than currently recognized earnings, while growth investment, product mix, claims, rate assumptions, or accounting timing have kept OPAT from scaling as quickly as volume. The forward model therefore gives AIA China credit for attractive growth but does not assume margin expansion by default.

7. Management guidance

The following management anchors are quoted from the data package rather than re-fetched transcripts. They are used as guardrails for the forward model.

Segment / topic	Data package row	Verbatim excerpt	Modeling interpretation
AIA China new geographies	data_package Â§6, AIA China new geographies row	"confidence in meeting our 2030 ambition"	This supports strong growth for the nine newer geographies, but the ambition is not a guide for all of AIA China.
Group Asia opportunity	data_package Â§6, Group Asia opportunity row	"most attractive region in the world"	Demand backdrop supports above-GDP growth in life, health, savings, and retirement, but China-specific macro moderation still matters.
Group OPAT target	data_package Â§6, Group OPAT target row	"meet or exceed our 2026 target"	Group-level OPAT-per-share confidence supports a constructive near-term earnings base, but it is not a China segment OPAT guide.
Technology and AI	data_package Â§6, Technology / AI row	"amplifying our long-term advice-led model"	AI should be modeled as agent productivity and service leverage, not as a replacement for the regulated insurance franchise.

The latest post-anchor operating datapoint is also relevant but not part of TTM earnings. AIA's Q1 2026 official update reported 26% VONB growth for AIA China, 17% protection-product VONB growth, more than 20% growth in new recruits, and continued VONB growth from new geographies. This supports a base case above the FY2022-FY2025 OPAT CAGR, but it is a new-business update rather than a full quarterly IFRS statement. The model uses it as evidence of momentum, not as a mechanical annualization.

8. Forward growth assumptions

The model compounds from FY2025 TTM to FY2030. Because AIA China does not disclose product-level insurance revenue, the revenue product line is AIA China total insurance revenue. VONB is modeled separately because it is economically central and because new geographies are disclosed as a VONB sub-line. China Post Life is excluded from the segment model.

Base case: AIA China continues to compound above the Mainland life industry through agency productivity, new geographies, and selective bancassurance, but insurance revenue grows slower than VONB because IFRS 17 revenue emerges over time from the in-force book.

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Base CAGR TTM->TTM+5y	Justification
AIA China insurance revenue	3.9%	6.5%	No revenue guide	6.75%	Revenue growth is lifted above the IFRS 17 historical CAGR by stronger TWPI and Q1 2026 VONB momentum, but kept below TWPI because revenue recognition lags premium activity.

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Base CAGR TTM->TTM+5y	Justification
AIA China TWPI	14.1%	14.2%	No TWPI segment guide	7.50%	TWPI decelerates from a strong FY2022-FY2025 run as China matures, but remains above industry premium growth because AIA is still expanding geographies and agency capacity.
AIA China total VONB	n/m for full segment in Â§3	2.0% FY2025; 26% Q1 2026	New-geography ambition only	10.75%	Established-region VONB is modeled at 6.5% while new-geography VONB grows at 35%, producing strong total VONB growth without applying the 40% ambition to the whole segment.
AIA China new geographies VONB	n/m	45.0%	40% CAGR ambition to 2030 before economic assumption changes	35.00%	Base discounts management's 40% ambition for execution and economic-assumption risk while still assuming rapid scaling from a small US$118m base.

Bull case: new geographies deliver close to management's ambition, agency productivity improves, protection mix holds, and consumer confidence stabilizes enough for AIA to take share without sacrificing margin.

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bull CAGR TTM->TTM+5y	Justification
AIA China insurance revenue	3.9%	6.5%	No revenue guide	9.00%	Strong new-business layering and persistency accelerate IFRS 17 revenue recognition, though revenue still trails VONB growth.
AIA China TWPI	14.1%	14.2%	No TWPI segment guide	10.00%	TWPI stays near double digits as new regions scale and bancassurance case sizes remain high.
AIA China total VONB	n/m for full segment in Â§3	2.0% FY2025; 26% Q1 2026	New-geography ambition only	14.13%	Established geographies reaccelerate to 9.5% and new geographies hit 40%, making total VONB growth materially above revenue growth.
AIA China new geographies VONB	n/m	45.0%	40% CAGR ambition to 2030 before economic assumption changes	40.00%	The disclosed ambition is achieved from the US$118m FY2025 base, helped by recruitment, productivity, and local scaling.

Bear case: macro confidence, rate pressure, regulatory friction, and domestic competition reduce sales productivity. AIA still grows because the in-force book is sticky, but VONB growth slows and margins compress.

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM->TTM+5y	Justification
AIA China insurance revenue	3.9%	6.5%	No revenue guide	4.00%	Insurance revenue grows with the in-force book but slows toward market growth as new sales productivity weakens.
AIA China TWPI	14.1%	14.2%	No TWPI segment guide	4.50%	Premium activity decelerates sharply from the historical base under weaker household confidence and more intense competition for savings products.
AIA China total VONB	n/m for full segment in Â§3	2.0% FY2025; 26% Q1 2026	New-geography ambition only	5.16%	Established-geography VONB grows only 3.0% and new geographies slow to 20%, causing total VONB growth to lag the optimistic narrative.
AIA China new geographies VONB	n/m	45.0%	40% CAGR ambition to 2030 before economic assumption changes	20.00%	New regions still grow from a small base, but licensing, recruitment quality, and macro conditions prevent anything close to the ambition.

Thesis-consistency check: the base case deliberately decelerates TWPI from 14.1% historical CAGR to 7.5% and total VONB to 10.75%, even though Q1 2026 VONB grew 26%. The reason is that Q1 was a new-business update after a mixed FY2025, not a full-year revenue guide; Swiss Re's China outlook also points to slower protection growth than the prior decade. The bull case is the only case that lets new geographies meet the stated 40% ambition, and even there total China VONB grows much slower than 40% because the established-region base is much larger.

9. Forward operating margin assumptions

AIA's own data package labels operating margin as OPAT divided by TWPI for AIA China. This appendix therefore uses OPAT/TWPI as the segment operating margin, while separately presenting insurance revenue. AIA does not disclose product-level margin inside AIA China, so the margin line is segment-level only.

Case	Current OPAT/TWPI margin	FY2030 OPAT/TWPI margin	Change	Mechanism
Bear	15.2%	13.2%	-200 bps	Macro pressure, savings competition, new-region acquisition cost, and lower agent productivity outweigh in-force scale benefits.
Base	15.2%	14.8%	-40 bps	Scale and technology offset most reinvestment, but mix and new-geography buildout keep margin slightly below FY2025.
Bull	15.2%	16.0%	+80 bps	Protection mix, agent productivity, persistency, and disciplined bancassurance create modest operating leverage.

The base case does not assume major margin recovery. FY2021-FY2025 OPAT/TWPI margin moved from 19.6% to 15.2%, and it would be too easy to assume that faster growth automatically restores earlier margins. The more conservative assumption is that new geographies require investment, bancassurance can carry partner economics, and savings-heavy demand can dilute margin if guarantees or acquisition costs rise. The bull case requires better mix and productivity, not just higher sales. The bear case assumes the in-force book remains profitable but new sales are less efficient and pressure the aggregate ratio.

For insurer return quality, the current segment proxy is OPAT divided by shareholders' allocated equity. FY2025 AIA China OPAT of US$1,708 million divided by allocated equity of US$8,049 million equals about 21.2%. This is strong, but allocated equity is not the same as segment EV equity. [DATA GAP: segment EV operating profit, segment EV equity, OPAT/EV, and segment free surplus are not disclosed in data_package.md.]

10. Segment rollup

The rollup is built from FY2025 TTM segment bases. Insurance revenue and TWPI are modeled separately. OPAT is computed as FY2030 TWPI multiplied by the case margin. VONB is computed from disclosed total VONB, with new-geography VONB separated only for economics, not as product revenue. All values are rounded to the nearest US$ million.

Case	FY2025 base	Growth or margin	FY2030 result	Calculation note
Bear insurance revenue	3,460	4.00% CAGR	4,210	3,460 x 1.0400^5
Base insurance revenue	3,460	6.75% CAGR	4,796	3,460 x 1.0675^5
Bull insurance revenue	3,460	9.00% CAGR	5,324	3,460 x 1.0900^5
Bear TWPI	11,272	4.50% CAGR	14,047	11,272 x 1.0450^5
Base TWPI	11,272	7.50% CAGR	16,182	11,272 x 1.0750^5
Bull TWPI	11,272	10.00% CAGR	18,154	11,272 x 1.1000^5
Bear OPAT	14,047	13.2% margin	1,854	TWPI x OPAT/TWPI margin
Base OPAT	16,182	14.8% margin	2,395	TWPI x OPAT/TWPI margin
Bull OPAT	18,154	16.0% margin	2,905	TWPI x OPAT/TWPI margin
Bear VONB	1,240	5.16% CAGR	1,594	Established 3.0%, new geographies 20%

Case	FY2025 base	Growth or margin	FY2030 result	Calculation note
Base VONB	1,240	10.75% CAGR	2,066	Established 6.5%, new geographies 35%
Bull VONB	1,240	14.13% CAGR	2,401	Established 9.5%, new geographies 40%

VONB bridge detail: FY2025 AIA China VONB was US$1,240 million. New geographies were US$118 million, leaving US$1,122 million for the established AIA China base. In the base case, established VONB grows at 6.5% for five years to about US$1,537 million, while new geographies grow at 35.0% to about US$529 million, giving total FY2030 VONB of US$2,066 million. In the bull case, established VONB reaches about US$1,766 million and new geographies reach about US$635 million, giving total FY2030 VONB of US$2,401 million. In the bear case, established VONB reaches about US$1,301 million and new geographies about US$294 million, giving total FY2030 VONB of US$1,594 million.

The base-case FY2030 result is therefore US$4.796 billion of insurance revenue, US$16.182 billion of TWPI, US$2.395 billion of OPAT, and US$2.066 billion of VONB. The resulting OPAT CAGR is about 7.0% from US$1.708 billion, broadly consistent with the segment's FY2022-FY2025 OPAT CAGR but with a healthier new-business pipeline. The model is intentionally not a China hockey stick. It is a disciplined compounding case with new geographies providing upside while the established base grows at a more normal rate.

11. Key risks and wildcards

Bear risk - China macro confidence. Long-duration life and savings products require household confidence. Weak property wealth, employment worries, lower consumer confidence, or deposit-rate competition can reduce willingness to commit to policies. This most directly affects ANP and VONB, and then later insurance revenue and OPAT.

Bear risk - guarantee and rate pressure. If competition shifts toward savings products with richer guarantees or if lower rates reduce reinvestment yield, VONB margin can compress even when premium volume grows. This is a classic life-insurance failure mode: the top line looks healthy while embedded value quality deteriorates.

Bear risk - agency quality dilution. AIA China is recruiting quickly, including more than 20% growth in new recruits in Q1 2026. That is positive if training and activation remain strong. It becomes a risk if agent count grows faster than productivity, conduct discipline, or customer suitability.

Base risk - new geographies take time to mature. The new-geography VONB base is small and fast-growing, but new regions require licensing, leadership, training, brand building, compliance, and local customer trust. A 35% base CAGR is still ambitious and assumes AIA can replicate its model across diverse provinces and cities.

Base risk - limited product disclosure. AIA does not disclose product-level China revenue or margins, so investors cannot independently separate protection, health, savings, participating, or unit-linked profitability. This opacity is manageable but important. If mix deteriorates, the first visible signal may be VONB margin or OPAT/TWPI, not product revenue.

Bull wildcard - protection-led advice demand. If AIA keeps growing protection-product VONB alongside long-term savings, then higher ANP can come with attractive value density. The Q1 2026 protection VONB growth datapoint supports this possibility.

Bull wildcard - China Post Life optionality. China Post Life is excluded from AIA China reported results, but it expands group exposure to customer segments and distribution channels that AIA China does not fully address. Any future deeper cooperation, economics, or ownership change could create group-level value outside this segment model.

Bear wildcard - regulatory intervention. Product rules, solvency standards, agency conduct rules, foreign-insurer treatment, bancassurance regulation, or health-claims rules could alter growth or capital needs. Regulation can favor disciplined insurers in the long run, but the timing risk is real.

Summary table

The probability weights reflect segment-specific uncertainty: AIA China has strong momentum and a credible moat, but Mainland macro/regulatory risk and disclosure opacity prevent a high-conviction bull weighting. OPAT margin below is OPAT divided by TWPI, consistent with the data package's AIA China margin convention.

Case	Segment TTM+5y Revenue	Segment TTM+5y Op Margin	Segment TTM+5y Op Income	Probability
Bear	4,210	13.2%	1,854	25%

Case	Segment TTM+5y Revenue	Segment TTM+5y Op Margin	Segment TTM+5y Op Income	Probability
Base	4,796	14.8%	2,395	50%
Bull	5,324	16.0%	2,905	25%

Source notes

Primary historical and management-commentary source: `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\data_package.md`, especially Sections 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12. TTM anchor and group capital metrics: `quant_foundation.md` P4 and P6. QFR gate: `quant_foundation_review.md`, which states `QUANT FOUNDATION VERIFIED - CLEARED FOR WAVE 1`.

Live sources consulted for current context and cross-checks: AIA Q1 2026 press release at https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260430; AIA FY2025 annual results announcement at https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/AIA%20Group%202025%20Annual%20Results%20Ann%20%28Eng%29.pdf; Swiss Re mortality protection gap analysis at https://www.swissre.com/institute/research/topics-and-risk-dialogues/climate-and-natural-catastrophe-risk/growing-exposure/global-mortality-protection-gap.html; CPIC 2025 interim report at https://life.cpic.com.cn/upload/resources/file/2025/09/22/89439.pdf; S&P Global Market Intelligence China life insurer article at https://www.spglobal.com/market-intelligence/en/news-insights/articles/2025/4/ping-an-posts-biggest-insurance-service-result-growth-among-china-life-insurers-88495217. FMP live calls made in this subagent pass: `revenue-product-segmentation 1299.HK --param period=annual`, `revenue-product-segmentation 1299.HK --param period=quarter`, and `quote 1299.HK`; both segmentation calls returned empty arrays.

Unresolved flags

[DATA GAP: AIA China product-level revenue dollars are not disclosed for life, health, protection, savings, participating, unit-linked, agency, or bancassurance.] [DATA GAP: AIA China segment-level EV equity, EV operating profit, OPAT/EV, and free surplus generation are not separately disclosed in the data package.] [DATA GAP: Q1 2026 has China VONB growth and qualitative channel data but no quarterly segment revenue, TWPI, OPAT, ANP dollars, or margin table.] [DATA GAP: FY2021 IFRS 17 AIA China insurance revenue is not available in the data package, so FY2021 is excluded from the insurance revenue CAGR.]

Appendix B4 - AIA Singapore

AIA Singapore - Segment Deep Dive

PATH_RECEIVED: C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_B_aia_singapore.md

Company: AIA Group Limited Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299 Segment: AIA Singapore Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore Currency: US$ millions unless otherwise stated TTM anchor: FY2025, year ended 2025-12-31 Forward horizon: 2030, exactly five calendar years after the TTM anchor

Data Source Rule - Included Verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

Source Protocol and Live Checks

The historical AIA figures in this appendix are reproduced from `data_package.md`, not re-derived. That file is the controlling source for AIA's own segment dollars, growth rates, and management commentary. I still made fresh live compliance checks because the dispatch explicitly requires Wave 2 subagents to obey the live-source rule: FMP `/stable/revenue-product-segmentation` for 1299.HK returned empty arrays for both annual and quarterly period parameters; FMP `/stable/income-statement` returned a FY2025 revenue mapping that again differs materially from AIA's official insurance revenue; AIA's official IR result and Q1 2026 pages were checked; and the SEC company ticker index did not identify AIA Group Limited or AAGIY as a reporting-company ticker. These live checks are corroborative only. The model below uses official AIA/HKEX figures as summarized in the data package because FMP does not expose product segmentation and its insurer revenue mapping is not economically controlling.

0. Segment Boundary and Taxonomy Note

AIA Singapore is AIA Group's Singapore and Brunei geographic operating segment. The reportable segment boundary is stable across FY2021-FY2025: `data_package.md` Section 1 states that "Singapore includes Brunei" and that AIA's reportable segments are geographical markets. The accounting taxonomy is not fully stable across the whole five-year window because FY2023 introduced IFRS 17, and FY2021 was not restated into the same IFRS 17 insurance-revenue presentation. Therefore, dollar comparisons of insurance revenue are limited to FY2022-FY2025, while FY2021 is used only for TWPI and OPAT trend context. This appendix never compares FY2021 old-taxonomy revenue to FY2025 IFRS 17 insurance revenue.

1. What This Segment Actually Is

AIA Singapore sells life insurance, accident and health insurance, savings plans, unit-linked long-term savings, retirement, employee-benefit, wealth-transfer, and related financial-service propositions in Singapore and Brunei. The customer set is wider than a simple domestic retail policyholder base. It includes Singapore households buying protection and medical cover, affluent and high-net-worth customers using Singapore as a wealth hub, internationally mobile families, offshore customers served through Singapore-based advisers, and corporate employee-benefit buyers. The segment is therefore a mix of mature domestic insurance economics and wealth-center economics.

The segment's revenue model is the insurer model, not a software or bank-fee model. AIA sells long-duration policies. Premiums, annualised new premiums, and total weighted premium income measure activity and volume; IFRS 17 insurance revenue measures insurance service provided in the period; VONB measures the value created by new policies sold in the period on AIA's embedded-value basis; and OPAT measures the segment's after-tax operating profit attributable to shareholders. The economically important question is not simply whether Singapore writes more premium. It is whether incremental premium is written with attractive VONB margin, acceptable capital strain, good persistency, and claims/pricing discipline.

Distribution is central. AIA Singapore uses tied agency, bancassurance, IFA/broker relationships, and wealth-oriented partnership channels. The data package flags Singapore growth as tied to "high-net-worth, offshore, bancassurance, and IFA/broker momentum" [data_package Section 6 commentary synthesis]. AIA Singapore's FY2025 annual results page also highlights record Singapore results, with VONB growth of 14%, ANP growth of 23%, and margin pressure from higher unit-linked long-term savings mix. That combination is the crux of the segment: Singapore can grow because it sits at the intersection of insurance, wealth management, HNW planning, and offshore capital, but the growth mix can dilute VONB margin if it tilts too heavily toward lower-margin savings volume.

Brunei is included in the segment boundary, but the data package does not separately disclose Brunei revenue, OPAT, ANP, VONB, TWPI, customer count, or channel metrics. I therefore treat Brunei as part of the Singapore segment total and insert no separate Brunei model line. [DATA GAP: AIA does not disclose Brunei-specific revenue, OPAT, VONB, ANP, TWPI, or margins in the data package.]

2. Current Economics

FY2025 is the controlling TTM base. AIA Singapore generated insurance revenue of US$2,833m, TWPI of US$5,263m, OPAT attributable to shareholders of US$721m, VONB of US$530m, ANP of US$1,128m, and VONB margin of 47.0% [data_package Sections 1 and 3; quant_foundation P4]. On AIA's segment margin convention in the data package, OPAT divided by TWPI was 13.7%. On a simple IFRS insurance-revenue denominator, OPAT was 25.5% of insurance revenue. The latter is useful for industrial readers, but the former is the data-package operating-margin field and is used for the forward margin model.

The most important current-economics signal is the divergence between volume growth and value growth. Singapore's FY2025 ANP was US$1,128m and VONB was US$530m, producing a 47.0% VONB margin. The annual-results release says Singapore VONB rose 14% and ANP rose 23% in FY2025, supported by high-net-worth, offshore, bancassurance, IFA/broker, and unit-linked savings momentum. That is a good growth result, but not a pure margin story. It says the segment is capturing affluent and offshore flows, while mix into unit-linked long-term savings reduces VONB per dollar of ANP versus a more protection-heavy mix.

The historic operating line shows a mature but expanding revenue base with margin compression. TWPI rose from US$3.43bn in FY2021 to US$5.26bn in FY2025. IFRS 17 insurance revenue rose from US$1.95bn in FY2022 to US$2.83bn in FY2025. OPAT was broadly flat across the five-year window: US$0.72bn in FY2021, US$0.74bn in FY2022, US$0.67bn in

FY2023, US$0.67bn in FY2024, and US$0.72bn in FY2025 [data_package Section 3]. The segment is not currently a high incremental-margin compounding machine in the reported OPAT line. It is a quality mature franchise with good new-business momentum, but recent reported earnings have absorbed mix, claims, expense, investment, or accounting headwinds.

Capital intensity cannot be calculated precisely at the segment EV level because AIA does not disclose AIA Singapore EV equity or EV operating profit in the data package. The best available capital proxy is shareholders' allocated equity of US$3,900m in FY2025 and total assets of US$50,028m [quant_foundation P2]. OPAT divided by allocated equity was 18.5%, which is attractive, but it is not an OPAT/EV metric. [DATA GAP: AIA Singapore EV equity, EV operating profit, CSM, free surplus generation, and OPAT/EV are not disclosed by segment in the data package.]

3. Unit Economics

AIA Singapore's unit economics are best understood through three layers: origination economics, in-force release economics, and balance-sheet economics.

Origination economics are captured by ANP, VONB, and VONB margin. In FY2025 the segment wrote US$1,128m of ANP and US$530m of VONB, a 47.0% margin. A 47.0% VONB margin is attractive in absolute terms, but it is lower than AIA Hong Kong's 68.5%, AIA Thailand's 110.9%, AIA Malaysia's 72.2%, and AIA China's 57.6% in the same year [quant_foundation P1]. Singapore is not weak; rather, the product and customer mix is more savings/wealth oriented. Long-term savings and unit-linked policies can generate high premium volume and good persistency but typically carry different value density, capital profile, and market sensitivity than pure protection.

The agency channel helps the segment preserve unit economics because tied agents can be trained toward needs-based advice, higher-quality persistency, and disciplined product mix. In a market like Singapore, where financial advisers and bank channels compete actively for affluent customers, the risk is that distribution economics become a bidding contest for access to high-ticket cases. A tied agency model reduces some of that risk, but does not eliminate it. Bancassurance and IFA/broker channels add reach and HNW/offshore flow, but they can raise acquisition costs or shift bargaining power toward the distributor.

In-force release economics show up in IFRS insurance revenue and OPAT. Singapore's insurance revenue increased 14.9% in FY2025, from US$2,466m to US$2,833m. OPAT rose 7.8%, from US$669m to US$721m. On the TWPI denominator, operating margin fell from 15.1% in FY2024 to 13.7% in FY2025, continuing the decline from 20.7% in FY2022 and 17.1% in FY2023 [data_package Section 3]. That is the main quality caveat. The in-force book is growing, but reported OPAT has not kept pace with premium volume over the last several years.

Balance-sheet economics are important because Singapore life insurance includes savings and investment-linked policies. Unit-linked products shift some investment risk to policyholders, but they still require administration, distribution, risk controls, and conduct oversight. Participating and savings products require careful asset-liability management, and protection/health products require claims discipline. Higher interest rates can support reinvestment yields and savings propositions, but they can also increase customer alternatives and lapse risk. Medical inflation and health-claim trend matter for protection economics. Persistency matters across the book.

The base-case underwriting assumption is that FY2025's depressed margin on TWPI is not the new permanent ceiling, but neither does it snap back to the FY2021-FY2022 level. I model a modest recovery from 13.7% to 14.5% by 2030, driven by scale, digital/AI productivity, and more disciplined mix management. This is intentionally conservative versus the historic 20% plus TWPI margin because recent evidence says the segment has chosen, or faced, a lower-margin growth mix.

4. Competitive Dynamics

Singapore is one of Asia's most sophisticated life insurance and wealth markets. It has high financial literacy, a deep bank channel, a large financial-adviser ecosystem, strong regulatory oversight from the Monetary Authority of Singapore, and high density of affluent and internationally mobile customers. AIA competes with Great Eastern, Prudential, Income Insurance, Manulife, Singlife, HSBC Life, Allianz-linked wealth/insurance platforms, bank-owned or bank-distributed insurers, independent financial advisers, private banks, brokers, and investment platforms.

The competitive axis differs by product. For domestic protection and health, the axes are claims service, product breadth, pricing, underwriting, adviser trust, and brand. For long-term savings and unit-linked products, the axes include investment choice, fees, illustration rates, adviser access, policy flexibility, wealth-planning features, and bank/private-bank distribution. For HNW and offshore customers, the axes include jurisdictional credibility, policy structuring, underwriting capacity, cross-border servicing, currency options, legacy planning, and adviser/private-bank connectivity.

External market data supports the mix issue. The Life Insurance Association Singapore reported that Singapore's 2025 new business weighted premiums rose modestly while single-premium and investment-linked activity remained material. The LIA 4Q 2025 release says weighted new business premiums were S$5.87bn for 2025, up 8.6%, with annual premium products up 7.5% and single-premium products up 10.8%; investment-linked plans accounted for 37.0% of weighted premiums in 2025. It also reported that tied representatives had 33.6% market share by weighted premiums, bank representatives 29.2%, and financial advisers 27.7%. These are industry figures, not AIA Singapore figures, but they fit AIA's disclosed Singapore narrative: the market is not just agency protection. It is heavily influenced by banks, FAs, single premium, and unit-linked wealth demand.

AIA's competitive advantages in Singapore are brand, group scale, tied-agency capability, pan-Asian HNW credibility, technology investment, underwriting data, and product manufacturing. The brand matters because life insurance is a trust product. Group scale matters because AIA can invest in digital lead generation, agent productivity tools, underwriting, claims, and regional HNW propositions. The pan-Asian footprint matters because Singapore is a wealth hub for regional clients, and cross-border families may value a carrier that operates across Asia.

Competitors also have real strengths. Great Eastern has a deep local franchise and OCBC-linked distribution. Prudential is a strong multinational with a large agency and wealth/protection positioning. Singlife has digital and product innovation credibility. Manulife and HSBC Life have bank, wealth, and HNW access. Independent financial advisers can compare products across carriers and may direct flows away from AIA when pricing or features are less competitive. Banks can demand economics for access to high-value customers. Singapore is attractive enough that no single carrier should be assumed to have uncontested pricing power.

The practical competitive conclusion is that AIA Singapore has defensible quality, not monopoly economics. It should be able to grow at or modestly above the market if agency and HNW/offshore channels execute, but sustained VONB margin expansion requires discipline. Chasing offshore single-premium or unit-linked flows can lift ANP while suppressing VONB margin. Overpaying distributors can lift sales but hurt value creation. Underpricing health can create later claims problems. AIA's moat is distribution quality plus brand; it is not a structural lock on every affluent customer in Singapore.

5. Segment-Level Moat

The moat in AIA Singapore is primarily relational and distributional. Life insurance is sold, advised, serviced, and retained over long periods. A large, trained tied-agency force has value because agents create demand, explain complex products, maintain relationships, support claims, and encourage persistency. In affluent/HNW business, trusted adviser relationships matter even more because policies may be part of estate, succession, liquidity, or cross-border planning. AIA's brand and regional breadth help it appear credible to customers who are not simply shopping for the cheapest term policy.

The second moat layer is manufacturing and risk selection. AIA can price mortality, morbidity, lapses, expenses, and capital requirements across a large regional book. It can invest in underwriting, analytics, claims management, and product design. It can decide where to emphasize capital-light products and where to pull back from unattractive guarantees. Smaller competitors may struggle to match this product breadth and risk-management depth, especially in HNW/offshore cases.

The third moat layer is regulatory and operational trust. Singapore's regulator has high expectations for sales conduct, solvency, policyholder protection, and financial advice quality. AIA's long operating history and group capital strength are relevant. They do not guarantee immunity from regulatory shifts, but they help AIA remain a credible counterparty for distributors and customers.

The moat is not absolute. Independent advisers can commoditize product selection. Banks can control customer access. Digital platforms can increase comparison shopping for simpler protection products. HNW/offshore flows can be cyclical. Medical inflation can overwhelm pricing if products are not repriced. The moat is durable enough to support a high-quality franchise over five to ten years, but not strong enough to assume permanent margin expansion. AIA Singapore should be modeled as a mature quality segment with a growth option, not as an early-stage compounding engine.

6. Historical Growth Rates

The historical table below reproduces the data-package values and keeps product-level revenue discipline. AIA does not disclose product-level revenue dollars for life, health, savings, participating, unit-linked, pensions, employee benefits, agency, bancassurance, or IFA/broker. Therefore the only dollar-disclosed revenue line is AIA Singapore total insurance revenue from FY2022 onward. TWPI, OPAT, ANP, and VONB are used as insurer economic indicators, not product revenue substitutes.

Product / sub-segment	FY-3 rev	FY-2 rev	FY-1 rev	3-yr CAGR	Most recent YoY	Data package cite
AIA Singapore total insurance revenue	2,200	2,466	2,833	13.2% from FY2022 base	14.9%	data_package Section 3, AIA Singapore table rows 138-143
AIA Singapore TWPI, volume indicator	3,910	4,445	5,263	13.7% from FY2022 base	18.4%	data_package Section 3, AIA Singapore table rows 138-143
AIA Singapore OPAT, profit indicator	670	669	721	-0.9% from FY2022 base	7.8%	data_package Section 3, AIA Singapore table rows 138-143
AIA Singapore VONB, new-business value	n/d	n/d	530	n/d	14.0% FY2025 disclosed	data_package Sections 1, 4, 5, and 6; AIA Singapore row 178 and commentary synthesis
AIA Singapore ANP, new-business volume	n/d	n/d	1,128	n/d	23.0% FY2025 disclosed	data_package Sections 1, 4, 5, and 6; AIA Singapore row 178 and commentary synthesis

Arithmetic tie-out notes: FY2024 insurance revenue US$2,466m times 1.149 equals US$2,833m, matching FY2025 within rounding. FY2024 TWPI US$4,445m times 1.184 equals US$5,263m, matching FY2025 within rounding. FY2024 OPAT US$669m times 1.078 equals US$721m, matching FY2025 within rounding. The 3-year CAGR entries use FY2022-to-FY2025 because FY2022 is the first comparable IFRS 17 insurance-revenue base in the data package; the table still displays FY2023-FY2025 in the required FY-3/FY-2/FY-1 columns. VONB and ANP are not shown historically by year for AIA Singapore in the data package beyond FY2025, so I do not back-solve prior-year dollars from the 14% and 23% growth rates.

The historical pattern is clear. Singapore has compounded premium and insurance revenue at a low-to-mid-teens rate over the comparable IFRS 17 window, but OPAT has not compounded. The segment therefore deserves a quality/maturity test. A bull case cannot simply extrapolate revenue growth; it must explain why OPAT margin stabilizes or recovers. A bear case cannot ignore affluent and offshore demand; it must explain why volume growth translates poorly into VONB and profit.

7. Management Guidance and Commentary

The data package contains no long Singapore-only management quote with detailed five-year targets. It does contain segment-relevant commentary that should anchor the forecast.

First, `data_package.md` Section 6 quotes AIA's CEO describing the broader ASEAN context as "number one life and health insurer." The data-package interpretation says Thailand, Singapore, and Malaysia should be modeled as part of a broader ASEAN engine, not isolated mature markets. For Singapore, the modeling implication is that maturity does not mean no growth. Singapore participates in regional wealth, health, and protection demand and benefits from group-scale distribution and product investment.

Second, the data package's segment synthesis states that Singapore growth is tied to "high-net-worth, offshore, bancassurance, and IFA/broker momentum" [data_package Section 6]. This is not a product-revenue disclosure, but it is the clearest forward driver. It supports a base case with continued mid-single-digit insurance-revenue growth and VONB growth, as long as the segment does not chase low-margin volume.

Third, the data package reports that Q1 2026 AIA Singapore had positive VONB growth versus a high Q1 2025 base, with no quarterly segment dollar disclosure [data_package Section 5 row 209]. That supports the view that FY2025 was not merely a one-year pull-forward. However, because Q1 2026 is only a new-business update and not a full IFRS statement, I use it as momentum evidence rather than rolling it into TTM revenue or OPAT.

Fourth, the group-level management quote about technology and AI says AIA is "amplifying our long-term advice-led model" [data_package Section 6]. For Singapore, this matters because FA/bank competition is intense and adviser productivity is valuable. AI is modeled as modest margin support through lead conversion, training, underwriting, service, and claims workflows, not as a replacement for human advice.

[DATA GAP: The data package does not provide Singapore-specific management quotes on 2030 VONB, ANP, OPAT, margin, agency count, bancassurance economics, IFA/broker share, or Brunei economics.]

8. Forward Growth Assumptions

The base model compounds from FY2025 / TTM to FY2030, exactly five years. Because product-level revenue is not disclosed, the modeled revenue product line is AIA Singapore total insurance revenue. VONB and ANP are modeled separately as insurer-native new-business indicators.

Base Case Growth

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Base CAGR TTM to TTM+5y	Justification
AIA Singapore insurance revenue	13.2% from FY2022 base	14.9%	Positive Q1 2026 VONB growth; no revenue guide	5.5%	Mature Singapore and Brunei should decelerate from the FY2022-FY2025 IFRS 17 rebound, but HNW/offshore and wealth-channel demand support above-GDP insurance revenue growth.
AIA Singapore TWPI	13.7% from FY2022 base	18.4%	No segment TWPI guide	6.0%	Premium volume should grow faster than insurance revenue if unit-linked and HNW savings remain important, but not at the 2025 pace indefinitely.
AIA Singapore VONB	n/d	14.0% FY2025; positive Q1 2026	No numeric FY+1 guide	5.5%	VONB growth tracks revenue growth in base case because volume gains are partly offset by lower-margin savings and offshore mix.
AIA Singapore ANP	n/d	23.0% FY2025	No numeric FY+1 guide	5.5%	ANP decelerates sharply from 2025 while tracking VONB closely enough to hold the base VONB margin at 47.0%.

Bull Case Growth

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bull CAGR TTM to TTM+5y	Justification
AIA Singapore insurance revenue	13.2% from FY2022 base	14.9%	Positive Q1 2026 VONB growth; no revenue guide	7.5%	Bull case assumes Singapore sustains HNW/offshore leadership, tied-agency productivity improves, and bancassurance/IFA flow remains rational rather than price-led.
AIA Singapore TWPI	13.7% from FY2022 base	18.4%	No segment TWPI guide	8.0%	Premium volume remains strong as Singapore keeps attracting regional wealth and long-term savings demand.
AIA Singapore VONB	n/d	14.0% FY2025; positive Q1 2026	No numeric FY+1 guide	8.5%	VONB grows faster than revenue as AIA shifts mix back toward higher-value protection, wealth-planning, and capital-efficient HNW propositions.
AIA Singapore ANP	n/d	23.0% FY2025	No numeric FY+1 guide	7.2%	ANP remains above base because premium flows stay strong, but still decelerates from FY2025 as the market normalizes.

Bear Case Growth

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to TTM+5y	Justification
AIA Singapore insurance revenue	13.2% from FY2022 base	14.9%	Positive Q1 2026 VONB growth; no revenue guide	2.5%	Bear case assumes offshore/HNW flows slow, bank and FA competition intensifies, and domestic mature-market penetration limits growth.
AIA Singapore TWPI	13.7% from FY2022 base	18.4%	No segment TWPI guide	3.0%	Premium volume remains positive because the in-force book is sticky, but new sales normalize and lapse/competition pressure rises.

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to TTM+5y	Justification
AIA Singapore VONB	n/d	14.0% FY2025; positive Q1 2026	No numeric FY+1 guide	2.0%	VONB underperforms revenue as mix shifts toward lower-margin savings, acquisition costs rise, and distributor bargaining power increases.
AIA Singapore ANP	n/d	23.0% FY2025	No numeric FY+1 guide	3.8%	ANP grows faster than VONB as high-ticket offshore and unit-linked demand becomes more volatile and value density falls in a competitive wealth market.

The thesis-consistency check is that all cases explicitly decelerate from the latest FY2025 growth rates. This is warranted because Singapore is a mature, highly penetrated, competitive financial center, and because FY2025's ANP growth benefited from mix and channel dynamics that should not be capitalized at a 20% plus five-year CAGR. The base case is not a hard landing: it still allows mid-single-digit revenue and VONB growth because the franchise has HNW/offshore and regional wealth tailwinds.

9. Forward Operating Margin Assumptions

I model operating margin primarily as OPAT divided by TWPI because that is the segment margin convention in `data_package.md`. I also show OPAT divided by insurance revenue for readers who want to map the forecast to the segment revenue line. Current FY2025 OPAT/TWPI margin was 13.7%, down from 15.1% in FY2024, 17.1% in FY2023, and 20.7% in FY2022 [data_package Section 3]. Current FY2025 OPAT/insurance revenue was 25.5%.

Case	FY2025 OPAT / TWPI	FY2030 OPAT / TWPI	FY2025 OPAT / insurance revenue	FY2030 OPAT / insurance revenue	Margin mechanism
Bear	13.7%	12.5%	25.5%	23.8%	Distributor competition, lower VONB margin, medical inflation, and offshore/savings mix prevent scale leverage.
Base	13.7%	14.5%	25.5%	27.6%	Modest scale leverage, better technology-enabled productivity, and disciplined product mix offset competitive pressure.
Bull	13.7%	15.8%	25.5%	30.0%	Agency productivity, capital-light product design, and higher-value HNW/protection mix produce meaningful but not full historic margin recovery.

The base-case margin expansion is 80 bps on the TWPI denominator, below the 100 bps threshold that would require a very aggressive explanation, but it still deserves scrutiny. The mechanism is not price increases alone. It is scale leverage from a larger in-force book, lower servicing and acquisition friction from digital tools, better underwriting and claims triage, and mix discipline after FY2025's unit-linked savings tilt. The bull case expands by 210 bps and therefore requires better evidence: AIA would need to prove that HNW/offshore growth can be written with attractive value density and that tied-agency productivity can outgrow bank/FA acquisition-cost inflation.

The bear case assumes the recent margin compression is structural. That would be plausible if bank and FA channels become more important, if unit-linked/savings products dominate growth, if medical claims inflation worsens, or if AIA must trade economics for access to HNW/offshore flows. Under this case, Singapore remains profitable but less special: revenue grows, OPAT barely compounds, and VONB value density erodes.

10. Segment Rollup

The rollup uses AIA Singapore total as the single modeled revenue line because product-level insurance revenue is not disclosed. The "product" rows below are therefore insurer economic lines rather than hidden product revenue splits. Revenue is insurance revenue. OPAT is computed from forecast TWPI times the case OPAT/TWPI margin. VONB is compounded separately from FY2025 VONB.

Case	FY2030 insurance revenue	FY2030 TWPI	FY2030 OPAT / TWPI	FY2030 OPAT	FY2030 VONB	FY2030 ANP	VONB margin
Bear	3,205	6,101	12.5%	763	585	1,361	43.0%
Base	3,703	7,043	14.5%	1,021	693	1,474	47.0%
Bull	4,067	7,733	15.8%	1,222	797	1,594	50.0%

Arithmetic tie-out: base-case insurance revenue equals US$2,833m times 1.055 to the fifth power, or US$3,703m. Base-case TWPI equals US$5,263m times 1.060 to the fifth power, or US$7,043m. Base-case OPAT equals US$7,043m times 14.5%, or US$1,021m. Base-case VONB equals US$530m times 1.055 to the fifth power, or US$693m. Base-case ANP equals US$1,128m times roughly 1.055 to the fifth power, or US$1,474m, keeping VONB margin at 47.0%. The same arithmetic produces the bear and bull rows, with ANP set by the case VONB margin. Because the modeled segment has one disclosed revenue line, the product-level rollup reconciles to the segment total by construction.

The base case implies that AIA Singapore remains a quality contributor but not the main group growth engine. Revenue rises by US$870m over five years, OPAT rises by US$300m, and VONB rises by US$163m. OPAT CAGR is about 7.2%, which is above revenue CAGR because the model allows modest margin normalization from a depressed FY2025 TWPI margin. This is reasonable only if AIA avoids a race for low-margin HNW/savings volume.

The bear case is not a disaster case. It produces FY2030 insurance revenue of US$3.205bn and OPAT of US$763m, still above FY2025 OPAT. The bear issue is opportunity cost and valuation multiple: OPAT compounds at roughly 1.1% while VONB compounds at only 2.0%, making Singapore look like a mature franchise whose growth is largely consumed by competition and mix dilution.

The bull case requires real evidence of quality, not just volume. FY2030 VONB of US$797m and OPAT of US$1.222bn are achievable if AIA captures regional wealth flows while maintaining underwriting, persistency, and capital efficiency. It is not my base case because Singapore's market structure gives distributors and informed customers meaningful bargaining power.

11. Key Risks and Wildcards

Bear risk: margin dilution from HNW/offshore and unit-linked savings mix. FY2025 already showed ANP growth of 23% versus VONB growth of 14%, with VONB margin at 47.0%. If Singapore grows by writing more low-margin savings volume, revenue and ANP can look good while OPAT and VONB lag.

Bear risk: distributor bargaining power. Singapore's industry channel mix includes tied representatives, bank representatives, and financial advisers with significant shares. If banks or FAs demand more economics, AIA's acquisition cost can rise, especially in HNW/offshore cases.

Bear risk: medical inflation and repricing friction. Health and protection are valuable, but medical inflation can pressure claims ratios and create customer/regulatory friction when repricing is needed. Malaysia and Thailand show that health-product rule changes can affect sales timing; Singapore is not immune to similar dynamics.

Bear/base risk: lapse and market risk in savings products. Unit-linked and long-term savings customers may react to market performance, alternative yields, fees, or adviser recommendations. Persistency changes can hurt embedded value and future profit emergence.

Base opportunity: technology-enabled adviser productivity. AIA's management frames AI as amplifying the advice-led model. In Singapore, better lead conversion, training, underwriting, service, and claims workflows could support moderate margin recovery without requiring aggressive pricing.

Bull opportunity: Singapore as regional wealth hub. If Singapore keeps attracting affluent regional assets and AIA remains a trusted insurance/manufacturing partner for HNW planning, the segment can grow faster than a domestic maturity framework would suggest.

Bull opportunity: capital-light mix management. AIA Group has emphasized shifting toward less capital-intensive products. If Singapore can grow VONB while consuming less incremental capital, the segment's value contribution is better than reported revenue growth suggests.

Wild card: Brunei. Brunei is included in the segment, but separately undisclosed. It is unlikely to change the segment thesis by itself, but a lack of disclosure means the model cannot isolate market-specific regulation, growth, or profitability there.

Summary Table

Case	Segment TTM+5y Revenue	Segment TTM+5y Op Margin	Segment TTM+5y Op Income	Probability
Bear	3,205	12.5% of TWPI; 23.8% of revenue	763	25%
Base	3,703	14.5% of TWPI; 27.6% of revenue	1,021	50%
Bull	4,067	15.8% of TWPI; 30.0% of revenue	1,222	25%

Sources

Source	Location
Data package	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\data_package.md`
Quantitative foundation	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\quant_foundation.md`
Quant foundation review	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\quant_foundation_review.md`
AIA FY2025 annual results press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260319
AIA Q1 2026 new-business highlights press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260430
AIA Singapore FY2025 results release	https://www.aia.com.sg/en/about-aia/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-delivers-record-results-in-2025
Life Insurance Association Singapore 4Q 2025 release	https://www.lia.org.sg/media/4789/20260211_lia-4q2025-results_media-release.pdf
FMP live helper endpoints checked	`/stable/revenue-product-segmentation`, `/stable/income-statement` for 1299.HK
SEC live company ticker index checked	https://www.sec.gov/files/company_tickers.json

Appendix B5 - AIA Thailand

AIA Thailand - Segment Deep Dive

Prepared for the ER2 / Gideon production run on 2026-05-29. Currency is US$ millions unless otherwise stated. The TTM and forward horizon follow `run_context.md`: the financial base is FY2025, year ended 31 December 2025, and the TTM+5y endpoint is 31 December 2030. AIA's Q1 2026 new-business highlights are used only as post-anchor operating evidence because AIA did not publish a full quarterly IFRS income statement, cash-flow statement, and balance sheet for Q1.

Data Source Rule - Included Verbatim

Data Source Rule - OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure - NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

Live Source Work Performed For This Appendix

The AIA historical base in this appendix is not re-derived from filings. It is taken from `data_package.md` and `quant_foundation.md`, which are the cleared source of truth for the ER2 run. To comply with the live-source rule and to update competitive and recency context, I performed a fresh live FMP pull for quote, profile, product segmentation, and FY2025 transcript availability. Those files are saved under `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\raw\subagent_B_aia_thailand_20260529\`. FMP product segmentation again returned an empty array and the FMP FY2025 Q4 transcript endpoint again returned an empty array; no API key is printed or included here. I also fetched current AIA IR pages and public market/regulatory sources for Thailand. AIA is a Hong Kong-listed issuer with Level 1 OTC ADRs, and no controlling SEC 10-K, 10-Q, or 8-K was found for AIA in the live EDGAR search path; AIA/HKEX official materials control.

Primary external sources used for Thailand context are AIA's FY2025 AIA Thailand Growth Strategy slides, AIA's Q1 2026 new-business press release, AIA's FY2025 results press release, AIA's Thailand regional profile, Bangkok Life Assurance's 3Q2025 market-share table sourced to the Thai Life Assurance Association, Rajah & Tann's March 2025 note on Thailand health-insurance co-payment clauses, and the OECD's 2025 Thailand capital market review using OIC data. URLs are listed in the sources section.

0. Segment Boundary And Taxonomy Note

AIA Thailand is a geographic operating segment, not a product segment. Per `data_package.md` Section 1, AIA's operating segments other than Group Corporate Centre write life insurance, accident and health insurance, savings plans, and related investment or financial services in their local markets. The reportable segment boundary was stable from FY2021 through FY2025, but the accounting taxonomy was not fully stable: FY2023 introduced IFRS 17, FY2022 insurance revenue was restated in the IFRS 17 presentation, and FY2021 uses the older IFRS 4 style presentation. Therefore, this appendix uses TWPI and OPAT for the five-year trend, insurance revenue only from FY2022 onward, and FY2025 as the TTM base for forward modeling. I do not compare FY2021 old-taxonomy revenue dollars with FY2022-FY2025 IFRS 17 insurance revenue.

The practical segment boundary is the Thai life, health, savings, unit-linked, retirement, employee benefits, and related advisory franchise. The segment includes Premier Agency, bancassurance through Bangkok Bank, wealth and high-net-worth solutions, health and protection products, unit-linked and participating savings products, corporate solutions, and the technology/service platforms that support those channels. Product-level insurance revenue dollars are not disclosed by AIA or FMP, and Thailand-specific segment EV equity is not disclosed in the data package. Any product-level discussion below is therefore framed using VONB, ANP, margin, market share, customer count, claims management, and distribution evidence, not invented product revenue or a constructed segment EV roll-forward.

1. What This Segment Actually Is

AIA Thailand sells long-duration life and health insurance promises in Thailand through a large advice-led distribution system. The customer can be a household buying traditional protection, medical coverage, critical illness cover, unit-linked savings, participating savings, retirement income, or legacy planning. The customer can also be an employer buying group medical and employee benefits coverage. AIA Thailand is not just a commodity underwriter with a balance sheet. Its economics are created by product design, medical and mortality pricing, persistency, claims control, local asset-liability management, distribution productivity, and the ability to keep customers engaged for many years after the initial sale.

The distribution architecture is central. AIA's May 2026 Thailand strategy deck describes AIA Thailand as the number-one life and health insurer, with the number-one position in health and protection products and the number-one position in unit-linked products by 2025 ANP market share. It also highlights more than 24,000 active agents, the number-one MDRT position, a 44% agency ANP market share, and more than ten times the MDRT members of the second-ranked Thai company. AIA's broader regional profile page states that AIA Thailand has served customers in the country for 85 years, had the biggest market share based on total premiums from all lines of business as of December 2024, and had 55,000 agents nationwide and 8 million in-force policies at that date. The difference between the 55,000-agent figure and the later more-than-24,000-active-agent figure is not an inconsistency: the first is a broad agent network count as of May 2024, while the strategy deck focuses on active agents in 2025.

Bancassurance is the second large channel. AIA's strategic partnership with Bangkok Bank has been in place since 2017. The Thailand strategy deck identifies Bangkok Bank as the number-one bank in Thailand by total assets in 2025, with more than 700 branches, about 17 million customer accounts, more than 2,000 active insurance sellers, more than 110 business centers, 20 wealth hubs and private banking, and a strategy focused on regular-premium, segment-targeted propositions rather than pure volume. The modeling implication is that AIA Thailand has two scalable acquisition engines: a high-control agency channel and a bank channel with large customer reach but more partner execution and incentive risk.

Products matter because Thailand's FY2025 new-business economics were unusually rich. AIA Thailand reported FY2025 VONB of 993, ANP of 895, and VONB margin of 110.9% in `quant_foundation.md` P1 and P4. That means the segment generated more than one dollar of embedded new-business value per dollar of annualized new premium, before group-level deductions. Such a margin is not normal for all insurance business. It reflects a high-value protection and health mix, a strong agency franchise, and a specific timing effect in 2025: customer demand for individual medical insurance surged ahead of Thailand's March 2025 co-payment rule changes. The Q1 2026 release makes that timing explicit by reporting a Thailand VONB decline of 18% against an exceptionally high Q1 2025 base, while still showing Thailand VONB 39% above Q1 2024.

2. Current Economics

AIA Thailand's FY2025 base is strong on every insurer-native measure. `quant_foundation.md` P4 gives the TTM segment base: VONB of 993, ANP of 895, TWPI of 5,336, insurance revenue of 2,811, OPAT attributable to shareholders of 1,210, and an operating margin of 22.7% measured as OPAT divided by TWPI. `quant_foundation.md` P2 also reports insurance service result of 1,013, net investment result of 461, operating expenses of 324, total assets of 33,928, and shareholders' allocated equity of 6,931. On a simple basis, OPAT divided by shareholders' allocated equity was 17.5% in FY2025. That is not exactly operating ROEV, and AIA does not disclose segment EV equity in the data package, but it is a useful directional return-quality proxy.

On volume, Thailand was 13.0% of group FY2025 insurance revenue and 17.0% of group segment-level VONB before group-level capital and office deductions. It was more important to new-business value than to IFRS insurance revenue because its VONB margin was far above the group average of 58.5%. The segment's FY2025 TWPI of 5,336 was 11.4% of group TWPI, while OPAT of 1,210 was 17.0% of group OPAT before the Group Corporate Centre loss. In other words, Thailand is not AIA's largest market by revenue, but it is one of the highest-quality earnings engines in the portfolio.

The current profitability mix is not risk-free. High medical and protection exposure can be attractive because it produces high VONB and lower capital intensity than guaranteed savings products. It can also be dangerous if medical inflation, claims frequency, provider pricing, or customer behavior move faster than pricing. Thailand's health-insurance co-payment transition is therefore central to the forecast. The Rajah & Tann March 2025 summary says Thailand's insurance industry was moving to co-payment clauses in March 2025 because of rising premiums, medical inflation, and unnecessary medical expenses, with the OIC-led framework intended to control medical costs and preserve voluntary health-insurance sustainability. That source supports management's narrative that co-pay/deductible product design is not a one-off gimmick, but a structural attempt to make private medical coverage more durable.

The FY2025 base also contains operational productivity evidence. The Thailand strategy deck says AIA Thailand had more than 90% cloud adoption, more than 85% end-to-end straight-through processing, a greater than 45% unit-cost reduction over the past three years, 4.8 million registered users across AIA+ and ALive, and more than 90% persistency with a 13-year median tenure. The app and straight-through-processing data points matter because they help test whether the segment can absorb more policy count and medical-service complexity without giving back all of the margin in operating expense.

3. Unit Economics

For AIA Thailand, unit economics begin with the quality of the policy sold, not merely premium volume. A traditional protection policy, medical rider, critical illness product, unit-linked policy, participating savings product, or retirement solution has different acquisition costs, capital requirements, lapse sensitivity, claims volatility, and embedded-value margin. AIA does not disclose product revenue dollars, but its 2025 VONB and margin give a clear signal that the mix was unusually high value.

The most attractive unit is a recurring-premium policy sold by a productive agency or bank seller to a customer who already trusts AIA, has multiple coverage needs, stays in force, and uses medical services through a claims-management network that reduces leakage and unnecessary utilization. That kind of sale produces three benefits: high VONB at inception, future CSM and OPAT emergence, and repeat-sale opportunities. AIA's Thailand deck says more than 70% of customers bought multiple coverages and more than 60% of ANP came from existing customers. Those data points are especially relevant because they reduce dependence on pure new-customer acquisition and support a lifetime-partner model.

The least attractive unit is a low-margin savings or medical product sold aggressively into a temporary demand window, with high acquisition cost, weak persistency, high medical inflation, or a bank partner capturing most of the economics. That is the bear-case risk for FY2025 Thailand. The 110.9% VONB margin may be partly a signal of durable distribution and product advantage, but it is also too high to assume flat through 2030 without evidence. The right forecast is therefore not "Thailand's FY2025 margin stays at 111% forever." It is "Thailand resets from a pull-forward quarter, then compounds from a larger installed base if AIA's health, protection, agency, Bangkok Bank, and digital-service advantages keep working."

Medical economics are the swing factor. AIA's Thailand strategy deck states that AIA Smart Network, preferred providers, personalized medical products with deductibles and co-payments, digital customer journeys, and claims management generated more than THB1 billion of claims savings, representing 4% of gross claims paid. If those savings repeat across cycles, AIA can offset part of medical inflation and support product-design advantage. If they do not repeat, the same medical inflation becomes a margin squeeze, a regulatory risk, and a reputational problem when premiums or co-payments rise.

Agency economics are also high leverage. The strategy deck says financial adviser VONB compounded at 28% from 2022 to 2025, FA contribution increased from 32% to 41% of agency VONB, FA agents are about three times as productive as non-FA

agents, and post-training retention is 90%. These figures imply that AIA is trying to upgrade the agent mix rather than simply recruit more bodies. That matters because poor-quality agency growth can create lapse and conduct risk, while high-quality agency growth can raise both sales and persistency.

Bancassurance economics depend on Bangkok Bank execution. The Bangkok Bank VONB contribution compounded at 17% from 2022 to 2025 according to the deck. The channel's long-term regular-premium focus is a positive, but the partner has its own incentives and bargaining power. AIA's 2025 strategy materials show meaningful headroom because Bangkok Bank has about 17 million customer accounts and low insurance penetration; however, the model should not treat the bank channel as free. I assume bank growth is slower than the agency and health/protection engine in the base case, because partner capacity, sales training, customer suitability, and branch productivity are natural constraints.

4. Competitive Dynamics

Thailand is a competitive life-insurance market with strong local and multinational players. Bangkok Life Assurance's 3Q2025 MD&A, sourced to the Thai Life Assurance Association, ranks AIA first by first-year premium with 24,836 million baht and a 17.8% share as of 30 September 2025. The same table ranks Thai Life Insurance second at 15.0%, Muang Thai Life third at 13.5%, FWD fourth at 12.3%, Prudential Life fifth at 7.3%, Krungthai-AXA sixth at 7.1%, Bangkok Life seventh at 4.6%, Allianz Ayudhya eighth at 4.6%, Generali ninth at 4.5%, and Southeast Life tenth at 3.3%. By total premium, the same table ranks AIA first at 123,740 million baht and 25.5% share, followed by FWD at 14.5%, Thai Life at 12.5%, Muang Thai Life at 10.5%, Krungthai-AXA at 7.2%, Allianz Ayudhya at 6.4%, Prudential at 6.3%, Bangkok Life at 5.4%, Generali at 2.2%, and Ocean Life at 1.8%.

Those shares support AIA's leadership claim, but they also show a market with several credible attackers. FWD has meaningful total premium share, Thai Life and Muang Thai have local brand and agency strength, Krungthai-AXA has bank-linked reach, Prudential and Allianz Ayudhya have global product and capital capabilities, and Bangkok Life remains a domestic listed competitor with agency and bank relationships. The market is not a monopoly. AIA's margin advantage therefore must come from distribution quality, brand trust, product mix, persistency, and claims management rather than an absence of competition.

The OECD's 2025 Thailand capital market review provides useful structural context. It says life insurers' assets grew almost sevenfold from 2003 to 2024, reaching USD131 billion, and that life-insurer portfolios were conservative, with 57% of assets in government bonds and 19% in corporate bonds. It also notes that unit-linked policies were only around 5% of total life-insurance premiums in 2024, while ordinary life and health-related life insurance represented 61% and 18%, respectively. For AIA Thailand, this cuts both ways. Low unit-linked penetration means growth runway for AIA's number-one unit-linked position, especially among affluent and retirement customers. But it also means traditional protection and health remain the main battlefield, where claims and distribution discipline matter more than asset-management branding.

Pricing power exists, but it is conditional. AIA can price for morbidity, co-payments, deductibles, policy design, and customer segmentation because it has scale and data. It cannot simply raise prices without consequences. Customers can switch new purchases to other insurers; regulators can constrain wording and product design; public sentiment can turn against medical premium inflation; and agents can lose sales momentum if repricing is handled poorly. The base case assumes AIA keeps pricing power in high-value health/protection and affluent/retirement segments but gives back some of the 2025 VONB margin as the pulled-forward medical demand normalizes and unit-linked/retirement mix grows.

5. Segment-Level Moat

AIA Thailand's moat is stronger than the typical country-level insurance operation because it combines brand, scale, agency quality, medical network/claims management, bank distribution, digital service, and a long operating history. AIA's Thailand page and strategy deck both frame the business as a long-tenured market leader. The deck claims 96% brand awareness, more than five million customers, more than 90% persistency, 13 years median tenure, more than 70% of customers with multiple coverages, and more than US$2 billion of benefits and claims paid annually. These are not perfect moat metrics, but they are consistent with a trusted incumbent in a high-consideration product category.

The strongest moat element is agency. A tied agency force is expensive to build, slow to professionalize, and difficult for a new entrant to replicate at scale. AIA's more-than-24,000 active agents, number-one MDRT ranking, FA training system, 90% post-training retention, and high FA productivity indicate a distribution machine with both scale and quality. If that machine continues to convert existing customers, online leads, and needs-based advice into high-VONB sales, AIA Thailand should retain a structural advantage over insurers that rely more heavily on lower-control channels.

The second moat element is health-service integration. Medical inflation is a market-wide problem, but the insurer with the largest medical book, strongest provider relationships, better claims analytics, and more customer steerage tools can potentially price and manage it better. The AIA Smart Network claims-savings figure is important because it gives a mechanism for health VONB to remain attractive after co-payment changes, but it does not prove the full FY2025 medical-surge economics are durable. The moat test is whether AIA can keep medical products both affordable enough for customers and profitable enough for shareholders. The bear case assumes competitors copy co-pay/deductible design and provider management faster than AIA expects, narrowing this advantage.

The third moat element is customer and data scale. More than 4.8 million registered users across AIA+ and ALive, more than 85% straight-through processing, and AI use cases in customer needs analysis, recommendations, leads, training, medical summaries, underwriting, and claims suggest an operating platform that can reinforce distribution productivity and service quality. The digital tools are not a standalone moat. They are valuable because they sit on top of agency, customer, claims, and policy data.

The fourth moat element is Bangkok Bank. This is more of a privileged route to market than a hard moat. AIA's relationship has existed since 2017 and reaches a large customer base, but bank partnerships are always partially shared economics. A bank can renegotiate, slow sales, favor certain products, or face its own conduct/regulatory constraints. I treat Bangkok Bank as a base-case growth contributor, not a guaranteed bull-case unlock.

Overall segment moat verdict: strong but not impregnable. The moat is durable enough to support above-market growth and high returns through 2030, but the FY2025 VONB margin should be normalized because medical demand was pulled forward and co-payment rules are a reset point for customer behavior.

6. Historical Growth Rates

The table below reproduces the Thailand historical base from `data_package.md` Section 3 and `quant_foundation.md` P4. Product-level revenue dollars are not disclosed; therefore the "product / sub-segment" column uses the finest disclosed economic lines available: segment TWPI, IFRS 17 insurance revenue, OPAT, and VONB. VONB uses AIA's Thailand strategy deck for the disclosed 2022-2025 CAGR and FY2025 endpoint, because `data_package.md` gives only the FY2025 VONB dollar amount for Thailand and warns against inventing product revenue.

Product / sub-segment	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025 / TTM	3-yr CAGR	Most recent YoY	Data package cite
AIA Thailand TWPI	4,170	4,430	4,674	5,336	8.6%	14.2%	`data_package.md` Section 3, AIA Thailand TWPI row
AIA Thailand insurance revenue	1,980	2,260	2,402	2,811	12.4%	17.0%	`data_package.md` Section 3, AIA Thailand insurance revenue row
AIA Thailand OPAT attributable	780	950	1,019	1,210	15.8%	18.7%	`data_package.md` Section 3, AIA Thailand OPAT row
AIA Thailand VONB	620	725	849	993	17.0%	17.0%	`quant_foundation.md` P4 VONB base; AIA Thailand strategy deck 2022-2025 VONB CAGR
Health / protection / unit-linked product revenue	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	`data_package.md` Section 4 warns product revenue is not separately disclosed

Arithmetic tie-out notes: TWPI from 2022 to 2025 is 5,336 / 4,170 = 1.280x, or 8.6% CAGR. Insurance revenue from 2022 to 2025 is 2,811 / 1,980 = 1.420x, or 12.4% CAGR. OPAT from 2022 to 2025 is 1,210 / 780 = 1.551x, or 15.8% CAGR. The VONB line is estimated from AIA's disclosed 2022-2025 CAGR of 17% and FY2025 VONB of 993: 993 / 1.17^3 = 620, then 620 x 1.17 = 725, 725 x 1.17 = 849, and 849 x 1.17 = 993. This VONB history is not product revenue and should not be used as IFRS insurance revenue.

The historical pattern is unusually good. TWPI grew mid-to-high single digit from FY2022 to FY2025; insurance revenue grew low double digit; OPAT grew faster than both; VONB grew 17% at constant exchange rates according to AIA's Thailand deck. That is consistent with favorable mix, distribution productivity, and scale leverage. It also means the forecast has a high bar. A base case should not blindly repeat 2022-2025 VONB growth because 2025 benefited from medical insurance demand before

co-payment rules, and Q1 2026 already showed negative year-on-year VONB against that high base.

7. Management Guidance Quoted From Data Package Section 6

The data package contains limited Thailand-specific verbatim commentary. It does include two pieces that anchor this segment:

Segment / topic	Data package row	Verbatim excerpt	Interpretation
ASEAN / Thailand	`data_package.md` Section 6, ASEAN / Thailand row	"number one life and health insurer"	Management wants Thailand modeled as a leading ASEAN franchise with strong structural demand, not as a mature ex-growth country operation.
Technology / AI	`data_package.md` Section 6, Technology / AI row	"amplifying our long-term advice-led model"	AI should be modeled as productivity support for agency, service, underwriting, and claims, not as a replacement for the regulated insurance balance sheet or advice-led distribution.

Additional post-anchor management evidence from the official Q1 2026 press release is crucial for Thailand. AIA said group VONB grew 13% in Q1 2026, or 22% excluding Thailand, because Thailand had an exceptionally high prior-year base. It also said AIA Thailand VONB decreased 18% year on year, but was 39% higher than Q1 2024; traditional protection remained the largest product type; higher unit-linked sales produced 7% ANP growth; and VONB margin remained above 90%. This is one useful test of the pull-forward question. The data does not support a bear claim that Thailand demand collapsed, but it also does not prove the FY2025 medical surge is fully durable. It supports a base-case normalization from FY2025's extraordinary medical-insurance surge.

8. Forward Growth Assumptions

The model is built from FY2025 / TTM to 2030, exactly five calendar years. Because AIA does not disclose product revenue by health, protection, unit-linked, participating, savings, or retirement, I forecast three disclosed economic lines: insurance revenue, TWPI, and VONB. ANP and VONB margin are modeled as new-business economics rather than revenue. The forward assumptions are intentionally lower than the 2022-2025 VONB CAGR because 2025 included pull-forward demand ahead of medical co-payment changes.

Base Case Growth

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Base CAGR TTM to TTM+5y	Justification
Insurance revenue	12.4%	17.0%	No revenue guide; Q1 2026 is new-business only	7.5%	In-force revenue continues compounding from protection, health, savings, and persistency, but FY2025 growth is normalized after the medical pull-forward.
TWPI	8.6%	14.2%	Q1 2026 group TWPI +13%; no Thailand TWPI dollars	6.6%	Renewal premiums and new regular-premium sales grow faster than Thai GDP but slower than the FY2025 surge.
VONB	17.0%	Q1 2026 -18% YoY and +39% vs Q1 2024	Thailand VONB margin above 90% in Q1 2026	6.8%	The 2025 medical surge partly reverses, while health/protection demand, agency, Bangkok Bank, and wealth growth sustain high-single-digit value compounding.
ANP	Not fully disclosed for history	Q1 2026 Thailand ANP +7%	Q1 2026 higher unit-linked sales	9.5%	ANP grows faster than VONB because margin normalizes from 110.9% to 98.0% by 2030.

Bull Case Growth

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bull CAGR TTM to TTM+5y	Justification
Insurance revenue	12.4%	17.0%	No revenue guide; structural tailwinds emphasized	10.5%	AIA sustains share gains in health, protection, unit-linked, and retirement while persistency stays above 90%.
TWPI	8.6%	14.2%	Group TWPI +13% in Q1 2026	9.0%	Bangkok Bank, financial advisers, existing-customer cross-sell, and affluent retirement propositions keep premium volume compounding.
VONB	17.0%	Q1 2026 -18% YoY and +39% vs Q1 2024	Margin above 90% after reset	11.5%	The pull-forward would have to prove shallow; co-pay/deductible products, Smart Network claims savings, and agency productivity protect high margins.
ANP	Not fully disclosed for history	Q1 2026 Thailand ANP +7%	Unit-linked sales higher	12.7%	AIA converts low unit-linked penetration and affluent/HNW demand into higher sales while retaining a 105.0% VONB margin.

Bear Case Growth

Product	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to TTM+5y	Justification
Insurance revenue	12.4%	17.0%	No revenue guide	3.5%	Medical pull-forward masks weak underlying growth, repricing pressure slows sales, and persistency weakens after co-payment adoption.
TWPI	8.6%	14.2%	No Thailand TWPI guide	3.5%	Renewal and new premium growth converge toward nominal income growth as competitors and regulation limit pricing power.
VONB	17.0%	Q1 2026 -18% YoY and +39% vs Q1 2024	Margin still above 90% in Q1 2026	-1.0%	FY2025 was a peak medical-insurance demand window; margin declines and claims inflation absorb most channel growth.
ANP	Not fully disclosed for history	Q1 2026 Thailand ANP +7%	Higher unit-linked sales	6.2%	Premium volume still rises, but product mix shifts toward lower-margin unit-linked and repriced medical cover.

The pull-forward test is explicit. In the bear case, 2025 was mostly a timing event: customers bought medical cover ahead of rule changes, the 2026 comparison exposes a demand hole, and VONB is still below the FY2025 level in 2030. In the base case, 2025 was partly timing and partly structural: Q1 2026 falls versus the high base but remains 39% above Q1 2024, which suggests some post-surge run-rate support without proving that the entire FY2025 step-up persists. In the bull case, the medical reset becomes an advantage because AIA uses co-pay/deductible design and claims steerage to offer more sustainable products while competitors struggle to match its provider, data, and agency system.

9. Forward Operating Margin Assumptions

For an insurer, I use two margin lenses. The first is OPAT divided by TWPI, which is the "operating margin" row in the data package and equals 22.7% in FY2025. The second is OPAT divided by insurance revenue, which was 43.0% in FY2025. The OPAT/TWPI lens is more stable for premium economics; the OPAT/insurance-revenue lens is useful for comparing the segment rollup to IFRS insurance revenue but is not the margin I would use to judge pricing in isolation.

Case	FY2025 OPAT / TWPI	FY2030 OPAT / TWPI	Change	Mechanism
Bear	22.7%	19.5%	-320 bps	Medical inflation, co-payment friction, lower VONB margin, weaker persistency, and channel expense pressure.

Case	FY2025 OPAT / TWPI	FY2030 OPAT / TWPI	Change	Mechanism
Base	22.7%	22.5%	-20 bps	Scale and digital productivity offset most mix normalization, but the FY2025 medical surge is not fully repeated.
Bull	22.7%	24.0%	+130 bps	Smart Network claims savings, FA productivity, high persistency, and richer protection/health mix create operating leverage.

Case	FY2025 VONB margin	FY2030 VONB margin	Change	Mechanism
Bear	110.9%	78.0%	-3,290 bps	FY2025 demand was pulled forward, medical claims pressure rises, and lower-margin unit-linked/retirement products become a larger share.
Base	110.9%	98.0%	-1,290 bps	Margin remains exceptional but normalizes below the pre-co-payment surge as ANP grows faster than VONB.
Bull	110.9%	105.0%	-590 bps	Co-pay, deductible, provider-network, and agency advantages preserve most of the high-margin health/protection economics.

The base-case margin assumption is deliberately conservative relative to the FY2025 reported result and the Q1 2026 statement that VONB margin remained above 90%. It gives AIA credit for durable pricing and distribution advantages, but it does not require the 110.9% FY2025 VONB margin to persist. The largest margin risk is medical inflation. The largest upside lever is AIA proving that claims management and customer steerage create real savings across a larger medical book rather than one-time savings in a favorable period.

10. Segment Rollup

The rollup below is bottom-up by disclosed economic line. Insurance revenue compounds from the FY2025 insurance revenue base of 2,811. TWPI compounds from the FY2025 TWPI base of 5,336 and drives OPAT through the OPAT/TWPI margin. VONB compounds from the FY2025 VONB base of 993 and ANP is calculated from VONB divided by the FY2030 VONB margin. Because product revenue and Thailand segment EV are not disclosed, I do not sum fictional health, protection, and unit-linked revenue lines or construct a segment-level EV bridge.

Case	FY2030 insurance revenue	Insurance revenue CAGR	FY2030 TWPI	FY2030 OPAT / TWPI	FY2030 OPAT	FY2030 VONB	FY2030 VONB margin	FY2030 implied ANP
Bear	3,339	3.5%	6,338	19.5%	1,236	944	78.0%	1,210
Base	4,037	7.5%	7,348	22.5%	1,653	1,379	98.0%	1,407
Bull	4,630	10.5%	8,212	24.0%	1,971	1,712	105.0%	1,630

Arithmetic checks: using the unrounded CAGRs behind the displayed percentages, base insurance revenue equals 2,811 x 1.07508^5 = 4,037. Base TWPI equals 5,336 x 1.06610^5 = 7,348, and base OPAT equals 7,348 x 22.5% = 1,653. Base VONB equals 993 x 1.06787^5 = 1,379, and base ANP equals 1,379 / 98.0% = 1,407. The bear and bull cases follow the same formula. No rollup line uses an undisclosed product revenue estimate.

The base-case result implies that AIA Thailand grows insurance revenue by about 1.44x, OPAT by about 1.37x, and VONB by about 1.39x over five years. That is a healthy but not heroic outcome given the 2022-2025 history. It embeds a meaningful deceleration from recent VONB growth and a lower VONB margin than FY2025. The bull case requires durable medical compounding, continued claims-savings evidence, strong FA growth, and meaningful Bangkok Bank contribution. The bear case is close to an earnings stall: OPAT barely grows from FY2025 because VONB margin and OPAT/TWPI margin fall despite continued premium growth.

11. Key Risks And Wildcards

Medical inflation and health repricing are the most important risks. If hospital costs rise faster than AIA's pricing and claims-management tools, the segment could face lower VONB margin, customer pushback, higher lapse risk, and regulatory scrutiny. This risk mainly drives the bear case.

The second risk is that FY2025 was an unusually large pull-forward. AIA's Q1 2026 disclosure already confirms a negative year-on-year VONB comparison in Thailand. The question is whether Q1 2026 being 39% above Q1 2024 marks a partially higher run-rate or merely a still-elevated post-surge base. The model's base case says partial step-up plus normalization. The bear case says peak.

The third risk is channel quality. AIA's FA system is a strength only if new recruits and leaders maintain sales quality, persistency, and customer suitability. If the drive for productivity creates mis-selling or lapse problems, the high VONB margin could reverse later through assumption changes.

The fourth risk is Bangkok Bank execution and partner economics. The bank channel has significant headroom, but partner distribution is never as controlled as proprietary agency. Branch productivity, incentive alignment, training, suitability reviews, and customer ownership could all limit the upside.

The fifth risk is competitive response. Thai Life, FWD, Muang Thai, Krungthai-AXA, Prudential, Allianz Ayudhya, Bangkok Life, and others have enough scale to copy product design, compete on bank relationships, or target specific high-margin niches. AIA's leadership is real, but it will be defended every year.

The sixth risk is local regulation and conduct. Co-payment clauses are designed to improve sustainability, but regulators can adjust rules if customer outcomes are poor. Medical insurance is politically and socially sensitive; a technically sound price increase can still be reputationally painful.

The seventh wildcard is technology. AI and straight-through processing could create genuine cost and productivity advantages. They could also create model-risk, privacy, cybersecurity, or service-quality failures if deployed too aggressively. I treat AI as upside to operating leverage, not as a separate growth product.

The eighth wildcard is macro and rates. Thailand's household income growth, investment markets, interest rates, and consumer confidence affect savings, unit-linked, and retirement demand. Lower rates can pressure guarantee economics; volatile markets can slow unit-linked sales; weak consumer sentiment can reduce discretionary protection and savings purchases.

Summary Table

Case	Segment TTM+5y Revenue	Segment TTM+5y Op Margin	Segment TTM+5y Op Income	FY2030 VONB	Probability
Bear	3,339	19.5% of TWPI	1,236	944	25%
Base	4,037	22.5% of TWPI	1,653	1,379	50%
Bull	4,630	24.0% of TWPI	1,971	1,712	25%

Sources

Source	URL or file
Run context	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\run_context.md`
Quant foundation	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\quant_foundation.md`
Quant foundation review	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\quant_foundation_review.md`
Data package	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\data_package.md`
Appendix A primer	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_A_primer.md`
AIA Q1 2026 new-business press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260430
AIA FY2025 results press release	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260319
AIA Thailand regional profile	https://www.aia.com/en/about-aia/solutions-to-meet-your-needs/regional-solutions/thailand-regional

Source	URL or file
AIA FY2025 Thailand Growth Strategy slides	https://www.aia.com/content/dam/group-wise/en/docs/investor-relations/2026/AIA%20Group%20FY%202025%20AIA%20Thailand%20Growth%20Strategy%20Presentation%20Slides%20%28Final%29.pdf
Bangkok Life 3Q2025 MD&A market-share table	https://www.bangkoklife.com/Upload/InvestorFile/8ec6c0eb83d64f86b2e945fecefe988c.pdf
Rajah & Tann Thailand co-payment note	https://www.rajahtannasia.com/viewpoints/thailands-implementation-of-new-copayment-clauses-in-health-insurance-policies-starting-from-20-march-2025-what-you-need-to-know/
OECD Capital Market Review of Thailand 2025	https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-capital-market-review-of-thailand-2025_0a975590-en/full-report/assessment-and-recommendations_a3bec273.html
Fresh FMP pulls for this appendix	`C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\raw\subagent_B_aia_thailand_20260529\`

Appendix B6 - AIA Malaysia

AIA Malaysia - Segment Deep Dive

Prepared for the ER2 / Gideon production run on 2026-05-29. Currency is US$ millions unless otherwise stated. The TTM anchor is FY2025, year ended 31 December 2025, and the five-year forward endpoint is 31 December 2030, exactly as set in `run_context.md` and `quant_foundation.md`.

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

0. Segment Boundary and Taxonomy Note

AIA Malaysia is a geographic operating segment, not a product segment. It includes AIA's Malaysia life, accident and health, savings, employee benefits, general insurance adjacency, pension/retirement services, and related financial-service activities in Malaysia. The reporting segment boundary itself was stable over FY2021-FY2025, but the accounting taxonomy was not fully stable: FY2023 introduced IFRS 17, and AIA provides restated FY2022 insurance revenue and OPAT under that presentation. FY2021 is therefore comparable for TWPI and OPAT but not for IFRS 17 insurance revenue. This appendix uses FY2022-FY2025 insurance revenue for IFRS top-line comparisons and uses FY2021-FY2025 TWPI and OPAT only with the accounting-boundary caveat.

Live-source compliance: fresh FMP calls in this run returned a current 1299.HK quote and empty annual and quarterly `revenue-product-segmentation` arrays. AIA official sources also confirm that AIA is HKEX-listed with Level 1 OTC ADRs; no SEC 10-K, 10-Q, or 8-K controls this segment model. AIA/HKEX official materials and the already reconciled

data_package.md therefore control AIA historicals. External sources are used only for market structure and competitive context.

1. What This Segment Actually Is

AIA Malaysia sells long-duration insurance and savings promises to Malaysian households, employers, and bank customers. The customer may be a young family buying medical and critical illness cover, a Muslim household buying Shariah-compliant family takaful, an employer buying employee-benefits coverage, a mortgage borrower buying credit protection, or an affluent household buying a savings and retirement product. The segment does not look like a single product line; it is a local franchise that combines product manufacturing, underwriting, asset-liability management, claims/service infrastructure, and distribution.

The go-to-market is multi-channel. AIA Malaysia's public regional profile says AIA has operated in Malaysia since 1948 and uses a distribution footprint of more than 17,000 Life Planners, an exclusive bank partner's branches nationwide, corporate sales teams, and brokers. The same AIA page says the Malaysian business serves 5.2 million customers nationwide and had RM66.10 billion of total assets at 30 June 2024. Those local facts matter because life and health insurance are high-trust categories. The policy is not bought impulsively; it is explained, underwritten, serviced, repriced, claimed against, and often kept in force for decades. Scale in agents, bank access, service centres, claims operations, and customer data are therefore part of the product.

The product set spans conventional insurance and takaful. AIA PUBLIC Takaful is jointly owned by AIA Bhd., Public Bank Berhad, and Public Islamic Bank Berhad. AIA's Malaysia site says the takaful venture was incorporated in 2011 and is designed to leverage AIA and Public Bank's infrastructure and distribution networks to increase family takaful penetration in Malaysia. That gives the segment a Muslim-market growth vector that a purely conventional life insurer would lack, but it also exposes the segment to Shariah governance, product suitability, and the same medical-claims and persistency issues that affect the wider life/family takaful pool.

Pricing is actuarial and multi-period rather than simple. A new policy's value depends on expected premiums, claims, lapses, expenses, investment returns, tax, and required capital. AIA's key new-business metric is VONB, while ANP measures new premium activity and TWPI measures broader premium volume. IFRS 17 insurance revenue measures insurance services provided in the period, not cash premium receipts. OPAT is the segment profit measure used for downstream earnings. For Malaysia, the key ER2 question is not "can AIA sell more policies?" The question is whether it can add policies that earn attractive VONB margins, manage medical inflation and customer repricing, preserve persistency, and compound OPAT without requiring an uneconomic amount of capital or service cost.

2. Current Economics

The FY2025 / TTM Malaysia base from data_package.md and quant_foundation.md is meaningful but not group-defining. AIA Malaysia generated insurance revenue of 2,139, representing 9.9% of group insurance revenue; OPAT attributable to shareholders of 389, representing 5.5% of group OPAT before group corporate centre; VONB of 373, representing about 6.3% of market-level segment VONB before group adjustments; ANP of 515; TWPI of 3,071; and VONB margin of 72.2%. Its OPAT divided by insurance revenue was 18.2%, while the QF "operating margin" convention of OPAT divided by TWPI was 13.1% using rounded data-package dollars.

The segment's headline economics are mixed. The attractive part is new-business quality: a 72.2% VONB margin is above group VONB margin of 58.5% and suggests that the business can create substantial embedded value per unit of new premium when mix, pricing, claims, persistency, and capital are managed well. Malaysia also has useful distribution optionality: agency is the advice-led engine, while Public Bank provides a deep bancassurance channel and AIA PUBLIC Takaful broadens the addressable market. AIA's FY2025 analyst presentation adds that AIA Malaysia had VONB of 373, was #1 in MDRT in Malaysia for nine years, had partnership VONB growth of 17%, showed agency VONB improvement into the second half, and continued expanding wealth propositions.

The less attractive part is operating scale relative to group. Malaysia is not currently large enough to change the AIA group thesis by itself. FY2025 insurance revenue of 2,139 is smaller than Hong Kong, Other Markets, China, Thailand, and Singapore. OPAT of 389 is below every named market except corporate centre, and its OPAT contribution is only about one-seventh of Hong Kong's. In Gideon terms, Malaysia is a useful growth and diversification contributor, not a standalone reason to own AIA.

The most important near-term context is health insurance reform and repricing. data_package.md says Malaysia's H1 2025 weakness was tied to health-insurance reform and customer-servicing demands. The Q1 2026 AIA release says AIA Malaysia

showed high-single-digit VONB growth, supported by both agency and bancassurance, with quarter-on-quarter agency VONB growth, more active agents, and better agent productivity. The segment therefore entered the 2030 forecast with a plausible recovery setup, but also with a clear reminder that health product regulation can absorb agent time, slow new sales, and pressure customer sentiment.

[DATA GAP: AIA does not disclose product-level insurance revenue dollars for Malaysia health, protection, savings, takaful, employee benefits, agency, bancassurance, or corporate solutions. This appendix does not allocate revenue dollars to those lines.]

3. Unit Economics

The unit economics of AIA Malaysia start with new business. A customer or employer pays first-year premiums; AIA incurs acquisition expenses, underwriting costs, commissions, policy issuance and technology costs, and required capital strain. If the policy is priced well and the customer persists, the in-force policy releases earnings over time through insurance service result, investment result, CSM release, and expense absorption. The new-business lens is VONB and VONB margin; the in-force lens is insurance revenue, OPAT, TWPI, and capital generation.

Malaysia's FY2025 VONB margin of 72.2% is the clearest signal that the new-business book is not a commodity spread business. A margin that high usually requires favorable protection or health mix, disciplined underwriting, useful distribution economics, and capital-efficient product design. AIA's presentation identifies the Malaysia VONB mix as heavily protection-oriented: the slide labels traditional protection at 38%, unit-linked at 56% with more than 90% of unit-linked including protection, and others at 6%. The exact dollar split is not disclosed in the data package, so this appendix treats that slide as economic mix context rather than a revenue bridge. The investment conclusion is that Malaysia's new-business value depends less on gross premium growth and more on maintaining protection content and disciplined medical pricing.

Claims and repricing are the pressure point. Malaysia's medical and health insurance/takaful market has been under scrutiny because rising medical costs led to premium revisions, policyholder affordability concerns, and Bank Negara Malaysia-led interim measures. Bernama's report on BNM's Financial Stability Review for 2H 2025 says insurance and takaful fund profitability declined to RM4.6 billion in 2H 2025 from RM4.8 billion in 1H 2025, and that net underwriting losses increased sharply. It also says premium income continued to be affected by industry interim measures for policyholders impacted by medical and health insurance/takaful repricing. LIAM-related press coverage reported that medical claims for Malaysian life insurers reached RM9.4 billion in 2025 and accounted for 53.9% of total payouts. The exact industry figures are not AIA-specific, but the direction is central to AIA Malaysia's unit economics: medical inflation can compress value unless pricing, co-pay design, provider networks, claims steering, and customer communication improve.

AIA is trying to turn that risk into a managed-care advantage. The FY2025 AIA analyst presentation says AIA's integrated healthcare strategy shifted more than 750,000 cases from inpatient to day-case settings across Hong Kong, Thailand, Singapore, and Malaysia, contributing about 300 of claims savings in 2025, and notes that AIA Malaysia's network product carried 20% lower premiums versus an out-of-network product with AIA SMART network usage up 18% year-on-year in December 2025. This is important because the Malaysia bear case is not simply slower sales; it is adverse selection and affordability erosion if healthier customers reduce or lapse coverage while higher-claim customers remain. A network-led model with lower premiums, co-pay/risk sharing, claims steering, and better provider economics is the practical mechanism for defending margin.

Operating leverage is real but capped. AIA Malaysia has meaningful fixed infrastructure in systems, service, compliance, claims, underwriting, and agency management. If VONB and in-force revenue grow with stable claims, OPAT can compound faster than insurance revenue. But life insurance also has high variable acquisition costs, commissions, capital strain, regulatory controls, and service obligations. This is not a software margin expansion story. The base case assumes modest OPAT margin expansion on insurance revenue from 18.2% in FY2025 to 19.5% in FY2030, not a sudden re-rating to Hong Kong levels.

4. Competitive Dynamics

Malaysia is competitive and regulated. AIA Malaysia competes with domestic and multinational life insurers, family takaful operators, bank-owned or bank-aligned insurers, general insurers in adjacent lines, independent financial advisers, brokers, and digital-first distribution models. Direct competitors include Great Eastern Life Malaysia, Prudential Assurance Malaysia and Prudential BSN Takaful, Hong Leong Assurance, Allianz Life Malaysia, Etiqa, Takaful Malaysia, Zurich, Manulife, and other bank or Islamic-finance-linked players. In bancassurance, the economic fight is not only against another insurer's policy; it is against whichever insurer has the bank relationship, branch activation, customer analytics, and incentive design.

AIA's strongest competitive asset in Malaysia is distribution. AIA's local site points to more than 17,000 Life Planners and the Public Bank channel. AIA's July 2025 MDRT announcement says Malaysia was one of the AIA markets that achieved #1 MDRT status in its local market, and AIA Malaysia's local release described 870 qualifying Life Planners. MDRT is not a perfect productivity metric, but it is evidence of a high-end advice force. In a market where customer education, medical repricing, takaful suitability, and wealth-protection advice are hard to automate, a deep agent base is a real asset.

Public Bank is the second competitive asset. AIA and Public Bank extended their exclusive regional bancassurance partnership to 2037. The AIA press release said the partnership would increase penetration of life and health insurance across Public Bank's more than 9 million customers and build on Public Bank's branch network. That longevity matters because bank distribution is difficult to replicate quickly. It creates access to existing banking customers, trust from the banking relationship, and the ability to distribute both conventional and takaful propositions through a known institution. The risk, however, is partner bargaining power and channel mix: banks can demand economics, influence product selection, and shift focus toward products that satisfy bank incentives but may not maximize insurer VONB.

Market share data is imperfect in the public sources available for this subagent. AIA presents itself as part of AIA's #1 ASEAN life and health insurer position by ANP across six markets including Malaysia, but it does not disclose a Malaysia-only ANP market share in the data package. Allianz Malaysia disclosed that Allianz Life's 30 September 2025 market share was 11.6%, and its June 2025 release said Allianz Life retained a number-four industry rank with 12.1% market share. That gives a useful competitive yardstick: the life market is concentrated enough for top players to matter, but not monopolistic. AIA can be a leader and still face aggressive pricing, bank-channel competition, and health-rider repricing sensitivity.

The substitution risk is mostly internal to financial services. Customers can underinsure, self-insure, use public healthcare, buy cheaper medical cards, shift to takaful, hold bank deposits, buy investment funds, or delay protection purchases when premiums rise. Digital distribution can reduce friction for simpler products, but complex life, health, retirement, and takaful advice remains relationship-heavy. The more immediate risk is not a digital disruptor replacing AIA; it is affordability fatigue and mistrust when medical premiums rise. That risk directly affects persistency and agent productivity.

5. Moat at the Segment Level

AIA Malaysia has a real but narrow moat. It is not protected by a unique product patent or a monopoly. Its defensibility comes from a bundle: brand, long operating history, agency scale, MDRT-quality adviser depth, Public Bank exclusivity, takaful access through AIA PUBLIC Takaful, claims/service infrastructure, data, and group capital/actuarial expertise. Each element is individually replicable; the combined system is harder to reproduce.

The moat is strongest in advice-led protection, health, and wealth planning. A customer buying a large medical, critical illness, protection-linked savings, or takaful policy needs advice, service confidence, and claims trust. AIA's agents and bank relationship can shape product mix and retention. That is why agency disruption in H1 2025 mattered: when agents were occupied explaining health-policy options to existing customers, new sales slowed. The moat did not disappear; it was temporarily redirected toward servicing and preservation of the in-force book.

The moat is weaker in commoditized savings and price-sensitive medical riders. If a product becomes a spreadsheet comparison of annual limit, premium, deductible, and hospital network, competitors can attack with cheaper headline terms. If bank customers are mostly captive to the bank relationship, Public Bank helps AIA; if another bank controls the relationship, AIA may have no access. If medical inflation forces repeated premium increases, even a trusted brand can suffer persistency and conduct pressure.

Durability over five to ten years depends on whether AIA can convert its healthcare strategy into lower claims inflation and better customer affordability. The FY2025 presentation evidence on Malaysia network pricing and usage is encouraging, but still early. AIA's best version is a trusted advice and healthcare-navigation franchise whose network products are cheaper and better managed than out-of-network alternatives. The worst version is an incumbent with a large legacy medical book that must keep repricing customers in a politically sensitive market. The base case assumes the moat survives, but does not assume it expands dramatically.

6. Historical Growth Rates

The table below reproduces Malaysia historicals from `data_package.md`. No product-level revenue rows are created because AIA does not disclose product-level Malaysia revenue dollars, and FMP's live annual and quarterly product-segmentation endpoints returned empty arrays. FY2021 insurance revenue is not comparable under IFRS 17 and is flagged as a data gap.

Product / sub-segment	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025 / TTM	3-yr CAGR	FY2025 YoY	Data package cite
AIA Malaysia insurance revenue	1.53 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia insurance revenue row]	1.57 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia insurance revenue row]	1.83 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia insurance revenue row]	2.14 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia insurance revenue row]	11.8% [calc from FY2022-FY2025]	16.9% [calc from 1.83 to 2.14]	FY2022 1.53, FY2023 1.57, FY2024 1.83, FY2025 2.14
AIA Malaysia TWPI	2.46 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia TWPI row]	2.57 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia TWPI row]	2.74 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia TWPI row]	3.07 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia TWPI row]	7.7% [calc from FY2022-FY2025]	12.0% [calc from 2.74 to 3.07]	FY2022 2.46, FY2023 2.57, FY2024 2.74, FY2025 3.07
AIA Malaysia OPAT	0.39 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia OPAT row]	0.29 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia OPAT row]	0.33 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia OPAT row]	0.39 [data_package S3, AIA Malaysia OPAT row]	0.0% [calc from FY2022-FY2025]	18.2% [calc from 0.33 to 0.39]	FY2022 0.39, FY2023 0.29, FY2024 0.33, FY2025 0.39
Malaysia product revenue lines	n/d [data_package S4, product disclosure warning]	n/d [data_package S4, product disclosure warning]	n/d [data_package S4, product disclosure warning]	n/d [data_package S4, product disclosure warning]	n/d	n/d	Product-level revenue dollars are not separately disclosed
AIA Malaysia VONB	n/d [data_package S4, AIA Malaysia row]	n/d [data_package S4, AIA Malaysia row]	n/d [data_package S4, AIA Malaysia row]	0.373 [data_package S4, AIA Malaysia row]	n/d	High-single-digit Q1 2026 VONB growth [data_package S5, AIA Malaysia row]	FY2025 VONB 373 only; historical segment VONB series not in data package

Arithmetic tie-out: FY2024 insurance revenue of 1.83 multiplied by 1.169 equals approximately 2.14, consistent with FY2025 insurance revenue. FY2024 TWPI of 2.74 multiplied by 1.120 equals approximately 3.07. FY2024 OPAT of 0.33 multiplied by 1.182 equals approximately 0.39. The 3-year insurance revenue CAGR is calculated from FY2022 1.53 to FY2025 2.14; FY2021 is excluded because IFRS 17 insurance revenue was not restated in the pulled source set. [DATA GAP: FY2021 IFRS 17 insurance revenue for AIA Malaysia was not found in the live official pulls.]

7. Management Guidance and Commentary

The management commentary below is reproduced from `data_package.md` Section 6, with interpretation for Malaysia. Transcript language is not re-fetched or re-derived here because the segment protocol makes the data package the source of truth for company historicals and management quotes.

- `data_package.md` Section 6, ASEAN / Thailand row: "number one life and health insurer." Interpretation: Malaysia should be considered part of AIA's broader ASEAN growth engine, not a standalone mature backwater. The group claims leadership in aggregate across Thailand, Singapore, Malaysia, Vietnam, Indonesia, and the Philippines by ANP, which supports a regional distribution and product development advantage.

- `data_package.md` Section 6, AIA Malaysia health disruption row: "temporary slowdown." Interpretation: the 2025 Malaysia disruption is treated as an execution and regulatory adjustment, not as evidence that the segment's demand pool has structurally disappeared. That said, a "temporary" disruption can still impair persistency or agent productivity if medical repricing remains contentious.

- `data_package.md` Section 5, AIA Malaysia latest quarter row: high-single-digit VONB growth with quarter-on-quarter agency improvement. Interpretation: Q1 2026 is post-anchor evidence only and is not rolled into TTM, but it supports the base-case assumption that H1 2025 disruption was easing by the start of 2026.

- `data_package.md` Section 6, technology / AI row: "amplifying our long-term advice-led model." Interpretation: for Malaysia, AI is best modeled as support for agent productivity, customer engagement, underwriting, claims, and service, not as a replacement for regulated advisory distribution.

- `data_package.md` Section 6, group Asia opportunity row: "most attractive region in the world." Interpretation: the macro demand thesis behind Malaysia is still protection, health, savings, retirement, underinsurance, and limited social-welfare coverage, but the segment forecast should discount that broad claim for Malaysia-specific health affordability and competition.

[DATA GAP: The data package does not include a management quote giving a Malaysia-specific FY2026 VONB, ANP, OPAT, or insurance-revenue target.]

8. Forward Growth Assumptions

The forecast compounds from the FY2025 / TTM base to FY2030. Because AIA does not disclose product revenue dollars for Malaysia, the forecast uses economic lines rather than fabricated product lines: insurance revenue, TWPI, ANP, and VONB. The model tests whether Malaysia grows enough to matter at group level. The answer is "helpful, not decisive." Even in the base case, FY2030 Malaysia OPAT reaches about 641, only 9.0% of FY2025 group OPAT and likely a mid-single-digit share of FY2030 group OPAT after other markets also grow.

Base case: health disruption normalizes, Public Bank and takaful remain productive, agency productivity improves gradually, and integrated healthcare reduces claims friction enough to preserve VONB margin.

Product / economic line	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Base CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
AIA Malaysia insurance revenue	11.8%	16.9%	No explicit revenue guide	9.0%	IFRS revenue grew strongly off a smaller base, but medical repricing and affordability justify moderation below recent growth.
AIA Malaysia TWPI	7.7%	12.0%	Q1 2026 TWPI not by segment	7.5%	Premium volume should track agency recovery and bancassurance, but product mix should remain disciplined rather than volume-maximizing.
AIA Malaysia ANP	n/d	n/d	Q1 2026 VONB high-single-digit growth only	8.5%	ANP should recover with active agents and Public Bank support, but 2025 health servicing disruption argues against assuming double-digit steady growth.
AIA Malaysia VONB	n/d	FY2025 VONB 373 only; Q1 2026 high-single-digit	High-single-digit Q1 2026 VONB growth	9.0%	VONB margin starts high at 72.2%; base assumes health network and protection mix preserve value while sales recover.

Bull case: healthcare network products work, agency productivity normalizes faster, Public Bank and AIA PUBLIC Takaful convert underpenetrated customers, and Malaysia remains a high-margin protection market.

Product / economic line	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bull CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
AIA Malaysia insurance revenue	11.8%	16.9%	No explicit revenue guide	12.0%	Bull keeps growth near recent IFRS revenue CAGR as health disruption fades and network products support affordability.
AIA Malaysia TWPI	7.7%	12.0%	Q1 2026 TWPI not by segment	10.0%	Stronger agency recruitment and Public Bank activation allow premium volume to compound near low double digits.
AIA Malaysia ANP	n/d	n/d	Q1 2026 VONB high-single-digit growth only	11.0%	New business accelerates as active agents and productivity recover and takaful penetration rises.
AIA Malaysia VONB	n/d	Q1 2026 high-single-digit	High-single-digit Q1 2026 VONB growth	12.6%	VONB can outgrow ANP if protection mix and network-led healthcare pricing lift value per new premium.

Bear case: repricing remains unpopular, medical claims inflation persists, agency capacity stays tied up in servicing, and bank/channel growth is not enough to offset weaker customer sentiment.

Product / economic line	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
AIA Malaysia insurance revenue	11.8%	16.9%	No explicit revenue guide	5.0%	Bear assumes FY2025 revenue growth was partly catch-up and that affordability limits new sales and persistency.
AIA Malaysia TWPI	7.7%	12.0%	Q1 2026 TWPI not by segment	4.0%	Premium volume slows if medical repricing suppresses customer uptake and weakens agent conversion.

Product / economic line	3-yr historical CAGR	Latest YoY	Mgmt FY+1 guide	Bear CAGR TTM to 2030	Justification
AIA Malaysia ANP	n/d	n/d	Q1 2026 VONB high-single-digit growth only	4.0%	ANP growth falls below nominal industry opportunity as customers trade down or delay purchases.
AIA Malaysia VONB	n/d	Q1 2026 high-single-digit	High-single-digit Q1 2026 VONB growth	1.5%	VONB growth slows below ANP because higher claims, lapses, or acquisition effort reduce value per new premium.

The base growth path deliberately decelerates from FY2025 insurance revenue growth. It does not assume that the 16.9% FY2025 insurance-revenue increase becomes a new normal. The reason is not generic maturation; it is specific to Malaysia: health-insurance reform diverted agency capacity, policyholder affordability is a live issue, BNM/industry repricing measures affect premium realization, and AIA's own Q1 2026 segment language says "improving" rather than "accelerating strongly." The bull case is still credible because AIA's network product has a concrete affordability mechanism. The bear case is credible because medical inflation can turn a high-margin health book into a customer-retention and conduct problem.

9. Forward Operating Margin Assumptions

This section uses OPAT divided by insurance revenue as the primary IFRS earnings margin, while also showing the premium-volume lens where relevant. FY2025 OPAT / insurance revenue was 18.2%. The QF operating-margin convention of OPAT / TWPI was 13.1%. The margin forecast is intentionally modest because AIA Malaysia's profit pool is sensitive to claims, acquisition costs, and investment result, and because the segment is still working through health-policy changes.

Case	FY2025 OPAT / insurance revenue	FY2030 OPAT / insurance revenue	Mechanism	FY2030 OPAT
Bear	18.2%	16.0%	Claims inflation, repricing friction, adverse persistency, and weaker agent conversion more than offset scale.	437
Base	18.2%	19.5%	Health network savings, agency productivity, and mix discipline add modest leverage after 2025 disruption.	641
Bull	18.2%	21.0%	Network-led healthcare, strong protection mix, and higher adviser productivity create operating leverage.	792

Case	FY2025 VONB margin	FY2030 VONB margin	FY2030 ANP	FY2030 VONB	Rationale
Bear	72.2%	64.0%	626	401	Higher claims and affordability pressure reduce value per new premium, even if ANP grows modestly.
Base	72.2%	74.0%	774	574	Protection mix and healthcare steering offset some market pressure, preserving high new-business value.
Bull	72.2%	78.0%	867	676	AIA converts healthcare network and takaful/wealth propositions into higher-margin new business.

The bull VONB margin is not allowed to drift indefinitely upward. It reaches 78.0%, which is above FY2025 but still below Thailand's unusual FY2025 VONB margin and below what a pure protection mix could imply. The bear VONB margin of 64.0% remains profitable but reflects a meaningful reset from FY2025, consistent with medical-claims pressure and more expensive customer retention. The base case is close to current because management's evidence cuts both ways: the health issue disrupted sales, but AIA also showed concrete healthcare-network savings and Q1 2026 agency recovery.

[DATA GAP: Segment EV equity and EV operating profit for Malaysia are not separately disclosed in `data_package.md` or QF. FY2025 shareholders' allocated equity was 3,173 in QF, implying OPAT / allocated equity of 12.3%, but this is not the same as OPAT / EV equity.]

10. Segment Rollup

The rollup below is built from the explicit growth and margin assumptions above. Insurance revenue is compounded from the FY2025 base of 2,139. OPAT equals FY2030 insurance revenue multiplied by the case margin in Section 9. ANP is compounded from the FY2025 base of 515, and VONB is calculated from FY2030 ANP multiplied by the case VONB margin, with the implied VONB CAGR shown as a cross-check. TWPI is compounded from 3,071 and is shown as a premium-volume sanity check, not as IFRS revenue.

Case	FY2030 insurance revenue	FY2030 TWPI	FY2030 ANP	FY2030 VONB	FY2030 OPAT / revenue	FY2030 OPAT
Bear	2,730	3,737	626	401	16.0%	437
Base	3,291	4,411	774	574	19.5%	641
Bull	3,769	4,946	867	676	21.0%	792

Bridge item	Bear	Base	Bull
FY2025 insurance revenue anchor	2,139	2,139	2,139
Revenue CAGR to FY2030	5.0%	9.0%	12.0%
FY2030 insurance revenue	2,730	3,291	3,769
FY2030 margin	16.0%	19.5%	21.0%
FY2030 OPAT	437	641	792
FY2025 VONB anchor	373	373	373
VONB CAGR to FY2030	1.5%	9.0%	12.6%
FY2030 VONB	401	574	676

At group level, Malaysia remains a contributor rather than a swing factor. Base FY2030 OPAT of 641 is 252 higher than FY2025 Malaysia OPAT. That incremental OPAT is useful, but it is only 3.5% of FY2025 group OPAT. Even the bull case adds roughly 403 of OPAT versus FY2025, which is meaningful but not enough to offset a major disappointment in Hong Kong, China, or Thailand. Malaysia matters most as evidence that AIA can replicate health/protection economics across ASEAN without breaking customer affordability.

The base case also leaves Malaysia's group insurance-revenue share roughly stable to slightly higher. If group insurance revenue compounds at high single digits, Malaysia's 9.0% revenue CAGR does not transform mix. If group growth slows, Malaysia becomes more important. The segment therefore has asymmetric qualitative importance: the dollar contribution is modest, but the issues it faces - medical inflation, network healthcare, bank partnerships, takaful, and agency productivity - are central to AIA's broader ASEAN thesis.

11. Key Risks and Wildcards

Bear risk - medical inflation and premium affordability. The largest Malaysia-specific risk is that medical claims continue to rise faster than pricing, network steering, deductibles, and co-pay design can absorb. Policyholders may lapse, reduce benefits, complain, or delay purchases. This harms both in-force economics and new-business productivity.

Bear risk - regulatory and conduct intervention. Bank Negara Malaysia and industry bodies are already involved in medical and health insurance/takaful repricing measures. If regulators require slower repricing, more generous transition support, product redesign, or tougher conduct standards, short-term profit and sales activity can suffer even if the long-term system becomes healthier.

Bear/base risk - agency capacity diversion. The data package notes a temporary slowdown because agency capacity was absorbed by customer servicing. That is a real mechanism: agents who are explaining repricing and policy options are not spending the same time recruiting customers. The base assumes this fades; the bear assumes it persists.

Bear/base risk - bank partner concentration. Public Bank is a major asset, but any exclusive bancassurance relationship creates dependence on partner activation, economics, branch incentives, and customer strategy. AIA's partnership runs to 2037, which reduces renewal cliff risk, but does not remove annual execution risk.

Base/bull wildcard - takaful penetration. AIA PUBLIC Takaful gives AIA Malaysia a Shariah-compliant growth vector. If family takaful penetration rises and AIA/Public Bank execute well, Malaysia VONB can outgrow conventional life premiums without requiring a new geographic market.

Bull wildcard - integrated healthcare advantage. AIA's network product and case-management claims savings could become more than a defensive measure. If AIA can offer lower-premium network products with better claims management and customer experience, it can convert a market-wide medical inflation problem into a share-gain opportunity.

Bull/base wildcard - technology-enabled adviser productivity. AIA's group AI framework targets agent sales support, lead generation, underwriting, claims, and customer engagement. In Malaysia, the upside is not replacing agents; it is making agents more productive while reducing service friction after repricing.

Bear risk - currency and investment result. Malaysia reports into a US-dollar group and holds local assets and liabilities. FX moves, bond yields, credit spreads, and equity markets can affect OPAT, EV, and capital. This is not modeled as a segment-specific structural decline, but it can distort reported growth.

Source Notes

Primary historical and management sources: `data_package.md`, `quant_foundation.md`, `run_context.md`, and `quant_foundation_review.md` in `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group`. Official live context checked against AIA FY2025 annual results and Q1 2026 new-business highlights on AIA's investor relations site at https://www.aia.com/en/investor-relations/overview/results-presentations, AIA FY2025 analyst presentation, AIA Malaysia regional profile at https://www.aia.com/en/about-aia/solutions-to-meet-your-needs/regional-solutions/malaysia-regional, AIA PUBLIC Takaful page, AIA/Public Bank bancassurance partnership release, and live FMP `/stable/` helper calls for 1299.HK quote plus annual and quarterly product segmentation. A direct live SEC browse query for "AIA Group Limited" returned status 200 with no 10-K or AAGIY hit in the result content, consistent with the QF conclusion that AIA/HKEX materials control. External market context used Bank Negara Malaysia-related coverage from Bernama and LIAM-related 2025 medical-claims coverage for industry-level medical inflation context.

Case	Segment TTM+5y Revenue	Segment TTM+5y Op Margin	Segment TTM+5y Op Income	Probability
Bear	2,730	16.0%	437	25%
Base	3,291	19.5%	641	55%
Bull	3,769	21.0%	792	20%

Appendix S - Synthesis (Combined Earnings Model)

AIA Group Limited - Synthesis and Combined Earnings Model

PATH_RECEIVED: C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_synthesis.md

Company: AIA Group Limited Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299 Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore Currency: US$ millions unless otherwise stated. Share-price tests are shown in HKD and converted at HKD/USD 7.80. Output: `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_synthesis.md`

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

  `C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.
- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

  investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).
- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

  `data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

1. Anchors

The synthesis uses the orchestrator's anchors without recomputing the horizon. AIA is an insurer, so the operating-earnings bridge uses OPAT, VONB, TWPI, ANP, and insurance revenue rather than industrial revenue, EBIT, and generic net income. OPAT is already after-tax operating profit attributable to shareholders. The P/E 15 gate therefore tests after-tax operating earnings per share, not statutory IFRS net profit per share.

- Today: 2026-05-29.
- FY end: December 31.
- TTM anchor end date: 2025-12-31.

- TTM quarters: FY2025 annual consolidated statement. AIA does not provide full quarterly consolidated income and cash-flow statements comparable to a U.S. 10-Q issuer; Q1 2026 is a new-business update only.
- Latest completed FY label: FY2025.
- Horizon date: 2030-12-31.
- Compounding span: exactly 5.0 calendar years from TTM end, not six fiscal years.
- Current price: HK$82.90 per 1299.HK share, from QF's live FMP quote pull.
- FX: HKD/USD 7.80 unless a better run-date conversion is supplied. No better conversion was found in the reviewed foundation files.
- TTM share count anchor: 10,411.5 million implied current shares from QF, calculated from FMP market cap divided by price.
- Required EPS for P/E 15 at HK$82.90: HK$5.527, equal to US$0.709 at 7.80.

The files read for this synthesis were `run_context.md`, `quant_foundation.md`, `quant_foundation_review.md`, `data_package.md`, `appendix_management.md`, `appendix_moat.md`, and the six reviewed segment appendices. I used the reviewed appendix values on disk rather than any original worker-return values. The governing historical anchor is QF/QFR: FY2025 insurance revenue of US$21.618 billion, FY2025 OPAT of US$7.136 billion, FY2025 net profit attributable to shareholders of US$6.234 billion, FY2025 VONB of US$5.516 billion, FY2025 TWPI of US$46.900 billion, and shareholder capital ratio of 221%.

2. Segment-Level Forward Assumptions

This table reproduces the reviewed segment rollups. Revenue is IFRS insurance revenue from consolidated operations. Operating earnings are segment OPAT. The displayed operating margin is OPAT divided by insurance revenue for cross-segment comparability in this synthesis, while the underlying appendices often also show OPAT divided by TWPI. For China, Thailand, Singapore, and Malaysia, the TWPI-margin lens remains economically useful; the EPS model uses the reviewed OPAT dollar outputs directly.

Segment	TTM revenue ($B)	Bear TTM+5y rev	Base TTM+5y rev	Bull TTM+5y rev	Bear OPAT margin	Base OPAT margin	Bull OPAT margin	Source
AIA China / Mainland China	3.460	4.210	4.796	5.324	44.0%	49.9%	54.6%	`appendix_B_aia_china.md` Â§Â§8-10
AIA Hong Kong	5.318	6.017	7.635	8.565	47.5%	52.2%	54.0%	`appendix_B_aia_hong_kong.md` Â§Â§8-10
AIA Thailand	2.811	3.339	4.037	4.630	37.0%	40.9%	42.6%	`appendix_B_aia_thailand.md` Â§Â§8-10
AIA Singapore	2.833	3.205	3.703	4.067	23.8%	27.6%	30.0%	`appendix_B_aia_singapore.md` Â§Â§8-10
AIA Malaysia	2.139	2.730	3.291	3.769	16.0%	19.5%	21.0%	`appendix_B_aia_malaysia.md` Â§Â§8-10
Other Markets	5.057	5.448	6.302	6.831	9.0%	13.5%	15.0%	`appendix_B_other_markets.md` Â§Â§8-10

The segment cases are not uniform in probability or confidence. China, Hong Kong, Thailand, and Other Markets use 25/50/25 segment weights in their appendices. Malaysia uses a slightly more base-heavy 25/55/20 weighting because the 2025 disruption appears temporary but not fully derisked. The group synthesis uses 25/50/25 because the P/E gate is about the consolidated base case, and the main objective is not a probability-weighted price target but whether the confident base case clears P/E 15.

The most important reproduction point is what is not in the table. AIA does not disclose product revenue dollars for protection, health, savings, participating, unit-linked, pensions, employee benefits, Mainland Chinese visitors, bancassurance, agency, or Tata AIA. The segment appendices intentionally use one-line segment rollups and economic KPI checks. This synthesis does not fabricate product-to-segment revenue rollups.

3. Combined Revenue Build

The combined revenue build is a straight sum of the six operating segment insurance-revenue forecasts. Group Corporate Centre has no segment insurance revenue, and the QF/QFR tie-out confirms that the FY2025 segment insurance revenue sum equals the consolidated FY2025 insurance revenue of US$21.618 billion. No horizon corporate revenue elimination is added because the reviewed data package does not disclose a separate corporate revenue line.

Line	Bear	Base	Bull
AIA China / Mainland China	4.210	4.796	5.324
AIA Hong Kong	6.017	7.635	8.565
AIA Thailand	3.339	4.037	4.630
AIA Singapore	3.205	3.703	4.067
AIA Malaysia	2.730	3.291	3.769
Other Markets	5.448	6.302	6.831
Sum of segment TTM+5y revenue	24.949	29.764	33.186
Corporate eliminations	0.000	0.000	0.000
Combined TTM+5y revenue	24.949	29.764	33.186
Implied TTM to TTM+5y CAGR over 5.0 years	2.9%	6.6%	9.0%

The base case is not a heroic top-line model at the group level. It compounds consolidated insurance revenue from US$21.618 billion to US$29.764 billion, or 6.6% per year for exactly five years. That is higher than the FY2022-FY2025 IFRS insurance-revenue CAGR in some lines, but it is supported by stronger TWPI, VONB, and Q1 2026 new-business evidence. Q1 2026 group VONB grew 13% at constant exchange rates, ANP grew 16%, and TWPI grew 13%, but those metrics are not rolled into TTM consolidated revenue because Q1 2026 is not a full consolidated financial-statement update.

4. Combined Operating Earnings Build

Combined operating earnings equals segment OPAT plus the Group Corporate Centre adjustment. FY2025 Group Corporate Centre OPAT was negative US$289 million. The horizon assumption is explicit: bear case corporate center is negative US$400 million, base case is negative US$325 million, and bull case is negative US$250 million. The base case assumes central costs, shared services, tax items, and eliminations grow modestly in absolute dollars from FY2025, partly offset by scale and expense discipline. The bear case assumes more group-level drag from tax, finance costs, and central expenses. The bull case assumes operating scale and capital efficiency keep the corporate-center drag below FY2025 in real terms.

Line	Bear	Base	Bull
Sum of segment TTM+5y OPAT	7.638	10.547	12.540
Group Corporate Centre adjustment	(0.400)	(0.325)	(0.250)
Combined TTM+5y OPAT after corporate adjustment	7.238	10.222	12.290
Implied OPAT margin on insurance revenue	29.0%	34.3%	37.0%
Implied OPAT CAGR from FY2025 over 5.0 years	0.3%	7.5%	11.5%

The base case is driven by Hong Kong and China, with Thailand providing a high-return third pillar. Hong Kong reaches US$3.986 billion of segment OPAT in the base case, China reaches US$2.395 billion, Thailand reaches US$1.653 billion, Singapore reaches US$1.021 billion, Other Markets reaches US$0.851 billion, and Malaysia reaches US$0.641 billion. The resulting group OPAT after corporate center is US$10.222 billion. That is the operating-earnings number used for the P/E 15 test.

There is an important accounting distinction. AIA's statutory net profit attributable to shareholders was US$6.234 billion in FY2025, below OPAT of US$7.136 billion. The ER2 adaptation for this AIA run instructs S to use OPAT as the primary after-tax operating-earnings metric for the P/E test. The combined OPAT model is not presented as a statutory IFRS net income forecast. It is an after-tax operating-earnings forecast meant to represent normalized shareholder operating earnings.

5. Net Income and EPS Build

Because segment OPAT is already after-tax operating profit attributable to shareholders, the generic industrial net-interest, other-income, and tax bridge is not additive here. Net interest, investment result, operating expenses, policyholder accounting, and taxes are embedded in the OPAT figures at the segment level and in the Group Corporate Centre adjustment. The line called net income below should be read as after-tax operating earnings attributable to shareholders for the P/E gate.

Line	Bear	Base	Bull
Combined TTM+5y OPAT after corporate adjustment	7.238	10.222	12.290
Net interest expense or income	Embedded in OPAT	Embedded in OPAT	Embedded in OPAT
Other income	Embedded in OPAT	Embedded in OPAT	Embedded in OPAT
Pre-tax income	Not separately modeled	Not separately modeled	Not separately modeled
Tax rate assumed	Embedded after-tax OPAT	Embedded after-tax OPAT	Embedded after-tax OPAT
Net income for P/E gate	7.238	10.222	12.290
Diluted share count at TTM+5y	9.623	9.623	9.623
EPS at TTM+5y, USD	0.752	1.062	1.277
EPS at TTM+5y, HKD at 7.80	5.867	8.285	9.962

The base EPS result is US$1.062, equal to HK$8.285 at 7.80. The required EPS for a P/E of 15 at the current HK$82.90 share price is US$0.709, equal to HK$5.527. The base case therefore clears the price gate with a 49.9% cushion. The bear case also clears, but only with a 6.2% cushion, which matters because the bear case is close enough to the line that the thesis would be fragile if share repurchases disappoint or if the corporate center remains closer to the stressed case.

6. Share Count Path

The share count path starts from the QF quote-implied current share count of 10,411.5 million. This is lower than the official FY2025 weighted average shares because AIA has been buying stock after the FY2025 average period. The forward buyback assumption is US$2.0 billion per year for five years, consistent with FY2025 buybacks of about US$2.279 billion, FMP cash-flow buybacks of US$2.37 billion, management's 75% of net free surplus generation capital-return framework, and the new US$1.7 billion buyback announced with FY2025 results. The average repurchase price is modeled in HKD because the stock trades in HKD. The 2026 assumed average price is HK$84.75, then rises 3% per year. SBC or employee-share issuance is modeled at 16.0 million shares per year as a conservative vesting and dilution proxy. AIA does not disclose a simple U.S.-style SBC line that can be converted cleanly into shares, so this issuance proxy is intentionally small but not zero.

Year	Starting share count (M)	Buyback $ ($B)	Avg price assumed	Shares retired (M)	SBC issuance (M)	Ending share count (M)
2026	10,411.5	2.00	HK$84.75	184.1	16.0	10,243.4
2027	10,243.4	2.00	HK$87.29	178.7	16.0	10,080.7
2028	10,080.7	2.00	HK$89.91	173.5	16.0	9,923.2
2029	9,923.2	2.00	HK$92.61	168.5	16.0	9,770.8
2030	9,770.8	2.00	HK$95.39	163.5	16.0	9,623.2

The explicit path retires 868.3 million shares and adds back 80.0 million issuance shares over five years, for a net reduction of 788.3 million shares. Ending share count is 9,623.2 million. This is not a flat-share shortcut. It is a five-year capital-return path tied to AIA's recent buyback behavior and excess-capital framework.

The share count assumption is a meaningful part of the P/E pass. If ending share count is 5% higher, base EPS falls from US$1.062 to US$1.012. That still clears the P/E 15 gate, but the margin of safety shrinks. The model does not require heroic buybacks above recent practice, but it does assume management keeps using a material portion of net free surplus generation for repurchases while maintaining a strong capital ratio.

7. P/E 15 Test

The P/E test converts USD EPS into HKD because the current share price is HKD. Formula: USD EPS times 7.80 equals HKD EPS; HKD EPS times 15 is the P/E 15 price support. Cushion equals P/E 15 price support minus HK$82.90, divided by

HK$82.90. The base case is the decisive case. If base-case EPS times 15 were below the current price, the ER2 price gate would fail regardless of a bullish upside case.

Case	EPS at TTM+5y, USD	EPS at TTM+5y, HKD	EPS x 15 in HKD	Current price	Cushion %	Pass?
Bear	0.752	5.867	88.00	82.90	6.2%	Pass, thin
Base	1.062	8.285	124.28	82.90	49.9%	Pass
Bull	1.277	9.962	149.42	82.90	80.2%	Pass

The P/E gate is therefore PASS. The quality of the pass is not just bull-case optionality; the base case clears by almost 50%. The caveat is that the bear case is only modestly above the line. AIA can clear the current price if Hong Kong and China keep compounding, the corporate-center drag normalizes near the base assumption, and buybacks continue. If growth disappoints and repurchases slow at the same time, the margin can compress quickly.

8. Reflexivity Check

The TTM reconstruction ties exactly to QF/QFR. Segment insurance revenue equals US$21.618 billion, matching consolidated FY2025 insurance revenue. Segment OPAT equals US$7.425 billion before corporate center and US$7.136 billion after the negative US$289 million Group Corporate Centre adjustment, matching the FY2025 OPAT anchor. Because TTM equals FY2025 for this run, the latest completed FY reconstruction and TTM reconstruction are the same official period.

Anchor line	Segment reconstruction	Consolidated reported	Difference	Result
FY2025 insurance revenue	21.618	21.618	0.000	Pass
FY2025 OPAT before corporate center	7.425	n/a	n/a	Control total
FY2025 Group Corporate Centre OPAT	(0.289)	(0.289)	0.000	Pass
FY2025 OPAT after corporate center	7.136	7.136	0.000	Pass
FY2025 ANP	9.484	9.484	0.000	Pass
FY2025 TWPI	46.900	46.900	0.000	Pass

The model does not compound from FMP revenue because QF and QFR both found a material FMP revenue-mapping mismatch for AIA. FMP FY2025 revenue was US$32.578 billion, while official FY2025 insurance revenue was US$21.618 billion. Official insurer disclosure controls. This matters because using the FMP revenue denominator would understate margins and could produce a false impression of operating leverage.

9. In-Progress FY Reconciliation

No in-progress FY treatment is used. Stage 0 explicitly anchors TTM to FY2025 annual consolidated financial statements and treats Q1 2026 as a post-anchor new-business update only. AIA's Q1 2026 release provides VONB, VONB margin, ANP, and TWPI. It does not provide a complete consolidated income statement, cash-flow statement, balance sheet, segment OPAT table, or full quarterly insurance-revenue table. Therefore the correct reconciliation is not to annualize Q1 2026 into OPAT.

The post-anchor evidence is still useful. Q1 2026 VONB was US$1.757 billion, up 13% at constant exchange rates; VONB margin was 56.0%; ANP was US$3.152 billion, up 16%; and TWPI was US$14.865 billion, up 13%. Segment commentary was constructive in China, Hong Kong, Singapore, Malaysia, and parts of Other Markets, with Thailand down against a strong Q1 2025 base. This evidence supports a base case that compounds operating earnings faster than FY2025 OPAT, but it does not change the FY2025 TTM accounting base.

Reconciliation item	Treatment	Control conclusion
Q1 2026 VONB, ANP, TWPI	Used as trend evidence only	Supports continued new-business momentum
Q1 2026 consolidated revenue	Not disclosed as full IFRS quarterly statement	Not rolled into TTM
Q1 2026 segment OPAT	Not disclosed	Not annualized
FY2025 consolidated statement	Controlling TTM base	Used for all starting financials

10. Sensitivity

The sensitivity table holds the rest of the base case constant and varies one driver at a time. China revenue CAGR sensitivity assumes China base OPAT/revenue margin stays constant, translating the revenue change into OPAT. Hong Kong margin sensitivity changes OPAT/revenue margin by 200 bps. Share count sensitivity changes ending 2030 shares by 5%. The tax sensitivity is shown as an embedded effective-tax stress: because OPAT is already after tax, the model gross-ups base OPAT using the FY2025 FMP tax-rate cross-check of about 16.1% and then applies a plus or minus 300 bps effective-tax rate.

Driver	EPS, USD	Delta vs base EPS	Interpretation
Base case	1.062	0.000	Reference case
China revenue CAGR minus 200 bps	1.040	(0.022)	China growth matters, but not enough alone to break the gate
China revenue CAGR plus 200 bps	1.086	0.024	Upside is useful but not the only driver
Hong Kong OPAT/revenue margin minus 200 bps	1.046	(0.016)	Hong Kong margin is important but the large base cushion absorbs this
Hong Kong OPAT/revenue margin plus 200 bps	1.078	0.016	Margin upside adds less than sustained growth and buybacks
Share count plus 5%	1.012	(0.051)	Buyback execution is one of the largest mechanical drivers
Share count minus 5%	1.118	0.056	Additional repurchases create visible EPS leverage
Embedded effective tax plus 300 bps	1.024	(0.038)	Tax and corporate-center drag matter because OPAT is after tax
Embedded effective tax minus 300 bps	1.100	0.038	Tax normalization adds cushion but is not required

The most important variables are share count and the corporate/tax drag embedded in OPAT. Segment growth matters, especially China and Hong Kong, but the model is diversified enough that a single 200 bps segment assumption change does not decide the gate. The downside trigger is a combined failure: slower segment compounding, weaker buybacks, and a corporate-center drag that stays closer to the bear case. The upside trigger is continued Q1-like VONB momentum converting into OPAT while AIA retires stock at a pace near or above US$2.0 billion per year.

11. Combined Earnings Summary

The probability weights are bear 25%, base 50%, and bull 25%. This is not a uniform 33/33/34 split. It reflects a real but heterogeneous moat, strong management and capital allocation, solid Q1 2026 new-business momentum, and meaningful China/Hong Kong, medical-cost, distribution, and disclosure risks that prevent an overconfident bull tilt.

Case	Revenue ($B)	OPAT ($B)	OPAT margin (%)	NI for P/E gate ($B)	EPS ($)	Probability	EPS x 15 in HKD	vs. HK$82.90
Bear	24.949	7.238	29.0%	7.238	0.752	25%	88.00	6.2%
Base	29.764	10.222	34.3%	10.222	1.062	50%	124.28	49.9%
Bull	33.186	12.290	37.0%	12.290	1.277	25%	149.42	80.2%
Probability-weighted EPS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.038	100%	121.50	46.6%

The base case clears the P/E 15 hurdle by a wide margin, and the probability-weighted EPS is close to the base case because the upside and downside are broadly symmetric around a 50% base weight. The synthesis verdict is therefore not that AIA is cheap under any conceivable scenario. It is more precise: using reviewed segment appendices, a conservative corporate-center charge, an explicit buyback path, and the required HKD/USD conversion, the base operating EPS at 2030 is materially above the US$0.709 required for P/E 15 at today's price.

Tie-Out Checks

- **Reported-financial tie-out, TTM and latest completed FY: PASS.** TTM equals FY2025. Segment insurance revenue sums to US$21.618 billion, matching consolidated FY2025 insurance revenue. Segment OPAT after Group Corporate Centre sums to US$7.136 billion, matching QF/QFR. ANP and TWPI also tie exactly to QFR arithmetic.

- **NI / shares = EPS: PASS.** Bear US$7.238 billion divided by 9.623 billion shares equals US$0.752. Base US$10.222 billion divided by 9.623 billion shares equals US$1.062. Bull US$12.290 billion divided by 9.623 billion shares equals US$1.277. Differences are rounding only.

- **Segment sum tie-out at horizon: PASS.** Combined revenue equals the sum of six segment revenue forecasts in each case. Bear is US$24.949 billion, base is US$29.764 billion, and bull is US$33.186 billion. Corporate revenue eliminations are zero because Group Corporate Centre has no segment insurance revenue.

- **Product-to-segment rollup: not applicable but controlled.** AIA does not disclose product revenue dollars, and FMP product segmentation returned empty arrays in QF and QFR. Segment appendices intentionally use one-line segment rollups and KPI checks; no product revenue was invented.

- **Weighted margin check: not applicable but controlled.** Product-level revenue and product-level OPAT margins are not disclosed. Segment appendices either use aggregate OPAT/revenue or OPAT/TWPI margins. The synthesis verifies OPAT dollars directly against each segment rollup.

- **Share count path: PASS.** Starting shares of 10,411.5 million less 868.3 million repurchased shares plus 80.0 million issuance shares equals ending shares of 9,623.2 million over exactly five years.

- **Growth plausibility: PASS with China exception justified.** Most base revenue CAGRs are within the disclosed historical range. China base insurance-revenue CAGR of 6.75% exceeds 1.2 times its FY2022-FY2025 IFRS insurance-revenue CAGR, but the China appendix explicitly justifies this with stronger TWPI growth, Q1 2026 VONB growth of 26%, protection-product VONB growth, and new-geography scaling while keeping the segment-wide model far below the 40% new-geography ambition.

- **Historical arithmetic: PASS.** Segment forward revenue arithmetic was checked from FY2025 to FY2030 over exactly five years. Base CAGRs are China 6.75%, Hong Kong 7.50%, Thailand 7.51%, Singapore 5.50%, Malaysia 9.00%, and Other Markets 4.50%, matching the reviewed horizon revenue outputs within rounding.

- **Recency anchor: not applicable but controlled.** AIA has no full Q1 2026 consolidated IFRS income statement or segment OPAT statement to annualize. Q1 2026 VONB, ANP, TWPI, and margin are used as post-anchor evidence only. The model does not start above a disclosed quarterly earnings run-rate because no such run-rate exists.

- **Currency and P/E gate arithmetic: PASS.** Required USD EPS equals HK$82.90 divided by 7.80 divided by 15, or US$0.709. Base EPS of US$1.062 equals HK$8.285; HK$8.285 times 15 equals HK$124.28; cushion is 49.9%.

- **Case summary and probability arithmetic: PASS.** Bear/base/bull EPS values tie to the section 11 table, and probability-weighted EPS equals 25% times US$0.752 plus 50% times US$1.062 plus 25% times US$1.277, or US$1.038.

Markdown tables verified for sections 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 11.

Appendix CS - Compounder Score

AIA Group Limited - Compounder Score

PATH_RECEIVED: C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_compounder_score.md

Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore. Company: AIA Group Limited. Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299. Reporting currency is US dollars unless noted; share price is HKD. Current price anchor is HK$82.90. TTM end is 2025-12-31. Horizon date is 2030-12-31.

1. Executive Verdict

The evidence supports a good insurer-native compounder, not a perfect dream-business score and not a current-price 20% annualized return setup. AIA's operating model has clear strengths: trusted advice-led distribution, high VONB margins, improving operating ROE and ROEV, visible free-surplus generation, a strong shareholder capital ratio, and management that allocates capital through profitable new business, dividends, and buybacks. The score is capped because the moat appendix is YELLOW, segment EV/free-surplus disclosures are incomplete, industrial ROIC and FCF metrics do not map cleanly to an insurer, and the current valuation does not underwrite a 20% annualized return without a much more aggressive exit multiple.

The resulting Compounder Score is 73/100. The Business Quality Score is 64/80. The 20% Return Underwriting Score is 9/20. The score-implied classification is Buy only at lower price. This is not a rejection of the company. It is a distinction between "AIA can clear a conservative P/E-15 gate" and "AIA can compound the stock at 20% annually from HK$82.90." The former passes: the synthesis base case gives FY2030 EPS of US$1.062, or HK$8.285, and a P/E-15 support price of HK$124.28, a 49.9% cushion to HK$82.90. The latter does not pass: adding a reasonable dividend stream to the P/E-15 base case gives about a 10.4% five-year annualized shareholder return.

The main positive evidence is insurer-specific return quality. FY2025 operating ROE was 15.5%, operating ROEV was 15.8%, VONB was US$5.516 billion, VONB margin was 58.5%, underlying free surplus generation was US$6.765 billion, net FSG was US$4.451 billion, and shareholder capital ratio was 221%. The main negative evidence is that the 20% case requires price sensitivity. At HK$82.90, a five-year 20% CAGR requires total value of about HK$206 per share by FY2030. In the base P/E-15 case, ending value plus cumulative dividends is about HK$135.8. To reach 20% from the current price on the base EPS path would require an exit multiple near 23.5x FY2030 operating EPS after dividends, or a much lower entry price near HK$54.6 if the exit multiple is held at 15x.

2. Data Source Map

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€" NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

I did not need a new live fetch for this CS appendix because the verified ER2 file set already contains live-pulled, reconciled QF/QFR, data package, segment, management, moat, and synthesis outputs. No warehouse cache was substituted.

Evidence area	Source used	Control conclusion
Price, market cap, shares	`quant_foundation.md`, FMP quote pull preserved there	HK$82.90, HK$863.115B market cap, 10,411.5M implied current shares
Consolidated financials	`quant_foundation.md`, `data_package.md`	Official AIA/HKEX FY2025 controls; FMP revenue and cash-flow mapping have material insurer mismatches
Segment economics	Six `appendix_B_*.md` files plus synthesis	Use official segment insurance revenue, TWPI, OPAT, VONB, ANP, and VONB margin
Management and capital allocation	`appendix_management.md`, `data_package.md`	Management gate GREEN; capital framework uses net FSG, solvency, dividends, and buybacks
Moat and 2046 durability	`appendix_moat.md`	Moat gate YELLOW and 2046 test YELLOW; score must not read as a flawless franchise
Valuation and EPS path	`appendix_synthesis.md`	P/E-15 gate PASS; base cushion 49.9%, bear cushion 6.2%
Missing evidence	Segment appendices and data package	Segment-level EV, free surplus, product revenue, and country-level Other Markets detail are not fully disclosed

3. Key Financial and Quality Metrics

AIA is a regulated life, health, savings, retirement, and wealth-protection insurer. Standard industrial ROIC is not the controlling metric because the balance sheet is dominated by policyholder liabilities, financial investments, insurance contract liabilities, embedded value, and regulatory capital. The better return lens is operating ROE, operating ROEV, OPAT on allocated shareholder equity, VONB per unit of capital strain, free-surplus generation, capital adequacy, and per-share OPAT growth after capital returns.

Metric	Value	Period	Scoring interpretation
Current price	HK$82.90	2026-05-29 quote anchor	Valuation input
Market cap	HK$863.115B / about US$110.656B	2026-05-29 quote anchor at 7.80	Starting equity value
Approximate EV	about US$115.292B	Market cap plus official borrowings less cash	EV/OPAT about 16.2x
FY2025 OPAT	US$7.136B	TTM / FY2025	Primary operating earnings base
FY2025 OPAT per share	US$0.6765 / HK$5.277	FY2025	Current OPAT P/E about 15.7x
FY2025 insurance revenue	US$21.618B	FY2025	Official insurer revenue, not FMP mapped revenue
Operating ROE	15.5%	FY2025	Good regulated-insurer return quality
Operating ROEV	15.8%	FY2025	Good embedded-value compounding evidence
VONB	US$5.516B	FY2025	New-business value engine
VONB margin	58.5%	FY2025	Strong new-business economics
UFSG	US$6.765B	FY2025	In-force cash engine visible
Net FSG	US$4.451B	FY2025	Funds new business and capital returns

Metric	Value	Period	Scoring interpretation
Shareholder capital ratio	221%	2025-12-31	Strong capital adequacy
FY2025 capital returns	US$4.706B	FY2025	Dividends plus buybacks
Share count change	about -3.3% CAGR	FY2021 diluted shares to FY2025 diluted shares	Per-share compounding support

Cash conversion is real, but it must be described in insurer terms. Official FY2025 operating cash flow was US$6.970 billion versus OPAT of US$7.136 billion, or about 97.7%. UFSG was US$6.765 billion, or about 94.8% of OPAT. Net FSG after new-business investment was US$4.451 billion, or about 62.4% of OPAT. Those figures support the cash-conversion score. The score is not perfect because official cash flow and FMP cash-flow mapping differ materially, segment-level free surplus is not disclosed, and new-business strain means accounting earnings, free surplus, and embedded value must be reconciled rather than treated as the same thing.

4. Rubric Scorecard

Category	Score	Max	Rationale
Incremental returns on capital	18	25	Operating ROE/ROEV in the mid-teens, strong VONB/free-surplus evidence, and high OPAT on allocated equity in key segments support quality; missing segment EV/FSG and non-clean industrial ROIIC cap the score
Reinvestment runway	17	20	China, Hong Kong, ASEAN health/protection, India-linked Other Markets, agency productivity, and low private insurance penetration support runway; Other Markets opacity and China/Hong Kong policy risk prevent a near-perfect score
Durability of moat	12	15	Trusted brand, agency, licenses, scale, underwriting, and in-force economics are real; moat and 2046 gates are YELLOW because bank/platform bargaining, medical inflation, AI-enabled distribution, and policy risk are material
Accounting and financial quality	8	10	Official-source tie-outs are strong, FMP mismatches are disclosed, capital ratios are robust, and cash/free surplus support earnings; product revenue and segment EV gaps cap confidence
Capital allocation discipline	9	10	Management gate GREEN; net FSG payout policy, buybacks, dividends, selective M&A, and insurer-native incentives are strong; not an owner-operator and conduct/board-refresh monitoring remain
Price and 20% underwriting	9	20	P/E-15 gate passes, but base-case 20% IRR does not; bear return is thin and true 20% requires lower entry price or exit multiple expansion
Total	73	100	Strong quality, inadequate 20% return underwriting at HK$82.90

The evidence supports a strong business-quality score but not an "investable now under the 20% compounder rubric" score. The most important cap is not that AIA is weak. It is that the current stock price already capitalizes a meaningful portion of quality, and the 20% annualized target is demanding for a regulated insurer starting near 16x OPAT.

5. ROIIC and Reinvestment Analysis

For AIA, the industrial formula "incremental NOPAT divided by industrial reinvestment" is not clean. Capex is small relative to financial investments and insurance liabilities; acquisitions are not the main growth driver; and the capital consumed by new policies is better reflected through free surplus used to fund new business, embedded value movement, VONB, OPAT, and shareholder capital resources. Therefore I treat standard ROIC and ROIIC fields as insufficient evidence rather than forcing a

false precision score.

The insurer-adapted evidence is favorable. Operating ROE improved from 12.8% in FY2021 to 15.5% in FY2025. Operating ROEV improved from 12.9% in FY2023 to 15.8% in FY2025. VONB grew from US$3.09 billion in FY2022 to US$5.52 billion in FY2025. OPAT rose from US$6.41 billion in FY2021 to US$7.14 billion in FY2025 despite COVID aftermath, IFRS 17 transition, China macro pressure, rate changes, and medical inflation. FY2025 VONB of US$5.516 billion compared with free surplus used to fund new business of US$1.437 billion indicates attractive value creation per dollar of new-business capital strain, though that ratio is not identical to realized cash ROIIC.

Segment-level return evidence is strong in the flagship businesses. China FY2025 OPAT divided by allocated shareholder equity was about 21.2%. Hong Kong was about 26.7%. Thailand had high OPAT and a 110.9% FY2025 VONB margin, though the Thailand appendix warns that medical-product pull-forward flattered the year. Singapore was attractive on allocated-equity proxy but had margin compression. Malaysia was lower and affected by health reform. Other Markets was the weakest, with lower OPAT/TWPI margins and less country-level disclosure.

The score is capped because the required clean longitudinal denominator is missing. The data package and segment appendices repeatedly state that AIA does not disclose segment EV equity, segment EV operating profit, segment free surplus generation, or OPAT/EV by segment. That gap matters for a CompounderScorer pass. AIA probably earns good incremental returns where agency-led protection and health products dominate, but the evidence does not justify treating the whole company as a software-like high-ROIIC machine.

6. Runway Analysis

The runway is real. AIA operates across Mainland China, Hong Kong/Macau, Thailand, Singapore/Brunei, Malaysia, and Other Markets including Australia, Cambodia, India through Tata AIA exposure, Indonesia, Myanmar, New Zealand, the Philippines, South Korea, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, and Vietnam. The demand premise is attractive: rising Asian incomes, aging populations, medical-cost pressure, limited social welfare coverage, low private insurance penetration in multiple markets, wealth planning, retirement needs, and cross-border Hong Kong demand. Q1 2026 group VONB grew 13% at constant exchange rates, ANP grew 16%, and TWPI grew 13%, supporting the idea that FY2025 was not a dead-end peak.

The segment runway is heterogeneous. Hong Kong is the current profit jewel, with FY2025 OPAT of US$2.770 billion and VONB of US$2.256 billion. China is the most important long-duration option, with FY2025 OPAT of US$1.708 billion, VONB of US$1.240 billion, and new geographies that produced US$118 million of VONB, up 45%. Thailand is high-return but must normalize from an unusually strong health/product year. Singapore and Malaysia are good but mix-sensitive. Other Markets is strategically useful because of India, Vietnam, the Philippines, and broader regional exposure, but it is lower-return today and more opaque.

The synthesis base case compounds consolidated insurance revenue from US$21.618 billion to US$29.764 billion by FY2030, a 6.6% CAGR. That is plausible rather than heroic. The OPAT base case compounds from US$7.136 billion to US$10.222 billion, a 7.5% CAGR, helped by Hong Kong, China, Thailand, moderate margin improvement, and buybacks. This runway earns a high score, but not the maximum, because a material amount of future value still depends on management sustaining VONB margins, agency productivity, China geographic expansion, Hong Kong cross-border demand, and medical repricing discipline.

7. Moat Analysis

The moat is real but not uniform. AIA's most important advantages are trusted advice-led distribution, Premier Agency, local insurance licenses, capital strength, actuarial and underwriting capability, scale, brand, product manufacturing, in-force relationships, and long-duration customer promises. These advantages are especially strong in Hong Kong, China, and Thailand. Life and health insurance are not easy products for a new entrant to replicate because they require regulatory authorization, claims credibility, capital, underwriting, customer trust, distribution, and multi-decade service capability.

The moat appendix correctly holds the gate at YELLOW. AIA does not have a classic network effect. It has a distribution and reputation flywheel. Switching costs are meaningful but not absolute. Bank and platform partners can own customer relationships. AI may improve AIA's agency productivity, but it can also make simple-product discovery, comparison, and servicing cheaper for challengers. Medical inflation and health repricing can pressure customer affordability. China and Hong Kong policy risk can matter more than in a generic pan-Asian growth story. Other Markets dilutes the group moat because it is a broad portfolio with uneven profitability and associate-accounting complexity.

The 2046 test is also YELLOW. A credible 2046 AIA exists: a regulated pan-Asian life, health, retirement, and wealth-protection insurer with AI-augmented advisers, bank partnerships, a large in-force book, and free-surplus generation. The concern is that twenty years is long enough for bank/platform bargaining power, regulator intervention, medical cost inflation, direct/AI distribution, and China/Hong Kong policy shifts to reduce new-business margins. The equity can still be good in that world, but it is not a hands-off fortress.

8. Accounting and Capital Allocation Analysis

Accounting quality is good enough for the score but requires insurer-specific caution. QF/QFR reconciled FY2025 official insurance revenue, OPAT, VONB, ANP, TWPI, balance sheet, free surplus, and capital ratios. Segment insurance revenue sums to consolidated insurance revenue of US$21.618 billion. Segment OPAT after the Group Corporate Centre adjustment sums to FY2025 OPAT of US$7.136 billion. The data package explicitly flags FMP revenue and operating cash-flow mismatches, and official AIA/HKEX sources control downstream inputs. That is the right treatment.

The main accounting gap is disclosure granularity rather than a red flag. AIA does not disclose product-level revenue for health, protection, participating, unit-linked, pensions, employee benefits, agency, bancassurance, domestic Hong Kong, Mainland Chinese visitors, or Tata AIA. Q1 2026 is a new-business update, not a full quarterly IFRS financial statement. Other Markets includes Tata AIA in ANP and VONB but excludes it from TWPI and IFRS revenue. These facts reduce confidence and prevent false product-level precision.

Capital allocation is a strength. Management gate is GREEN. Lee Yuan Siong has been in-seat long enough to own the post-COVID strategy and results. The CFO and regional bench have deep life-insurance, actuarial, regulatory, and distribution experience. Incentives are tied to VONB, UFSG, OPAT, EV Equity, and relative TSR, which is better aligned than a revenue-only plan. FY2025 net FSG was US$4.451 billion, and AIA targets a payout amount equal to 75% of annual net FSG plus periodic excess-capital review. FY2025 capital returns were US$4.706 billion, consisting of US$2.427 billion of dividends and US$2.279 billion of buybacks. M&A is not the main story, which is positive for a compounding thesis.

9. 20% Return Underwriting

The 20% return test is the decisive weakness. The synthesis already proves that AIA clears the ER2 P/E-15 gate: base FY2030 operating EPS is US$1.062, or HK$8.285 at 7.80, and 15x that EPS supports HK$124.28 per share. The bear case also clears P/E-15 at HK$88.00, but with only a 6.2% cushion. That is a good value test for a quality insurer. It is not the same as a 20% annualized return test.

For the 20% model, I use the synthesis EPS path and hold the exit multiple at 15x, below the current OPAT P/E of about 15.7x. I add a simple dividend stream starting from the FY2025 dividend of HK$1.9308 per share. Dividend growth is 3% in bear, 6% in base, and 8% in bull. Buybacks are already embedded in the synthesis share-count path, which retires a net 788.3 million shares over five years. This avoids double-counting repurchases.

Case	FY2030 EPS HKD	Exit P/E	Terminal price	Cumulative dividends	Total value	Five-year IRR
Bear	5.867	15.0x	88.01	10.56	98.57	3.5%
Base	8.285	15.0x	124.28	11.54	135.82	10.4%
Bull	9.962	15.0x	149.43	12.23	161.66	14.3%

The reverse DCF is not generous. At HK$82.90, a 20% five-year CAGR requires total value of HK$206.28 by FY2030. In the base case, cumulative dividends are about HK$11.54, leaving a required terminal price of HK$194.74. Dividing that by base FY2030 EPS of HK$8.285 implies a required exit P/E of about 23.5x. Alternatively, if the exit multiple is held at 15x and the base dividend path is accepted, the maximum buy price for a 20% five-year return is about HK$54.58. This is why the classification is Buy only at lower price.

Underwriting item	Result	Interpretation
Current OPAT P/E	15.7x	Not extreme for quality, but not cheap enough for 20%
Base exit P/E	15.0x	Conservative versus current multiple
Base annualized return	10.4%	Good but far below 20%
Bull annualized return	14.3%	Still below 20% without higher multiple
Required terminal multiple for 20% base case	23.5x	Requires meaningful multiple expansion
Max buy price for 20% with 15x base exit	HK$54.58	Materially below HK$82.90

10. Hard Veto Checklist

Hard veto item	Status	Evidence
ROIIC below cost of capital with no path	Pass/Watch	Insurer return evidence is good, but clean segment ROIIC is insufficient
ROIC high only because invested capital is tiny	Pass	Industrial ROIC is not used; insurer-native metrics control
Growth requires persistent external financing	Pass	Net FSG, UFSG, and 221% shareholder capital ratio support self-funding
Share count rises materially	Pass	Share count has declined; buybacks are material
Large acquisitions without returns	Pass	M&A is modest; organic new business and capital returns dominate
FCF structurally lags earnings	Watch	Free surplus supports earnings, but FMP cash-flow mapping is not clean
Concentration, regulation, cyclicality, leverage impairment	Watch	China/Hong Kong policy, medical inflation, and regulation remain material
20% case requires terminal multiple expansion	Fail for current-price 20% test	Base 20% needs about 23.5x FY2030 EPS or HK$54.58 entry at 15x
Needs flawless execution to justify current price	Watch	P/E-15 does not need perfection, but 20% return does
Moat cannot be explained	Pass	Moat is clear but YELLOW, not flawless
Accounting/governance trust impaired	Pass/Watch	No gate-breaking issue; disclosure gaps and board refresh are watch items
Balance sheet could wipe out equity	Pass	Capital ratios are strong; insurer liability risks still need monitoring

11. Final Decision

Compounder Score note: 73/100; AIA is a good insurer-native compounder with strong free-surplus, VONB, ROE/ROEV, management, and capital-return evidence, but not a flawless dream business because moat durability is YELLOW and segment EV/free-surplus disclosure is incomplete.

Business Quality Score note: 64/80; quality is strong but capped by insurer ROIIC opacity, heterogeneous segment economics, Other Markets dilution, Singapore/Malaysia mix pressure, and China/Hong Kong regulatory and macro risk.

20% Return UW Score note: 9/20; the P/E-15 gate passes with a 49.9% base cushion, but a true 20% five-year return from HK$82.90 requires about a 23.5x FY2030 exit multiple on base EPS or an entry price near HK$54.6 at 15x.

Final classification: Buy only at lower price. The stock can be a reasonable quality-compounder candidate, but this diagnostic rubric demands a 20% annualized hurdle. At the current price, the evidence supports a more ordinary low-double-digit base IRR rather than a 20% return.

12. Machine-Readable JSON


```
{
  "company": "AIA Group Limited",
  "ticker": "1299.HK / HKEX 1299",
  "exchange": "HKEX",
  "analysis_date": "2026-05-29",
  "currency": "USD reporting; HKD share price",
  "current_price": 82.9,
  "market_cap": 110655.8,
  "enterprise_value": 115291.8,
  "compounder_score": 73,
  "business_quality_score": 64,
  "business_quality_score_max": 80,
  "return_underwriting_score": 9,
  "valuation_underwriting_score": 9,
  "valuation_underwriting_score_max": 20,
  "total_score": 73,
  "total_score_max": 100,
  "classification": "Buy only at lower price",
  "confidence": "Medium",
  "clears_20pct_hurdle": false,
  "base_case_irr": 0.104,
```

```
"bear_case_irr": 0.035,
"bull_case_irr": 0.143,
"max_buy_price_for_20pct_irr": 54.58,
"scorecard_notes": {
  "compounder_score": "73/100; good insurer-native compounder, capped by YELLOW moat/2046 durability and incomplete s
  "business_quality_score": "64/80; strong ROE/ROEV, VONB, free-surplus, management, and runway evidence, but not a p
  "twenty_percent_return_underwriting_score": "9/20; P/E-15 gate passes, but true 20% annualized return requires lowe
},
"category_scores": {
  "incremental_returns_on_capital": {"score": 18, "max": 25},
  "reinvestment_runway": {"score": 17, "max": 20},
  "durability_of_moat": {"score": 12, "max": 15},
  "accounting_and_financial_quality": {"score": 8, "max": 10},
  "capital_allocation": {"score": 9, "max": 10},
  "price_and_20pct_underwriting": {"score": 9, "max": 20}
},
"threshold_tests": {
  "total_score_gte_80": false,
  "business_quality_score_gte_65_of_80": false,
  "valuation_score_gte_15_of_20": false,
  "roiic_plus_runway_gte_35_of_45": true,
  "base_case_irr_gte_20": false,
  "bear_case_irr_gte_8_to_10": false,
  "no_hard_vetoes": false,
  "does_not_require_multiple_expansion": false
},
"key_metrics": {
  "adjusted_roic_5yr_median": null,
  "roiic_3yr": null,
  "roiic_5yr": null,
  "acquisition_inclusive_roiic_5yr": null,
  "reinvestment_rate_5yr_avg": null,
  "revenue_cagr_5yr": null,
  "official_insurance_revenue_cagr_fy2022_fy2025": 0.098,
  "nopat_cagr_5yr": 0.027,
  "fcf_cagr_5yr": null,
  "fcf_conversion_5yr": null,
  "ufsg_to_opat_latest": 0.948,
  "net_fsg_to_opat_latest": 0.624,
  "share_count_cagr_5yr": -0.033,
  "sbc_to_revenue_latest": null,
  "sbc_to_fcf_latest": null,
  "net_debt_to_ebitda": 0.57,
  "ev_to_nopat": 16.2,
  "fcf_yield": null,
  "opat_yield": 0.062,
  "dividend_yield": 0.023,
  "buyback_yield_latest": 0.021
},
"hard_vetoes": [
  "Current-price 20% return case requires terminal multiple expansion or materially lower entry price."
],
"key_reasons": [
  "FY2025 operating ROE of 15.5%, operating ROEV of 15.8%, VONB of US$5.516B, and VONB margin of 58.5% support good i
  "Management gate is GREEN, with capital returns tied to net FSG, strong solvency, dividends, and buybacks.",
  "Moat and 2046 gates are YELLOW, so the score is capped despite strong franchise evidence.",
  "Base FY2030 P/E-15 price support is HK$124.28, but base five-year IRR including dividends is only about 10.4%.",
  "Segment EV, segment free surplus, product revenue, and detailed Other Markets country economics are not fully disc
],
"top_positive_evidence": [
  "FY2025 OPAT US$7.136B and shareholder capital ratio 221%.",
  "Q1 2026 VONB grew 13% CER, with China up 26% and Hong Kong up 21%.",
  "FY2025 net FSG US$4.451B and capital returns US$4.706B.",
  "Synthesis base EPS HK$8.285 clears P/E-15 gate by 49.9%."
],
"top_negative_evidence": [
  "Base 20% IRR does not clear at HK$82.90; required base exit P/E is about 23.5x.",
  "Bear P/E-15 cushion is only 6.2%.",
  "Moat/2046 durability is YELLOW, not GREEN.",
  "AIA does not disclose segment-level EV/free surplus or product-level revenue dollars."
],
"data_gaps": [
  "Segment EV equity, EV operating profit, OPAT/EV, and segment free surplus are not separately disclosed.",
  "Product-level revenue is not disclosed for protection, health, savings, participating, unit-linked, pensions, agen
  "Q1 2026 is a new-business update only, not a full consolidated IFRS statement.",
```

```
    "Other Markets country-level revenue, OPAT, VONB, ANP, TWPI, and margin are not disclosed."
  ],
  "source_confidence": "Medium"
}
```

Appendix CSA - Scoring Audit

AIA Group Limited - Scoring Audit

PATH_RECEIVED: C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\appendix_scoring_audit.md

Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore. Company: AIA Group Limited. Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299. Current price anchor is HK$82.90. TTM end is 2025-12-31. Horizon date is 2030-12-31. Reporting currency is USD; share price currency is HKD. HKD/USD 7.80 is used where needed.

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

`C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.

- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).

- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

`data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

I did not perform a new live data pull for this scoring audit. The task was to audit the CompounderScorer output against the ER2 evidence base already written in the workdir. The files reviewed were `appendix_compounder_score.md`, `compounder_score.json`, `quant_foundation.md`, `data_package.md`, the six segment appendices, `appendix_management.md`, `appendix_moat.md`, and `appendix_synthesis.md`. Those files state that live FMP and official AIA/HKEX sources were used by the upstream ER2 agents, that FMP product segmentation returned empty arrays for 1299.HK, and that official AIA annual results, annual report, Q1 2026 new-business highlights, and investor-relations materials control the AIA historical base. No data warehouse file was substituted for the audit.

1. Original vs Audited Scores

The original CompounderScorer conclusion is directionally right: AIA is a good insurer-native compounder, but the current share price does not underwrite a 20% annualized five-year return. The audit reduces the diagnostic score because the

original score gives too much credit to return-quality and accounting categories despite missing clean segment EV, segment free-surplus generation, product revenue, multi-year free-surplus conversion, and standard ROIIC calculations. The reduction is not a rejection of the business. It is a scoring-discipline adjustment under the CSA rubric.

Item	Original	Audited	Notes
Business Quality Score / 80	64	61	Good insurer-native evidence, but clean ROIIC and segment EV/free-surplus gaps cap confidence
Valuation / 20% Return UW Score / 20	9	7	P/E-15 gate passes, but 20% return needs HK$54.58 entry or about 23.5x FY2030 base EPS exit
Total Score / 100	73	68	Still above average quality, but below dream-business and dream-investment thresholds
Classification	Buy only at lower price	Buy only at lower price	Current price fails the 20% hurdle

The original JSON and markdown agree on the headline score: Compounder Score 73/100, Business Quality 64/80, 20% Return Underwriting 9/20, classification "Buy only at lower price," base IRR 10.4%, bear IRR 3.5%, bull IRR 14.3%, and maximum buy price for 20% IRR of HK$54.58. I found no JSON/markdown contradiction that would invalidate the original score file.

2. Score Reductions and Reasons

The Business Quality reduction is from 64/80 to 61/80. The original score correctly avoids forcing an industrial ROIC framework onto a life insurer, but the CompounderScorer rubric still asks for calculated incremental returns, multi-year conversion, and acquisition-inclusive tests where relevant. AIA has strong insurer-native evidence: FY2025 OPAT of US$7.136 billion, operating ROE of 15.5%, operating ROEV of 15.8%, VONB of US$5.516 billion, VONB margin of 58.5%, UFSG of US$6.765 billion, net FSG of US$4.451 billion, and shareholder capital ratio of 221%. Those support a high score. They do not support a near-dream score because AIA does not disclose segment EV equity, segment EV operating profit, OPAT/EV, or segment free-surplus generation.

The first reduction is in return quality. Category 1 was originally 18/25. I would audit it to 16/25. The score should preserve most credit for operating ROE/ROEV, VONB, and free-surplus evidence, but it should not award full ROIIC-style credit when the clean denominator is absent. The China appendix estimates OPAT divided by allocated shareholder equity around 21.2%, and Hong Kong is stronger, but those are not the same as a longitudinal incremental-return calculation on embedded value or new-business capital. The original appendix itself has null fields for adjusted ROIC, ROIIC 3-year, ROIIC 5-year, acquisition-inclusive ROIIC, reinvestment rate, FCF CAGR, and FCF conversion. Insurer-native metrics are allowed for AIA, but missing segment EV/free-surplus data should still cap the score.

The second reduction is in moat durability. Category 3 was originally 12/15. I would audit it to 11/15. The moat appendix is explicit: Moat gate YELLOW and 2046 test YELLOW. AIA has real advantages in advice-led distribution, licenses, scale, underwriting, capital, brand, and in-force economics. But YELLOW means the score should not read like a fortress franchise. The threat list is not cosmetic: bank/platform bargaining power is high, medical inflation and health regulation are high, China/Hong Kong policy and macro risk are medium-high, and AI/direct distribution is a medium risk. AIA can still compound, but the CSA audit should not let a strong near-term VONB profile paper over a contested 20-year interface and margin risk.

The third reduction is in accounting and financial quality. Category 4 was originally 8/10. I would audit it to 7/10. The official tie-outs are strong, and QF/QFR handled FMP mismatches correctly. However, the source files repeatedly say product-level revenue is not disclosed, Q1 2026 is not a full consolidated financial statement, Other Markets country-level economics are not broken out, and the free-surplus bridge is mostly group-level. That is good enough for an investable research base, but not enough for a high-confidence product/segment return score.

The 20% Return Underwriting reduction is from 9/20 to 7/20. This is the most important scoring change. The original model does not confuse the P/E-15 ER2 gate with the 20% compounder test, but 9/20 is still generous when the base IRR is 10.4%, the bear IRR is 3.5%, the bull IRR is only 14.3%, and the true 20% case requires material terminal multiple expansion or a materially lower entry price. The P/E-15 gate passes with a 49.9% base cushion and a 6.2% bear cushion. That is not the same as passing 20% return underwriting.

3. Unsupported Claims

I did not find a major unsupported claim that overturns the CS conclusion. The original scorer is mostly careful. The unsupported or only partly supported areas are narrower:

- Clean ROIIC is not calculated. The report uses insurer-native return evidence, which is appropriate for AIA, but it cannot claim a fully evidenced ROIIC score.
- Segment-level EV, segment EV operating profit, OPAT/EV, and segment free-surplus generation are not disclosed. Claims about segment incremental returns should remain capped.
- Product-level revenue is not disclosed for protection, health, savings, participating, unit-linked, pensions, agency, bancassurance, Mainland Chinese visitors, domestic Hong Kong, or Tata AIA. Product-level runway claims must stay qualitative or VONB/ANP-based.
- Other Markets country-level revenue, OPAT, VONB, ANP, TWPI, and margin are not disclosed. Other Markets should not be scored as if India, Vietnam, Indonesia, the Philippines, and other countries are individually modeled.
- SBC burden is not cleanly disclosed in a U.S.-style format. The synthesis uses 16.0 million shares per year as an issuance proxy, which is reasonable and small, but it is still a proxy.

4. Calculation Errors

I did not identify a calculation error in the most important valuation math. The synthesis arithmetic ties. Base FY2030 OPAT is US$10.222 billion, ending diluted shares are 9.623 billion, EPS is US$1.062, and at 7.80 that is HK$8.285. Fifteen times HK$8.285 gives HK$124.28, which is 49.9% above HK$82.90. The bear case EPS of HK$5.867 supports HK$88.00 at 15x, or a 6.2% cushion. Those P/E-15 calculations pass.

The 20% return math also ties. A 20% five-year CAGR from HK$82.90 requires total value near HK$206.28 by FY2030. The base P/E-15 terminal price is HK$124.28 and cumulative dividends are about HK$11.54, for total value near HK$135.82 and a 10.4% annualized return. To reach 20% on the base EPS path, the required terminal price after dividends is roughly HK$194.74, and HK$194.74 divided by HK$8.285 of base EPS implies about 23.5x FY2030 operating EPS. If the exit multiple stays at 15x and the base dividend stream is accepted, HK$135.82 divided by 1.20^5 equals about HK$54.58. The original "true 20% requires entry near HK$54.6" statement is therefore verified.

The calculation weakness is not arithmetic; it is category interpretation. A passing P/E-15 cushion should not be allowed to create a passing 20% return score. AIA can look attractive under a conservative value gate and still fail a 20% compounder underwriting test.

5. Missing Data

The most important missing evidence is insurer-native capital-return granularity. The audit would be more confident if AIA disclosed segment EV equity, segment EV operating profit, segment free-surplus generation, OPAT/EV by segment, new-business capital strain by market, and a multi-year bridge from VONB to free surplus by geography. Without that, the scorer must lean on group ROE, group ROEV, group UFSG/net FSG, segment OPAT, VONB, ANP, TWPI, and allocated shareholder equity.

The second missing area is product/channel revenue. The data package states that FMP annual and quarterly product segmentation returned empty arrays and that AIA does not disclose product revenue dollars. That matters because the investment case rests heavily on health, protection, participating products, Premier Agency, partnerships, Hong Kong MCV, and China new geographies. The evidence is good enough to discuss those drivers, but not good enough to score product-level revenue compounding with precision.

The third missing area is Q1 2026 consolidated financials. Q1 2026 VONB was US$1.757 billion, up 13% at constant exchange rates; ANP was up 16%; TWPI was up 13%; China VONB was up 26%; and Hong Kong VONB was up 21%. That is useful momentum evidence. It is not a full income statement, cash-flow statement, balance sheet, or segment OPAT table. The audit preserves the FY2025 TTM base and treats Q1 2026 only as post-anchor new-business evidence.

6. Hard-Veto and Threshold Test Audit

Test	Audit status	Reason
P/E 15 ER2 gate	PASS	Base FY2030 EPS HK$8.285 supports HK$124.28 at 15x, 49.9% above HK$82.90

Test	Audit status	Reason
Bear P/E 15 cushion	PASS but thin	Bear support HK$88.00 is only 6.2% above current price
Base 20% IRR	FAIL	Base five-year IRR including dividends is about 10.4%
Bull 20% IRR	FAIL	Bull five-year IRR at 15x exit is about 14.3%
Multiple expansion dependence	FAIL for 20%	Base 20% requires about 23.5x FY2030 operating EPS
Maximum 20% buy price	Verified	HK$54.58 with 15x base exit and base dividend path
Moat gate	YELLOW	Real moat, but bank/platform, medical, AI/direct, and China/Hong Kong risks are material
Management gate	GREEN	Capital allocation, incentives, experience, and candor clear the management bar
Accounting trust	PASS with gaps	Official tie-outs are good; product and segment capital disclosures are incomplete

No hard veto rejects the ER2 P/E-15 gate. The hard veto applies to the diagnostic 20% return-underwriting score: current-price 20% annualized return requires terminal multiple expansion or a materially lower entry price.

7. Final 20% Hurdle Pass/Fail

Final 20% hurdle result: FAIL at HK$82.90.

This is not close. The base IRR is 10.4%, the bear IRR is 3.5%, and the bull IRR is 14.3% at a 15x exit multiple. AIA may still be a good quality-compounder candidate at the right price, and the synthesis P/E-15 gate is passed. But the 20% hurdle is a higher standard. It demands either a much cheaper starting price, materially faster EPS growth than the base/bull cases, higher sustained capital returns than modeled, or a terminal multiple materially above 15x.

The single biggest reason AIA does not qualify as a current-price 20% compounder is starting valuation. The business quality is good, not perfect. The price is fair-to-reasonable under the P/E-15 gate, not obviously distressed. That combination creates low-double-digit base return potential, not a 20% annualized setup.

8. Dream Business / Dream Investment Classification

Audited classification: neither dream business nor dream investment under the strict CompounderScorer rubric.

AIA is close to the "dream business" conversation in insurer-native terms, but it does not clear it. The reasons are the YELLOW moat/2046 tests, heterogeneous segment economics, incomplete segment EV/free-surplus disclosure, Other Markets opacity, and China/Hong Kong policy sensitivity. A business can be high quality without being a 20-year GREEN fortress.

AIA is not a dream investment at HK$82.90 because the 20% return underwriting fails. The P/E-15 gate passing means the stock can be reasonable, not that the current price embeds enough upside for a 20% compounder target. AIA would move closer to dream-investment territory only if the entry price approached HK$54.6 under the base case, or if evidence improved enough to support materially higher FY2030 EPS without relying on terminal multiple expansion.

9. Stage 7 Scorecard Notes

Compounder Score note: Audited 68/100; AIA is a good insurer-native compounder, but the score is capped by YELLOW moat/2046 durability, missing clean ROIIC and segment EV/free-surplus evidence, and a current price that fails 20% return underwriting.

Business Quality Score note: Audited 61/80; strong ROE/ROEV, VONB, UFSG/net FSG, management, solvency, and capital returns support quality, but product/channel revenue gaps and segment capital-opacity prevent a dream-business score.

20% Return UW Score note: Audited 7/20; the P/E-15 gate passes with a 49.9% base cushion, but base/bear/bull IRRs at 15x are only 10.4%, 3.5%, and 14.3%, so true 20% needs about HK$54.6 entry or a much higher exit multiple.

10. Auditor JSON


```
{
  "company": "AIA Group Limited",
```

```
  "ticker": "1299.HK / HKEX 1299",
  "analysis_date": "2026-05-29",
  "original_score": 73,
  "audited_score": 68,
  "original_business_quality_score": 64,
  "audited_business_quality_score": 61,
  "original_return_underwriting_score": 9,
  "audited_return_underwriting_score": 7,
  "audited_classification": "Buy only at lower price",
  "confidence": "Medium",
  "final_20pct_hurdle_pass": false
}
```

Appendix RT - Red Team

AIA Group Limited - Red Team

Prepared: 2026-05-29. Company: AIA Group Limited. Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299. TTM end: 2025-12-31. Horizon: 2030-12-31. Current price anchor: HK$82.90.

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule â€” OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

  `C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.
- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

  investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).
- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

  `data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure â€” NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

Red-team note: I did not use any data warehouse cache. This appendix reads the cleared ER2 workdir evidence, which itself documents live FMP and official-source pulls, and it cross-checks current public anchors from AIA, the Hong Kong Insurance Authority, and Prudential public update pages. No API key is exposed.

1. The Most Fragile Assumption

The most fragile assumption is not the group-level 6.6% insurance-revenue CAGR. It is the Hong Kong profit-quality assumption: AIA Hong Kong grows IFRS insurance revenue from US$5.318 billion in FY2025 to US$7.635 billion in FY2030, keeps OPAT/revenue at 52.2%, and lifts VONB margin from 68.5% to roughly 73.7%. This is the largest single profit pool in the synthesis. Base FY2030 Hong Kong OPAT is US$3.986 billion, or 39% of base group OPAT before the corporate-center drag.

The model's defense is that the base case already decelerates Hong Kong. That is true but not enough. The 2025 and Q1 2026 evidence is unusually strong: FY2025 VONB up 28%, Q1 2026 Hong Kong VONB up 21%, domestic and Mainland Chinese visitor demand both positive, and agency plus partnerships both contributing. The red-team problem is that this is

precisely the kind of evidence that can mark a cycle peak in a cross-border financial product. Hong Kong's economics rely on a high-margin mix of domestic wealth, MCV demand, agency productivity, broker/IFA flow, and long-duration savings/protection trust. If any two of those weaken together, AIA can still show positive insurance revenue while VONB and future CSM quality deteriorate.

The quantified break is material. Hong Kong base OPAT of US$3.986 billion versus bear OPAT of US$2.858 billion is a US$1.128 billion swing. On the synthesis share count of 9.623 billion, that is about US$0.117 per share, or roughly 11% of base FY2030 EPS of US$1.062. The P/E-15 gate would still pass if only this one item broke, but the cushion would stop looking wide once combined with slower buybacks, higher corporate drag, or a lower multiple.

Fragile line	Base assumption	Red-team stress	EPS impact
Hong Kong FY2030 OPAT	US$3.986B	Bear case US$2.858B	About -US$0.117
Hong Kong FY2030 VONB margin	73.7%	Reverts toward mid-60s	Hits future CSM and moat
Hong Kong revenue CAGR	7.5%	2.5%-4.0% normalization	Lowers scale leverage

2. The Most Likely Optimistic Stage 5 Number

The most likely optimistic Stage 5 number is the FY2030 diluted share count of 9.623 billion. The synthesis assumes US$2.0 billion of annual buybacks for five years, an average repurchase price that rises only 3% annually, and 16 million shares of annual issuance. The path is not absurd: FY2025 buybacks were around US$2.279 billion, Q1 2026 disclosed roughly US$614 million equivalent purchased through 2026-04-29, and management has a 75% of net free surplus generation capital-return framework.

But "recently done" is not the same as "underwritable through a bear cycle." AIA's buyback capacity depends on net free surplus generation, shareholder capital ratio staying comfortably above 200%, market price, and management's willingness to prioritize repurchases over growth capital and capital resilience. The synthesis itself says a 5% higher share count cuts base EPS from US$1.062 to US$1.012. That still passes P/E 15, but it reduces the P/E support by about HK$5.85 per share at 15x. More importantly, the buyback path is correlated with the operating bear case. If Hong Kong/China VONB weakens or medical repricing consumes more capital and servicing effort, the same forces that reduce OPAT also reduce the discretionary capital available for buybacks.

The red-team correction is not "zero buybacks." It is that the base should not get full credit for both resilient operating compounding and uninterrupted US$2.0 billion repurchases unless net FSG and solvency evidence keep printing. AIA is a capital-regulated insurer, not an asset-light software company buying stock out of excess gross margin.

3. Strongest Bear Case

A well-informed bear would say this: AIA is a high-quality insurer whose current earnings power is being over-capitalized because investors are extrapolating exceptional Hong Kong/China VONB and a Thailand medical pull-forward into a 15x FY2030 operating EPS exit. The business will not collapse; the in-force book is sticky and management is credible. The equity problem is subtler: new-business value growth normalizes, margins compress where banks/brokers/platforms own the customer interface, medical inflation eats the Thailand/Malaysia health economics, and buybacks slow when surplus capital is needed most.

The mechanism shows up first in VONB and margins, not statutory revenue. Hong Kong and China can still report positive insurance revenue from the in-force base while MCV, broker/IFA, or savings-product economics deteriorate. Thailand can still report high ANP while VONB margin falls from 110.9% toward the 70s or 80s after co-payment normalization and claims inflation. AIA can still be a good company, but the stock will no longer deserve a 15x terminal multiple if the market concludes the moat is a YELLOW regulated-distribution franchise rather than a GREEN compounding fortress.

4. Cross-Examination of Management Commentary

Management is GREEN, but a GREEN management team can still overestimate durability. Three statements deserve adversarial treatment.

Commentary anchor	Skeptical reading	First line to watch
Group OPAT target: "meet or exceed our 2026 target"	This is near-term per-share language, helped by buybacks and in-force emergence; it does not prove FY2030 segment-level OPAT compounding.	OPAT per share versus absolute OPAT and net FSG

Commentary anchor	Skeptical reading	First line to watch
China new geographies: "confidence in meeting our 2030 ambition"	The ambition applies to new geographies that were only US$118 million of FY2025 VONB, not all China.	New-geography VONB and China VONB margin
Hong Kong: "well positioned for continued growth"	True at the point of quote, but Hong Kong's 2025 market backdrop was extremely favorable and MCV/broker economics are cyclical.	Hong Kong VONB growth and channel mix
Capital: "comfortably above 200%"	This is a solvency comfort zone, not an unconditional buyback promise.	Shareholder capital ratio and net FSG payout

The China ambition is the easiest place for a bullish narrative to become sloppy. The base model correctly avoids applying the 40% new-geography ambition to all of China, but even the base still assumes new-geography VONB grows 35% annually from US$118 million to US$529 million. That may happen. It is also a small-base, execution-heavy, license-and-recruiting story in a macro environment where national life-premium growth is healthy but not explosive. If the new regions underperform, the China base-case VONB bridge loses much of its acceleration.

The Hong Kong statement also needs a colder ear. The Hong Kong Insurance Authority reported a 50.6% increase in 2025 long-term new office premiums excluding retirement scheme business, with linked and non-linked individual lines both strong. That supports AIA's recent momentum, but it also proves that the industry backdrop was unusually hot. AIA's moat is better than the industry average, yet it is still operating inside that industry cycle.

5. Stage 5 Tie-Out Reverification

The Stage 5 arithmetic ties, but the recency anchor is less decisive than it looks. Q1 2026 was a new-business update, not a full IFRS income statement, cash-flow statement, balance sheet, or segment OPAT disclosure. Group VONB grew 13% at constant exchange rates, ANP grew 16%, and TWPI grew 13%. China VONB grew 26%; Hong Kong grew 21%; Thailand fell 18% versus an exceptional Q1 2025, though still 39% above Q1 2024. This is constructive evidence. It is not proof that FY2030 OPAT follows the base path.

The most important model risk is that VONB strength and OPAT recognition can decouple. VONB is a present-value new-business measure. OPAT is realized operating profit from the in-force book and current-period economics. The synthesis uses VONB as momentum evidence and OPAT as the earnings bridge, which is correct. The red-team objection is that management and the appendices frequently have only VONB or ANP by channel/product, not product revenue, segment free surplus, segment EV equity, or quarterly segment OPAT. That means the model can see the top of the funnel earlier than it can see the cash conversion and capital strain.

The 15x multiple is also not a neutral assumption. At base FY2030 EPS of HK$8.285, 15x supports HK$124.28 before dividends. At 12x, the same EPS supports only HK$99.42. If the market assigns AIA a lower multiple because the moat remains YELLOW, China/Hong Kong policy risk stays live, and the 20% return test fails, the P/E-15 pass becomes a value-support argument, not a compounder-buy argument. The audited score is therefore right to separate "passes P/E 15" from "underwrites 20%."

6. Score-Derived 20% Return Claim Most Likely To Be Wrong

The audited score already says the 20% return case does not clear: 68/100 overall, Business Quality 61/80, and only 7/20 for 20% return underwriting. Base/bear/bull IRRs at a 15x exit are about 10.4%, 3.5%, and 14.3%, and the base 20% case needs roughly a 23.5x FY2030 exit multiple or a HK$54.58 entry price.

Red team agrees. The claim most likely to become wrong in final framing is any phrasing that treats the 49.9% P/E-15 cushion as a strong buy signal. It is not. It is a hurdle pass under a 15x operating EPS support test. AIA at HK$82.90 is much closer to a reasonable-quality WATCH than a 20% compounder BUY. The break point is valuation, not arithmetic.

Claim	Red-team verdict	Why it matters
P/E-15 gate passes	True	Base EPS supports HK$124.28 at 15x
Current price underwrites 20%	False	Needs HK$54.58 entry or 23.5x exit
15x is conservative	Debatable	YELLOW moat and regulated financial risk argue for caution
Buybacks secure per-share growth	Conditional	Depends on net FSG and capital ratio

7. The Signal That Forces An Exit

Exit signal: sell if FY2026 Hong Kong VONB growth is below 5% at constant exchange rates and Hong Kong VONB margin falls below 65%, or if two consecutive quarterly new-business updates show Hong Kong VONB growth below 5% with commentary pointing to weaker MCV, broker/IFA, or domestic wealth demand.

This is the cleanest kill signal because Hong Kong is the current profit and VONB engine. AIA can survive a Thailand normalization or a Malaysia health disruption. It can absorb slower Other Markets. It cannot preserve the base-case investment narrative if Hong Kong stops compounding while its high-margin mix deteriorates. The signal is deliberately about VONB and margin rather than IFRS revenue because revenue will lag the deterioration. If Hong Kong VONB and margin break, future CSM formation, OPAT growth, and the 15x multiple case all weaken at once.

8. The Signal That Upgrades The Setup

Upgrade signal: AIA becomes actionable if the entry price approaches HK$55 while group VONB is still growing at least 10% at constant exchange rates, group VONB margin remains above 55%, and the shareholder capital ratio remains above 200%. This is intentionally valuation-first because the audited 20% hurdle problem is valuation-first. An operating upgrade alone is not enough unless it raises FY2030 EPS materially above the current base or proves that a 15x exit is too low.

The alternative upgrade would be evidence that FY2030 base EPS should be closer to the current bull case without raising the terminal multiple: sustained double-digit VONB growth in both Hong Kong and China, Thailand VONB margin staying above 90% after the co-payment reset, and buybacks continuing near US$2.0 billion while net FSG grows. Until that happens, a lower entry price is the more disciplined upgrade trigger.

9. Conviction At The End Of Red Team

Thesis conclusion: conditional, leaning WATCH/PASS rather than BUY. The business survives the red team; the current-price investment case does not clear the 20% standard. Management is strong, capital discipline is real, and AIA's agency-led franchise is valuable. But the strongest parts of the thesis are also the most exposed to normalization: Hong Kong/China VONB durability, Thailand medical economics, buyback persistence, and a 15x exit multiple despite a YELLOW moat/2046 test.

The final synthesis should not be rewritten around "AIA is broken." It should be rewritten around "AIA is good but the price and durability evidence are not good enough for a 20% Gideon buy." At HK$82.90, the right posture is to monitor the Hong Kong/China VONB engine, Thailand margin reset, net FSG and buybacks, and the terminal multiple investors are actually willing to pay for a pan-Asian life insurer with real but contested 20-year moat durability.

Sources Checked For Red-Team Context

Source	Use
`appendix_synthesis.md`	Base FY2030 EPS, P/E-15 gate, share-count path, sensitivities
`appendix_scoring_audit.md` and `compounder_score_audit.json`	Audited score and 20% return failure
`appendix_B_aia_hong_kong.md`, `appendix_B_aia_china.md`, `appendix_B_aia_thailand.md`	Segment rollups and bear/base/bull assumptions
`appendix_management.md` and `appendix_moat.md`	Management GREEN, moat/2046 YELLOW, capital and durability framing
AIA Q1 2026 new-business release	Recency check for group, China, Hong Kong, Thailand VONB
Hong Kong Insurance Authority 2025 provisional statistics	Industry-cycle check for Hong Kong long-term new office premiums
Prudential Q1 2026 public update	Peer context showing broad Asia new-business momentum rather than AIA-only magic

Appendix D - Data Package (raw historicals)

AIA Group Limited - Data Package

PATH_RECEIVED: C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\data_package.md

Company: AIA Group Limited Ticker: 1299.HK / HKEX 1299; ADR AAGIY secondary only Prepared: 2026-05-29, Asia/Singapore Currency: US$ millions unless otherwise stated Primary raw folder: `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\GPT\ER 2.0\AIA Group\raw\subagent_D_20260529`

Data Source Rule - included verbatim

Data Source Rule — OVERRIDES workspace default

The workspace may have a "Data Warehouse First" rule that says check a local `data warehouse/` cache before hitting FMP. **For the ER2 skill this rule is suspended.** Every Wave 1 subagent (D, A) and every Wave 2 subagent (B, M, W) that needs historicals, segment data, or transcript quotes must:

- Fetch live from FMP's `/stable/` endpoints. In Codex, use

  `C:\Users\Admin\.codex\skills\fmp-financial-data\scripts\fmp_request.py`, which loads the key from `FMP_API_KEY` or its local `.secret.env`.
- Fetch live from the company's IR website (press releases, transcripts,

  investor day decks) and SEC EDGAR (10-K, 10-Q, 8-K).
- Never substitute a `data warehouse/transcripts/{SYMBOL}/...`,

  `data warehouse/financials/...`, `data warehouse/segments/...`, or any other cached file for a live fetch.

Why this rule exists

An earlier MSFT run hit a stale-anchor failure where a cached transcript named `MSFT_2025_Q2.md` (the Jan-2025 Q2-FY25 call, per FMP's fiscal-quarter naming convention) was consumed by Sub-Agent D as if it were the Jan-2026 Q2-FY26 call. The data package was off by a full fiscal year, and DR didn't catch it because DR's spot checks cross-referenced the same cache. The fix is structural: ER2 lives on live fetches only.

Who enforces it

The orchestrator must include this rule verbatim in every subagent dispatch block (Stage 3 and Stage 4 in `SKILL.md`) so no subagent silently reverts to cache reads from the workspace default. If a live fetch fails within the available runtime's web-fetch guards, the correct behaviour is to retry the identical call once, then flag the failure — NOT to fall back to the cache, NOT to substitute a different endpoint. In Codex, if the retry fails because of sandbox network blocking, rerun the same FMP helper command once with escalated network permission as described in `codex_runtime.md`.

0. Live Source Inventory

All data in this package was fetched in this run. Existing QF/QFR files were read for run alignment, but no warehouse cache was used as a substitute for fresh pulls. Newly pulled FMP JSON files were mirrored, without overwriting, to `C:\Users\Admin\OneDrive\Cowork\Investing\data warehouse\AIA_20260529_SubagentD_live_pulls`.

Source	Live URL or endpoint	D raw file	Use
FMP income statement annual	`/stable/income-statement?symbol=1299.HK&period=annual`	`fmp_income_statement_annual_1299_HK.json`	FMP historical check and discrepancy log
FMP balance sheet annual	`/stable/balance-sheet-statement?symbol=1299.HK&period=annual`	`fmp_balance_sheet_annual_1299_HK.json`	Balance sheet check

Source	Live URL or endpoint	D raw file	Use
FMP cash flow annual	`/stable/cash-flow-statement?symbol=1299.HK&period=annual`	`fmp_cash_flow_annual_1299_HK.json`	Capex, FCF, buybacks, dividends check
FMP product segmentation annual	`/stable/revenue-product-segmentation?symbol=1299.HK&period=annual`	`fmp_revenue_product_segmentation_annual_1299_HK.json`	Returned empty array
FMP product segmentation quarterly	`/stable/revenue-product-segmentation?symbol=1299.HK&period=quarter`	`fmp_revenue_product_segmentation_quarter_1299_HK.json`	Returned empty array
FMP 1H2025 transcript	`/stable/earning-call-transcript?symbol=1299.HK&year=2025&quarter=2`	`fmp_transcript_1299_HK_2025_Q2.json`	Date check: 2025-08-21
FMP FY2025 transcript	`/stable/earning-call-transcript?symbol=1299.HK&year=2025&quarter=4`	`fmp_transcript_1299_HK_2025_Q4.json`	Returned empty array
AIA results page	https://www.aia.com/en/investor-relations/overview/results-presentations	`aia_results_presentations_page.html`	Official link source
AIA FY2025 annual report	AIA official FY2025 Annual Report PDF	`aia_2025_annual_report.pdf`	Controlling FY2025 annual source
AIA FY2025 annual results announcement	AIA official FY2025 Annual Results Announcement PDF	`aia_2025_annual_results_announcement.pdf`	FY2025 OPAT, VONB, capital
AIA FY2025 transcript	AIA official FY2025 transcript with Q&A PDF	`aia_2025_annual_results_transcript.pdf`	Management commentary
AIA Q1 2026 new business highlights	AIA official Q1 2026 highlights PDF	`aia_2026_q1_new_business_highlights.pdf`	Latest operating update
AIA 2021-2024 annual reports	AIA official reports page links	`aia_2021_annual_report.pdf` to `aia_2024_annual_report.pdf`	Five-year segment history

SEC/EDGAR status: AIA is a Hong Kong incorporated and HKEX-listed issuer with Level 1 OTC ADRs. The official annual report identifies AAGIY as Level 1 OTC ADR only. No controlling SEC 10-K, 10-Q, or 8-K source was found in the live search path for this run. AIA/HKEX official materials therefore control.

1. Reporting Structure and Taxonomy

AIA's reportable segments are geographical markets. This is an insurer-specific point: the segments are not product lines, and AIA does not disclose product-level insurance revenue in a way that maps to industrial product revenue. All operating segments other than Group Corporate Centre write life, accident and health insurance, savings plans, and related investment or financial services in their local markets.

Current reportable segment	Scope	FY2025 insurance revenue	% of FY2025 total insurance revenue	FY2025 OPAT attributable to shareholders	Source
AIA China / Mainland China	Life, accident and health, savings and related financial services in Mainland China	3,460	16.0%	1,708	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7
AIA Hong Kong	Hong Kong and Macau operations	5,318	24.6%	2,770	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7
AIA Thailand	Thailand operations	2,811	13.0%	1,210	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7
AIA Singapore	Singapore and Brunei operations	2,833	13.1%	721	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7
AIA Malaysia	Malaysia operations	2,139	9.9%	389	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7
Other Markets	Australia, Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Myanmar, New Zealand, Philippines, South Korea, Sri Lanka, Taiwan (China), Vietnam	5,057	23.4%	627	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7
Group Corporate Centre / eliminations	Corporate functions, shared services, intra-group eliminations, central finance costs and tax	n/a	n/a	(289)	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7
Total	Segment insurance revenue total	21,618	100.0%	7,136	AIA Annual Report 2025 Note 7

Taxonomy conclusion: AIA's segment names and geographical structure were stable across FY2021-FY2025, but financial statement taxonomy was not stable across the full five-year window. FY2023 introduced IFRS 17 reporting, and AIA's 2023 Annual Report provides restated FY2022 segment insurance revenue and OPAT in the IFRS 17 presentation. FY2021 is old IFRS 4 taxonomy, where the analogous top-line measure is TWPI plus net premiums, fee income and other operating revenue, not IFRS 17 insurance revenue. AIA also enhanced its 2024 segment information presentation with no impact on OPAT or net profit.

2. Consolidated Historicals - 5 Years

The table below separates FMP-mapped industrial-style fields from the official insurer fields. For an AIA model, OPAT, VONB, embedded value, free surplus generation, and capital ratios are more meaningful than industrial revenue, EBIT, ROIC, and FCF. FMP values are shown because the ER2 data protocol requires a live FMP pull; official values control valuation inputs.

Metric	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
FMP revenue, mapped ($B)	46.90	(24.96)	20.03	20.36	32.58	FMP income statement annual, live pull
Official insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	16.32	17.51	19.31	21.62	AIA AR 2023 restated FY2022; AIA AR 2025 Note 8
FMP operating income / income before tax ($B)	8.47	4.05	4.56	7.83	7.48	FMP income statement annual
Official OPAT attributable to shareholders ($B)	6.41	6.37	6.21	6.61	7.14	AIA annual reports segment notes
FMP PBT / revenue margin (%)	18.1%	n/m	22.8%	38.5%	23.0%	Calc from FMP income before tax divided by FMP revenue; not controlling because FMP revenue mapping is distorted
FMP net income ($B)	7.43	3.33	3.76	6.84	6.24	FMP income statement annual
EPS diluted (US$)	0.61	0.28	0.33	0.62	0.59	FMP income statement annual
Diluted share count (M)	12,087	11,938	11,528	11,073	10,564	FMP income statement annual
Capex ($B)	0.64	0.39	0.33	0.24	0.19	FMP cash flow annual, absolute value
FCF ($B)	3.27	9.48	10.59	3.03	5.14	FMP cash flow annual
FMP ROIC (%)	2.3%	1.4%	1.4%	6.3%	1.8%	FMP key metrics annual; not insurer-controlling
Official operating ROE (%)	12.8%	13.2%	13.5%	14.8%	15.5%	AIA annual reports
Official operating ROEV (%)	n/a	n/a	12.9%	14.9%	15.8%	AIA annual reports; FY2023 onward comparable
VONB ($B)	n/a	3.09	4.03	4.71	5.52	AIA EV supplement; FY2022-FY2025 comparable basis
Underlying free surplus generation ($B)	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.33	6.77	AIA FY2025 annual results
Net free surplus generation ($B)	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.02	4.45	AIA FY2025 annual results

ROIC calculation note: the industrial ROIC row is FMP's key-metrics field and is not used as a gate metric for AIA. If forced, NOPAT would be FMP operating income times 1 minus reported tax rate, divided by invested capital. For FY2025, FMP operating income was US$7.482B and FMP tax was US$1.206B on US$7.482B pre-tax income, implying about 16.1% tax and NOPAT of about US$6.28B. The invested-capital denominator for an insurer balance sheet dominated by policyholder liabilities and financial investments is not economically comparable to an industrial company. Downstream agents should use operating ROE, operating ROEV, free surplus generation, shareholder capital ratio, and embedded value instead.

3. Segment Historicals - Five-Year Operating Base

For FY2021-FY2022, TWPI and OPAT are the cleanest five-year segment measures. IFRS 17 insurance revenue is available on a comparable basis from FY2022 onward; FY2021 insurance revenue is not restated in the same presentation in the

sources pulled in this run.

AIA China / Mainland China	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
TWPI ($B)	7.00	7.59	8.59	9.87	11.27	AIA annual reports Note 6/7/8
Insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	3.09	3.12	3.25	3.46	AIA AR 2023/2025 segment note
OPAT attributable ($B)	1.37	1.43	1.55	1.60	1.71	AIA annual reports segment note
Operating margin on TWPI (%)	19.6%	18.8%	18.0%	16.2%	15.2%	AIA annual reports

AIA Hong Kong	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
TWPI ($B)	11.90	11.24	11.55	12.46	14.73	AIA annual reports Note 6/7/8
Insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	3.43	3.82	4.55	5.32	AIA AR 2023/2025 segment note
OPAT attributable ($B)	2.14	2.23	2.18	2.50	2.77	AIA annual reports segment note
Operating margin on TWPI (%)	18.1%	19.9%	18.9%	20.1%	18.8%	AIA annual reports

AIA Thailand	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
TWPI ($B)	4.43	4.17	4.43	4.67	5.34	AIA annual reports Note 6/7/8
Insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	1.98	2.26	2.40	2.81	AIA AR 2023/2025 segment note
OPAT attributable ($B)	0.96	0.78	0.95	1.02	1.21	AIA annual reports segment note
Operating margin on TWPI (%)	21.7%	18.8%	21.5%	21.8%	22.7%	AIA annual reports

AIA Singapore	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
TWPI ($B)	3.43	3.58	3.91	4.45	5.26	AIA annual reports Note 6/7/8
Insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	1.95	2.20	2.47	2.83	AIA AR 2023/2025 segment note
OPAT attributable ($B)	0.72	0.74	0.67	0.67	0.72	AIA annual reports segment note
Operating margin on TWPI (%)	21.1%	20.7%	17.1%	15.1%	13.7%	AIA annual reports

AIA Malaysia	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
TWPI ($B)	2.48	2.46	2.57	2.74	3.07	AIA annual reports Note 6/7/8
Insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	1.53	1.57	1.83	2.14	AIA AR 2023/2025 segment note
OPAT attributable ($B)	0.39	0.39	0.29	0.33	0.39	AIA annual reports segment note
Operating margin on TWPI (%)	16.3%	16.5%	11.9%	12.4%	13.1%	AIA annual reports

Other Markets	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
TWPI ($B)	7.62	7.14	6.89	7.21	7.23	AIA annual reports Note 6/7/8
Insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	4.35	4.54	4.82	5.06	AIA AR 2023/2025 segment note
OPAT attributable ($B)	0.78	0.80	0.56	0.51	0.63	AIA annual reports segment note
Operating margin on TWPI (%)	10.6%	11.5%	8.3%	7.4%	9.1%	AIA annual reports

Group Corporate Centre / eliminations	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	Source
Insurance revenue ($B)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Corporate centre has no segment insurance revenue
OPAT attributable ($B)	0.04	(0.00)	0.01	(0.02)	(0.29)	AIA annual reports segment note
Finance costs and central items ($B)	0.27	0.32	0.37	0.39	0.48	AIA annual reports
Comment	Old basis	Old basis	IFRS17	IFRS17	Bermuda tax step-up	AIA annual reports

4. Product and Sub-Segment Historicals

FMP annual and quarterly product segmentation returned empty arrays for 1299.HK. AIA's official disclosures do not provide product-level insurance revenue dollars for life, health, savings, participating, unit-linked, pensions, or employee benefits. AIA does disclose useful economic and distribution indicators, including VONB, ANP, VONB margin, agency contribution, partnership contribution, and selected product mix statements. These should not be converted into product revenue unless management explicitly discloses dollars.

Segment	Product or business scope	What it is	Dollar revenue disclosure?	Last three years revenue plus source
All operating segments	Life insurance	Protection and mortality/longevity risk products	No product revenue dollars	Not separately disclosed; use segment insurance revenue only
All operating segments	Accident and health insurance	Health, medical, critical illness and accident cover	No product revenue dollars	Not separately disclosed; management gives growth and loss-ratio commentary
All operating segments	Savings plans	Participating, unit-linked, long-term savings and retirement solutions	No product revenue dollars	Not separately disclosed by product; product mix comments only
AIA China	Long-term savings and protection	Middle-class and affluent customer solutions	No product revenue dollars	Q1 2026 says protection VONB grew 17%; no dollars disclosed
AIA Hong Kong	Domestic and Mainland Chinese visitor customers	Customer-origin split, not product revenue	No product revenue dollars	FY2025 transcript says domestic roughly half of VONB; no revenue dollars
AIA Thailand	Health, protection and unit-linked	High-margin product mix	No product revenue dollars	FY2025 VONB US$993m; no product revenue split
AIA Singapore	High-net-worth and offshore propositions	Distribution/customer segment focus	No product revenue dollars	FY2025 VONB US$530m; no product revenue split
AIA Malaysia	Individual health, Takaful, bancassurance	Health reform affected 2025 sales cadence	No product revenue dollars	FY2025 VONB US$373m; no product revenue split
Other Markets	Tata AIA Life / regional markets	India JV included in ANP and VONB, excluded from TWPI and CSM	No product revenue dollars	FY2025 Other Markets insurance revenue US$5.057B excludes Tata AIA equity-method revenue

Segment	Product / channel	Disclosure method	Last four quarters YoY growth	Source
Group	Premier Agency	VONB share and growth, not revenue	Q1 2025 agency VONB +21%; FY2025 agency VONB +13%; Q1 2026 agency grew, no dollar split	AIA Q1 2025, FY2025 transcript, Q1 2026 highlights
Group	Partnership distribution	VONB growth, not revenue	FY2025 partnership VONB +22%; H1 2025 VONB US$804m +8%; Q1 2026 partnership grew	AIA FY2025 transcript, 1H2025 announcement, Q1 2026 highlights
AIA China	New geographies entered since 2019	VONB dollar disclosure and growth ambition	FY2025 VONB US$118m, +45%; Q1 2026 growth described as excellent	AIA FY2025 transcript; Q1 2026 highlights
AIA Hong Kong	Mainland Chinese visitor customers	VONB growth and customer count, not revenue	FY2025 MCV VONB +35%; Q1 2026 excellent growth, no dollar split	AIA FY2025 transcript; Q1 2026 highlights

Segment	Product / channel	Disclosure method	Last four quarters YoY growth	Source
AIA Thailand	Traditional protection / unit-linked mix	Growth and margin commentary, not revenue	Q1 2026 VONB -18% versus high base, +39% versus Q1 2024	AIA Q1 2026 highlights
AIA Malaysia	Health and partnership distribution	Growth commentary, not revenue	Q1 2026 high-single-digit VONB growth; agency improving q/q	AIA Q1 2026 highlights

Product disclosure warning: downstream agents must not invent revenue dollars for health, protection, participating, unit-linked, Mainland Chinese visitors, bancassurance, agency, or Tata AIA. Where AIA discloses dollar values, they are usually VONB, ANP, TWPI, OPAT, EV, free surplus, or CSM, not product revenue.

5. Most Recent Quarterly Trend

Company FY ends December 31. Most recently completed fiscal quarter at time of writing: FY2026 Q1, covering January-March 2026, reported on 2026-04-30 via AIA's Q1 2026 new-business highlights. This was a new-business update, not a full consolidated IFRS statement.

Quarter	Group VONB	VONB YoY	ANP	TWPI	VONB margin	Operating margin	Source
2Q 2025	1,341	18.9% AER derived	2,325	11,256	58.0%	Not quarterly disclosed	AIA 1H2025 announcement; FY2025 annual EV table
3Q 2025	1,476	25% CER	2,550	11,910	58.2%	Not quarterly disclosed	AIA Q3 2025 new business highlights
4Q 2025	1,202	9.7% AER derived	1,992	11,054	60.7%	Not quarterly disclosed	AIA FY2025 annual EV table; arithmetic from FY-H1-Q3
1Q 2026	1,757	13% CER; 17% AER	3,152	14,865	56.0%	Not quarterly disclosed	AIA Q1 2026 new business highlights

Segment	Latest quarter metric	Latest disclosed trend	Dollar disclosure?	Source
AIA China	VONB growth	1Q2026 VONB +26%; protection-product VONB +17%; new recruits +20% plus	No quarterly segment dollars in Q1 release	AIA Q1 2026 highlights
AIA Hong Kong	VONB growth	1Q2026 VONB +21%, domestic and MCV both positive	No quarterly segment dollars in Q1 release	AIA Q1 2026 highlights
AIA Thailand	VONB growth	1Q2026 VONB -18% versus high Q1 2025 base, +39% versus Q1 2024	No quarterly segment dollars in Q1 release	AIA Q1 2026 highlights
AIA Singapore	VONB growth	Positive VONB growth versus high Q1 2025 base	No quarterly segment dollars in Q1 release	AIA Q1 2026 highlights
AIA Malaysia	VONB growth	High-single-digit VONB growth with q/q agency improvement	No quarterly segment dollars in Q1 release	AIA Q1 2026 highlights
Other Markets	VONB growth	Positive VONB growth; Vietnam and Philippines strong, Australia and Indonesia weaker	No quarterly segment dollars in Q1 release	AIA Q1 2026 highlights
Group Corporate Centre	Capital return update	US$1.7B buyback started 2026-03-30; US$614m equivalent bought through 2026-04-29	Buyback dollars disclosed	AIA Q1 2026 highlights

Quarterly bridge note: 2Q 2025 equals 1H2025 less Q1 2025. 4Q 2025 equals FY2025 less 1H2025 less Q3 2025. This derivation is acceptable for group VONB/ANP/TWPI because all source figures are official, but it should not be extended to segment dollars where quarterly segment dollar disclosure is absent.

6. Management Commentary on Forward Growth

The table uses short verbatim excerpts only, with the rest summarized, because the data package needs source traceability without over-quoting proprietary transcript text. The underlying fetched transcripts are in the D raw folder.

Segment / topic	Source date and file	Speaker	Verbatim excerpt	Interpretation for modeling
Group OPAT target	FY2025 analyst transcript, 2026-03-19	Garth Jones, Group CFO	"meet or exceed our 2026 target"	Management is still leaning positively against the 9-11% OPAT-per-share CAGR target through 2026.
Group Asia opportunity	1H2025 analyst transcript, 2025-08-21	Lee Yuan Siong, Group CEO	"most attractive region in the world"	The long-term demand premise is demographic, wealth, health-protection and low-penetration driven.
AIA China new geographies	Q1 2026 highlights, 2026-04-30	Lee Yuan Siong / company release	"confidence in meeting our 2030 ambition"	The 2030 China growth ambition specifically refers to newer geographies, not all of AIA China.
AIA Hong Kong	1H2025 transcript, 2025-08-21	Lee Yuan Siong, Group CEO	"well positioned for continued growth"	Hong Kong's domestic and Mainland Chinese visitor mix remains a key growth support.
ASEAN / Thailand	FY2025 transcript, 2026-03-19	Lee Yuan Siong, Group CEO	"number one life and health insurer"	Thailand, Singapore and Malaysia should be modeled as part of a broader ASEAN engine, not isolated mature markets.
AIA Malaysia health disruption	1H2025 transcript, 2025-08-21	Hak-Leh Tan, Regional Chief Executive	"temporary slowdown"	H1 2025 Malaysia weakness was linked to health-insurance reform and customer servicing demands.
Capital management	1H2025 transcript, 2025-08-21	Garth Jones, Group CFO	"comfortably above 200%"	Shareholder capital ratio above 200% remains the informal comfort zone for capital returns.
Technology / AI	FY2025 transcript, 2026-03-19	Lee Yuan Siong, Group CEO	"amplifying our long-term advice-led model"	AI should be treated as distribution productivity and customer engagement leverage, not as replacement of the regulated insurance balance sheet.

Forward commentary synthesis by segment: AIA China has the clearest explicit medium-term growth ambition, but it applies to new geographies that were about 9% of AIA China VONB in FY2025. AIA Hong Kong has strong disclosed momentum from domestic and MCV customers, but the model should stress sustainability of MCV demand and broker/IFA mix. Thailand has high VONB margin and a difficult Q1 2026 comparison after a strong Q1 2025. Singapore growth is tied to high-net-worth, offshore, bancassurance, and IFA/broker momentum. Malaysia requires caution because health-insurance reform disrupted agency selling in 2025, even though management says underlying fundamentals are intact. Other Markets includes India exposure through Tata AIA in ANP/VONB, while IFRS revenue/TWPI treatment excludes Tata AIA and China Post Life equity-method effects.

7. Capital Allocation History

R&D is not separately disclosed as a financial statement line for AIA. Capex below uses FMP capital expenditure for comparability; for FY2025 the official cash flow also shows payments for investment property and PP&E of US$193m plus intangible asset payments of US$256m. M&A uses FMP acquisitions net and should be read as cash-flow acquisitions, not strategic total consideration.

Year	Capex ($B)	Capex / FMP revenue (%)	R&D ($B)	R&D / revenue (%)	Buybacks ($B)	Dividends ($B)	M&A ($B)	Source
FY2021	0.64	1.4%	n/d	n/d	0.11	2.18	1.91	FMP cash flow annual
FY2022	0.39	n/m	n/d	n/d	3.57	2.28	0.28	FMP cash flow annual
FY2023	0.33	1.6%	n/d	n/d	3.64	2.31	0.39	FMP cash flow annual
FY2024	0.24	1.2%	n/d	n/d	4.15	2.33	0.10	FMP cash flow annual
FY2025	0.19	0.6%	n/d	n/d	2.37	2.44	0.12	FMP cash flow annual; official buyback US$2.279B in free surplus table

Capital allocation policy facts from official sources: FY2025 net FSG was US$4.451B after new business investment. AIA's capital management policy targets a payout amount equal to 75% of annual net FSG plus regular review of excess capital. The FY2025 annual results announced a new US$1.7B share buyback, and the Q1 2026 update said the program started on 2026-03-30 with about US$614m equivalent repurchased through 2026-04-29.

8. Balance Sheet Snapshot - FY2025

Item	$B	Source
Cash and equivalents	9.61	AIA Annual Report 2025 financial position
Financial investments	307.26	AIA Annual Report 2025 financial position
Short-term investments	0.00	FMP balance sheet annual maps no separate ST investment line
Total borrowings	14.25	AIA Annual Report 2025 financial position
FMP total debt	20.58	FMP balance sheet annual; wider debt mapping than official borrowings
Net debt using official borrowings less cash	4.64	Calc: 14.245 - 9.609
Net debt / EBITDA	0.57x	Calc using official net debt and FMP FY2025 EBITDA of 8.146; not insurer-controlling
Insurance contract liabilities	256.48	AIA Annual Report 2025 financial position
Total assets	345.42	AIA Annual Report 2025 financial position
Total liabilities	301.82	AIA Annual Report 2025 financial position
Equity attributable to shareholders	43.25	AIA Annual Report 2025 financial position
Goodwill + intangibles	3.68	FMP balance sheet annual
Tangible book value estimate	39.57	Calc: equity attributable less FMP goodwill/intangibles
EV Equity	79.68	AIA FY2025 annual results
Shareholder capital ratio	221%	AIA FY2025 annual results
Group LCSM coverage ratio	233%	AIA FY2025 annual results

Balance sheet warning: industrial net debt / EBITDA is a low-value metric for AIA. The controlling balance-sheet risk measures are shareholder capital resources of US$41.066B, required capital of US$18.578B, shareholder capital ratio of 221%, Group LCSM coverage of 233%, insurance liabilities, asset quality, interest-rate sensitivity, and free surplus generation.

9. Recent Corporate Actions - Last 12 Months

- 2026-04-30: AIA reported Q1 2026 VONB of US$1.757B, up 13% CER, and disclosed buybacks of 56.7m shares for about HK$4.806B, approximately US$614m, through 2026-04-29.
- 2026-03-19: FY2025 annual results announced final dividend increase of 10% to 144.08 Hong Kong cents per share, total FY2025 dividend of 193.08 Hong Kong cents per share, and a new US$1.7B buyback.
- 2025-10-31: Q3 2025 new business highlights reported VONB of US$1.476B, up 25% CER, with VONB margin of 58.2%.
- 2025-08-21: 1H2025 results reported VONB of US$2.838B, OPAT of US$3.609B, net FSG of US$2.430B, shareholder capital ratio of 219%, and interim dividend increase of 10%.
- 2025-07-14: The US$1.6B buyback announced with FY2024 results was completed, according to AIA's 1H2025 announcement.
- 2025-06-06: AIA announced Edmund Sze-Wing Tse would retire as Board Chairman and Sir Mark Tucker would succeed him on 2025-10-01.
- 2025-05-29 through 2026-05-29: No controlling U.S. SEC 10-K, 10-Q, or 8-K was identified for AIA's Level 1 OTC ADR in this run; HKEX/AIA official disclosures control.

10. FMP-vs-Official Discrepancy Section

Item	FY2025 official	FY2025 FMP	Difference	Data package treatment
Insurance revenue / FMP revenue	21.62	32.58	10.96	Official controls; FMP mapping is not usable as insurer revenue
PBT / FMP operating income	7.47	7.48	0.01	Close enough for existence check; official controls
Net profit attributable / FMP net income	6.23	6.24	0.01	Close enough; official controls
Operating cash flow	6.97	5.33	(1.64)	Material mismatch; official controls
Product segmentation	Not disclosed by product revenue	Empty array	n/a	No product revenue dollars invented
Balance sheet total assets	345.42	345.38	(0.05)	Immaterial; official controls
Total liabilities	301.82	301.78	(0.04)	Immaterial; official controls
Total debt / borrowings	14.25 official borrowings	20.58 FMP total debt	6.33	Treat as mapping difference; cite metric used

Self-verification log: the live Q1 2026 AIA highlights file confirms AIA Hong Kong VONB growth of 21% and AIA China VONB growth of 26%. The FMP 1H2025 transcript endpoint returned date 2025-08-21, matching the interim call window. The FMP annual income statement contains a FY2025 row, but FMP revenue fails the one-percent tie to official insurance revenue; this is an explicit official-source override item rather than a silent pass.

11. Open Data Gaps

- FY2021 IFRS 17 insurance revenue by segment was not found in the live official pulls; FY2021 segment history therefore uses TWPI and OPAT under the old presentation.
- FMP annual and quarterly product segmentation returned empty arrays for 1299.HK; no FMP product data can be used.
- Product-level revenue dollars for health, protection, participating, unit-linked, pensions, employee benefits, agency, bancassurance, IFA/broker, domestic Hong Kong, MCV, or new China geographies are not separately disclosed.
- Quarterly full consolidated IFRS income statements are not published in the same way as U.S. 10-Q issuers; Q1 and Q3 updates are new-business updates only.
- Q4 2025 group VONB, ANP, TWPI and margin are derived from official FY2025, H1 2025, and Q3 2025 figures. The arithmetic is clear, but the source is a derived quarterly bridge.
- Tata AIA Life is included in ANP and VONB for Other Markets but excluded from TWPI and IFRS revenue because it is equity-method accounted. China Post Life is excluded from ANP/VONB and also equity-method accounted.
- EDGAR-style 10-K/10-Q/8-K anchoring is not available as a controlling source for AIA's Level 1 OTC ADR in this run.
- FMP cash-flow and revenue mappings are materially different from official AIA numbers, so downstream agents should not mix FMP cash-flow fields with official free surplus and OPAT without an explicit reconciliation.

12. Downstream Warnings

Warning	Downstream implication
Use official AIA/HKEX figures as controlling source	FMP is a live check, not the model base, where mapping differs
Do not force industrial ROIC / FCF framing	Use OPAT, VONB, EV Equity, operating ROE/ROEV, UFSG, net FSG, shareholder capital ratio
Segment revenue means insurance revenue only from FY2022 onward	FY2021 is old accounting taxonomy; use TWPI/OPAT for five-year segment trend
Do not invent product revenue	Product economics are disclosed mainly through VONB, margin, channel and mix commentary
Q1 2026 is post-anchor evidence only	Do not roll Q1 2026 into TTM consolidated earnings or balance sheet
AIA China 40% CAGR ambition is narrow	It applies to new geographies, not the whole China segment
Other Markets has equity-method complexity	Tata AIA affects ANP/VONB but not TWPI/CSM/IFRS revenue; China Post Life is separate

Warning	Downstream implication
Capital returns depend on free surplus and capital ratio	Model dividends/buybacks from net FSG and excess capital, not accounting EPS alone

Appendix - Key Official URLs

Item	URL
Results and Reports page	https://www.aia.com/en/investor-relations/overview/results-presentations
FY2025 annual results press page	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260319
Q1 2026 press page	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2026/aia-group-press-release-20260430
Q3 2025 press page	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2025/aia-group-press-release-20251031
1H2025 press page	https://www.aia.com/en/media-centre/press-releases/2025/aia-group-press-release-20250821